

EIR Strategic Studies

Germany's positive contribution to world development

by Helga Zepp-LaRouche

EIR presents the second part of Helga Zepp-LaRouche's policy document, subtitled "Foreign Policy Theses for the Federal Republic of Germany."

Part 1 reviewed the extraordinarily precarious position West Germany finds itself in today. The administration of the politically shallow Chancellor Helmut Kohl is now confronting the results of its own inability to initiate its promised "new era": West Germany is about to be handed over into Moscow's sphere of domination, with the transaction presided over by the modern-day Metternichs of the Trilateral Commission.

Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche probed deeply into the historical roots of this "New Yalta" deal, demonstrating how it was the hypocrisy and cynicism of the original Teheran and Yalta agreements among Churchill, Roosevelt, and Stalin which paved the way for Moscow to be close to achieving its long-cherished dream of world domination.

She also pointed out that the completely unjust "war reparation" burdens imposed on Germany by the 1919 Versailles Treaty, are no different than the genocidal "conditionalities" imposed on the developing nations today by the International Monetary Fund and World Bank.

Evaluation of the German question according to international law

"I have only one fatherland, and that is Germany." That was Freiherr vom Stein's proud retort to a bid to recruit him into the service of dynastic particular interests. And today as

well, every patriot who passionately loves Germany, who loves it for all the beautiful and great things we have contributed to world culture and development, will give the same answer: "I have only one fatherland, and that is Germany."

But how many patriots are left today, who still dare pledge their allegiance to Germany as a nation? If today there is a real danger that the Federal Republic may give itself up to the hegemonic strivings of the Soviet Union without a struggle, then it is because of many factors, with two standing above the rest. First, it was the aim of the Anglo-American occupying powers to obliterate every trace of German patriotism, and to practice outright brainwashing, called "re-education," on the occupied population, so that everything in any way connected to German culture and national identity was systematically and automatically equated with Nazism. And this in spite of the fact, that the inventors of this "collective guilt" theory, such as Hugh Trevor-Roper and John Wheeler-Bennett, knew all too well that from an epistemological standpoint, Nazi culture had been a total attack on German culture! This could hardly have been disputed by anyone living after the time of Friedrich Nietzsche, the grandfather of Nazism.

Thus, the Western Powers did not permit the Federal Republic any continuity with the positive aspects of its own history—no patriotic identity, only an identity as the "land of economic miracles." The Federal Republic was not conceived as a nation, but as one giant industrial firm. And when the deepening world economic crisis began to pull the rug

out from under this partial identity as well, frightfully little remained. In the Saar, and especially in the Ruhr region—which in the mid-1960s still proudly considered itself the world's greatest industrial center—there is now up to 20% unemployment in many cities, and a corresponding number of families living without hope.

But this was nothing compared to the brainwashing carried out by the Soviet KGB and the East German Stasi over the past 40 years against the West German population. By the time of the Cominform-organized World Congress of Freedom Fighters, which took place in parallel in Prague and Paris on April 20-25, 1949, a process was set off whereby Soviet propaganda systematically pursued the “re-evaluation of all values.”¹¹ There are countless examples of the enor-

mous success of these 40 years of subversive activity, only three of which we will mention here.

The 180-degree turn of the Social Democratic Party (SPD) away from Kurt Schumacher (who had accurately characterized the Communists as “Nazis in red make-up”) to the current line of Willy Brandt, Hans-Jochen Vogel, Johannes Rau, Egon Bahr, etc., whose Socialist International goes around the world, and in the Federal Republic itself, representing the Soviet Union's interests, is without a doubt one of the most ignominious chapters in our nation's history. Contrary to today, the SPD under Kurt Schumacher was still a pillar of the state.

Second, it is astounding how Moscow, by means of its systematic infiltration, particularly of the media, the parties,

Helga Zepp-LaRouche

was born in 1948 in the Rhineland town of Trier, West Germany, and grew up there. She studied journalism in Hanover and Hamburg. In 1971, she became the first Western journalist to visit China following the Cultural Revolution.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche studied philosophy, history, and political science in West Berlin, Frankfurt am Main, and Mainz. Her theoretical research has concentrated on Avicenna, Nicolaus of Cusa, German Classicism, the German Wars of Liberation, and 20th-century history.

In 1977 she married Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., a renowned American economist and founder of the weekly news magazine *Executive Intelligence Review*.

From the very start of her political career, Mrs. LaRouche set out to oppose the neo-Malthusians and prophets of deindustrialization. At the United Nations World Population Conference in 1974 in Budapest, Hungary, she energetically protested against plans promulgated there for depopulation of the developing sector. Since then, she has been involved in the formulation of numerous development programs. On her various trips to Japan, Southeast Asia, India, and Mexico, she and her husband have had the opportunity to hold discussions with leading government officials and with the heads of state Indira Gandhi and José López Portillo.

In the summer 1984, Mrs. Zepp-LaRouche initiated the Schiller Institute, whose immediate goal was an improvement in German-American relations and the strengthening of the Western Alliance. But very soon it

became clear, that before this could happen, a constructive solution to the international debt crisis would have to be found, and a new and just world economic order established. Thus the Schiller Institute quickly evolved into an international movement for the defense of the inalienable rights of all people, and has emerged as an institution wielding influence over crucial political developments around the globe.

