

Editorial

'Frighteningly sane'

"'Frighteningly sane' is the way a spokesman for California deviants, interviewed by local press, described the sponsors of that state's Proposition 64—Lyndon LaRouche and his associates. Proposition 64 is the November ballot initiative which, if passed, would force authorities to take elementary public health measures against the spread of AIDS, including quarantine and prevention.

Only a generation or so ago, people were frightened in the presence of *insanity*. How far we have come, down the rock-sex-drugs paradigm shift to the culture of the "Age of Aquarius," that it can be now stated that sanity is frightening.

However, in Proposition 64, you will be glad to know, the sane have laid a trap for the insane, the opponents of Proposition 64, which include "conservative" Gov. George Deukmejian; the stars of AIDS-ridden Hollywood; the "American Heresy" Catholic Bishops Conference of California; and, not surprisingly, the American Red Cross (a notorious front for the political interests of Swiss finance and the big Swiss international drug companies).

Read Boccaccio on the way people reacted against the institutions that had promoted usury, after the 1348 Black Death. Then, you begin to understand how the trap LaRouche has set for the liberals will work.

The liberals are already in the trap; they have mobilized massively into a position from which they cannot now successfully disengage. The trap is locked, with them inside.

What is the trap? It might best be called: "reality," that which the insane find most frightening, and do not admit to exist. (That is why they could be trapped.) Four developments now define reality: First, a broad resurgence of family-centered moral values in the population; second, the fact that AIDS is indeed, as LaRouche has contended, the deadliest threat mankind has ever faced, and the cover-up (e.g., "risk groups") by established institutions is becoming untenable; third, the worst financial collapse in modern history is imminent; fourth, the Soviet Union is unveiling its commitment to warfare against the United States and its allies.

What LaRouche and associates did in the wake of their Illinois primary victories in March, was to lure the liberals into mobilizing a massive campaign of lies against LaRouche, *linking those lies to an all-out campaign against Proposition 64 in California*. Instead of limiting themselves to attacking Proposition 64—politically suicidal in itself—they committed the blunder of attacking LaRouche for correlating AIDS with International Monetary Fund policies in Africa, of assembling prominent figures in the "No to 64—Stop LaRouche" campaign, who are otherwise notorious as Soviet appeasers (Sen. Alan Cranston, for instance), as well as drug lobbyists.

As Freud might have said, they have made AIDS the popular "cathexis" of liberal-radical politics, and have linked the international financial system, Soviet appeasement, and drug-lobbying as associated features of that "cathexis" in the popular mind.

In the next few months, as Soviet appeasement grows most unpopular, as the financial crisis terrifies the electorate, as the anti-drug mood gathers steam, and as hatred of the AIDS cover-up explodes—all emotionally as one—liberalism itself will be hated as akin to Satan. And, the liberals themselves have made "LaRouche" the most popular symbol of the liberals' hatred around these issues.

Do you know what that means?

The purpose of Proposition 64 is just what it says: to enact a law to force officials to stop their mass-murderous cover-up of the danger of AIDS to the general population. If it wins, obviously, the liberals have suffered a defeat. But the crux of the trap is, what if it loses?

Under the political conditions they themselves have created, as the spread of AIDS accelerates, every political figure now associated with "No to 64" will be packing his bags to leave California, as a target of hate-filled rage by the population. LaRouche will emerge as the popular folk-hero of the state, and to a lesser degree, the nation.

LaRouche will have lost a battle. The liberals will have lost the war.