Soviets, World Bank, and State Dept. caught in joint AIDS war on Africa

by Warren J. Hamerman

The author is the director of EIR's Biological Holocaust Task Force.

Incontrovertible evidence exists that high-level Soviet infectious disease experts, key operatives of the U.S. State Department, and officials of the World Bank are jointly encouraging the rampant spread of AIDS and other lethal diseases in Africa as a means to brutally reduce Black African population levels.

The agency through which the Malthusian populationcontrol operation on Africa is being run is the Soviet-controlled World Health Organization (WHO) based in Geneva, Switzerland. A two-day conference of potential "financial donors" for the WHO's AIDS program began at WHO headquarters in Geneva on April 21. The Soviet-controlled Communicable Diseases Division of the WHO implored several countries—Australia, Austria, Canada, Belgium, Denmark, France, West Germany, Japan, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, and the United States—for official donor-money for dealing with AIDS. The Soviets are, reportedly, not going to financially contribute to the event but will merely "implement" the program through Dr. Sergei K. Litvinov's operation in the WHO Communicable Diseases Division. A delegation from the World Bank is also attending.

In a 1977 major policy address, then-World Bank president Robert McNamara stated: "There are only two ways of preventing a world with 10 billion inhabitants. Either the birth rate drops, or the death rate will rise. There are, of course, many ways to make the death rate increase. . . . Famine and disease are the two oldest."

At the just-concluded Geneva WHO meeting, the United States was represented by Dr. Kenneth Bart, of the U.S. Agency for International Development (AID) of the U.S. State Department, which, in past years, has developed close relations with, and has even funded programs of, Soviet WHO big-cheese Sergei Litvinov. The U.S. State Department is notorious for pursuing the program known as "Global 2000," which is a blueprint for drastically reducing the world's population by 2 billion inhabitants, beginning with black Africans, by the year 2000.

When caught off-guard by an interviewer, Dr. Bart ad-

mitted that the WHO was deliberately camouflaging the true extent of the AIDS pandemic in Africa:

"In Africa, there are 30 officially reported AIDS cases, but the WHO itself, as repeated today by Dr. Assaad [the Soviet-controlled nominal head of the WHO AIDS Task Force] here, says the number may be one hundred-fold that, and, in some regions of Africa, the situation may be in excess of that now in New York City. Assaad said this, and was supported by Dr. Mann. Maybe publicly the WHO chooses to downplay the situation somewhat. . . . And, I think they are deserving of American [financial] support."

Bart also admitted that there was a "consensus" at the WHO meeting that there was no evidence for mosquito-transmission or other environmental factors stemming from economic collapse and the spread of AIDS in Africa and other tropical areas. In fact, the meeting denounced the scientists who have reported on Belle Glade, Florida, an American town where a large incidence of AIDS among the "non-high-risk" population coincides with abysmal living standards and tropical health conditions, as "kooks."

Soviet confessions on Africa

EIR investigators have received new information directly from the head of the European Bureau of the WHO located in Copenhagen, Denmark—a Soviet named Dr. Bytchenko. During the course of a recent investigation, Dr. Bytchenko admitted the following:

"I doubt there will be any kind of bilateral help by the Soviet Republic to African countries to help them on AIDS. For the U.S.S.R., AIDS is not a problem. In Africa, yes, the situation is very alarming, in Zaire, Uganda, Central African Republic. A high percentage of children are infected, because of poor blood and blood products. There is also a severe lack of kits to test for AIDS in Africa. But this problem has not come to the Soviet Union, there are almost no AIDS cases. So, I doubt very much the Soviet Republic will do anything special in the African countries."

During his admission, Dr. Bytchenko lashed out at the published *EIR* charges of Soviet complicity in African genocide: "I've seen these publications, they are nonsense. This type of virus has hardly even reached the U.S.S.R. and the East countries, and when it has, it has come from Africa," he

6 Economics EIR May 2, 1986

said. "The virus has been unknown to scientists and researchers, and has only recently been isolated."

One eyewitness in Europe recently overheard officials of the WHO under Soviet control, bragging and chuckling over the way in which they "carve down" the number of reported cases of various diseases, by as much as one-half to twothirds in some instances.

Leading international medical authorities and scientists on two continents are fully confirming the charges of EIR's Biological Holocaust Task Force, that the Soviets have been caught red-handed in the mass murder of millions of Africans, through encouraging the pandemic spread of AIDS and other diseases. There is also a growing consensus among the same medical and scientific circles, to admit that EIR has been right about the causal relationship between widespread economic collapse and the spawning and spread of deadly pandemics.

The WHO's "Communicable Diseases Division," under the Soviet command and control of Dr. Sergei K. Litvinov and his associates, is complicit in the African genocide, by its suppression of facts, its denial of economic realities, and its emphasis on "barefoot medicine." Several world authorities have characterized the Soviet-controlled Communicable Diseases Division of WHO as a "malevolent bureaucracy," which has impeded mass vaccination programs in Africa in particular. In fact, leading Soviet authorities of the WHO have just concluded a meeting on AIDS in Graz, Austria, where these policies were pursued.

Ten million Africans to die

A just-released study shows that, of those who are infected with the AIDS virus, 100% develop immune-system defects which foreshadow full-scale AIDS itself. That is, the disease breaks out in 100% of the infected individuals over time. Given the fact that estimates of the number of Africans infected with the AIDS virus range between 10 and 30 million, the implications are staggering. Over the next five years, more than 10 million Africans will die of AIDS.

In the United States, where approximately 2 million individuals are acknowledged by federal authorities to be infected with AIDS, that number of individuals will suffer from the disease itself within the next decade. Since the disease is 100% lethal, all those who suffer from the disease will die.

In the new study, nearly all of 22 Danish subjects who had AIDS antibodies in 1981, but did not manifest signs of the disease itself at that time, subsequently developed a breakdown of the immune system, according to the April issue of Annals of Internal Medicine. In a recent Boston Globe interview with one of the study's authors, Dr. James J. Goedert of the National Cancer Institute, Dr. Goedert drew the conclusion that his study demonstrated that over time virtually 100% of those infected with AIDS will develop the disease. A team of Danish and U.S. researchers jointly studied 22 men who had AIDS antibodies in 1981. Eleven of 12 infected men followed carefully for 29 months, manifested a

serious immune defect. Five of seven others studied for a shorter period showed the telltale defect as well. The immunological change "is a strong predictor of the future development of AIDS," according to the report.

Supporting the conclusion that 100% of those infected will manifest some form of the disease, is another study now under way at the New York University School of Medicine. In results soon to be published on a study of 85 individuals infected—namely, having tested positive to antibody presence—over the course of five years, the researchers report that an individual not showing any manifestation of the disease is "an extremely rare occurrence."

Universal screening now

It is against this background that leading doctors are beginning to echo *EIR*'s call for mandatory screening, as the *Boston Globe* of April 4 reported under the headline "Medical Editor Calls for Mandatory AIDS Tests":

People at risk for developing Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome should be made to undergo blood tests for antibody to the AIDS virus "to find out who is infected and who is not," the editor of the New England Journal of Medicine asserted yesterday. "I think there ought to be mandatory testing now." Dr. Arnold S. Relman said at a Boston meeting on AIDS and public policy sponsored by the American Society of Law and Medicine. . . . Relman urged the federal government to wage "all-out war on this disease" and reminded his audience "that the U.S. Constitution allows restrictions on the activities of those who are a threat to the public health. I'm not suggesting that [those who test positive for AIDS antibodies] be quarantined," Relman said. "But it seems to me that we have to balance our concern for individual freedom and law with our concern for the general wel-

According to the Boston Globe account, Dr. James Curran of the Atlanta-based Centers for Disease Control (CDC), who was also present, objected on the grounds that screening is "discriminatory" to homosexuals. Curran's statements represented the standard rationale by which the Atlanta CDC has unwholesomely covered up the AIDS threat, and actually deployed itself against the implementation of standard public health procedures. The CDC is the domestic American affiliate institution of the World Health Organization.

The CDC is not alone in its opposition to the United States protecting its citizens from this deadly menace. The Soviets also agree that the U.S. population should not be protected! A leading Soviet official at the World Health Organization privately asserted in April: "Screening the American population would be extremely expensive, costing billions of dollars. It's not necessary to screen everybody, but those who are exposed, should be screened."

screening everybody in the U.S. is not the correct decision."

EIR May 2, 1986 Economics 7