Helga Zepp-LaRouche is also an initiator of the citizens' group “Patriots for Germany,” which made its first public appearance in October, 1985.



trade unions, and other institutions, has succeeded in making President Reagan and the United States appear as a "war-monger" in the eyes of many West German citizens, while portraying itself as a "peaceloving nation." This is indeed incredible, given that the Reagan administration's defense budget is significantly below the level which President Carter had considered necessary, whereas the Soviets are engaged in their most in-depth war buildup—not to mention their genocide in Afghanistan, Moscow's control of international terrorism, and so forth.

But it is on the German question that the KGB's propaganda has scored its most dramatic success, perhaps because this question is so closely allied to the KGB's own potential wartime assignment. Even though Yalta and Potsdam did have the faults enumerated above, today there remains little or no knowledge of Germany's actual legal status as shaped by these conferences, nor of how this was defined by our Basic Law and how its relation to international law has not changed in the intervening years. Indeed, Moscow's campaign against alleged "German revanchism" has borne fruit.

When even Chancellor Kohl will no longer venture to stand by the constitutional mandates of the Basic Law, but instead believes (as his adviser on recent history Michael Stürmer recently told the *Washington Post*¹²) that the nation-state, Germany included, has no future—then we can only congratulate the KGB. The KGB's campaign against alleged German "revanchism" has apparently succeeded in intimidating Kohl, putting him on the defensive. And just to remind ourselves: When did Moscow actually begin its revanchism campaign against the Bonn government? At precisely the point, that NATO was drawing its own conclusions from the realization that the Warsaw Pact had been using the period of so-called *détente* of the 1970s to achieve superiority in virtually every weapons category, prompting NATO to decide to oppose the already-installed Soviet SS-20s with its own "Euromissiles." In the eyes of Moscow, anyone is a "revanchist," who gets in the way of Moscow's own expansionism.

Even if it may be true, that under *current* strategic conditions the existing frontiers could only be altered by defeating the Soviets, it does not and must not follow, that these frontiers are the legal ones. If we give recognition to an injustice, just because it has prevailed for 40 years, then we are departing from those very principles of humanist natural law, that constitute one of the most essential elements of those "Western values" we should be defending. And even if the view is now widespread (thanks to clever Eastern propaganda) that "nothing can be done about it now," it remains the case that, by international law, the *status quo* borders are an injustice. For, the "prescriptive law" which since Yalta has been distilled from the "club-law" of the Hitler-Stalin Pact, has nothing to do with law in any real sense.

Let us review once more, clearly and succinctly, the legal

definition of the German question. According to the Preamble of our Basic Law (adopted in 1949 in lieu of a true German constitution), we Germans are called upon, "in free self-determination, to consummate the unity and freedom of Germany" and to "act in the service of peace in the world." And it says just as unequivocally: "It continues to be the obligation of the *entire German people*, to consummate the unity and freedom of Germany."

And who is a "German"? Article 116, Section 1 of the Basic Law states: "The Basic Law's definition of 'German' is drawn from the earlier legal definition of whoever had German citizenship, or was a refugee or displaced person of German extraction, or whose spouse or progeny had been assimilated into the German Reich, as of December 31, 1937." That is our Basic Law, and it has not changed since then.

Concerning the legal status of Germany itself, there is a July 31, 1973 decision by the Federal Constitutional Court; which in Section B-III makes the following legally-binding statement: "The Basic Law, which is not merely a *theory* of international and national law, assumes that the German Reich

Even if it may be true, that under current strategic conditions the existing frontiers could only be altered by defeating the Soviets, it does not and must not follow, that these frontiers are the legal ones.

has continued beyond the 1945 collapse, and has never perished, neither with the capitulation, nor through the Allied Occupying Powers' use of foreign state power in Germany; this follows from the Preamble, from Article 16, Article 23-116, and Article 146 of the Basic Law.

"This is also in keeping with the standing code of the Federal Constitutional Court, to which the Senate adheres. The German Reich continues to exist, still possesses its legislative power, but because of a lack of organization, and especially the lack of institutional bodies, is itself unable to act as an integrated state. . . .

"The establishment of the Federal Republic of Germany was not the founding of a new West German state, but rather the reorganization of one part of Germany. Hence the Federal Republic of Germany is not a 'legal successor' to the German Reich, but, as a state, it is identical with the state called the 'German Reich.' With respect to its territory, however, it is only 'partially identical,' so that to that extent, this identity lays no claim to exclusiveness. The national legislation of the Federal Republic limits its sovereign power to the 'area



NSIPS/Susan Welsh

The Berlin Wall, constructed in 1961, remains a cruel symbol of the injustice of the current borders in Europe.

of applicability of the Basic Law,' but also feels responsible for all of Germany. . . . The GDR [German Democratic Republic—East Germany] belongs to Germany, and cannot be regarded as a foreign country with respect to the Federal Republic of Germany."

The fact that Churchill's "matchstick game" has no basis whatsoever in international law, also emerges from a July 7, 1975 decision of the Federal Constitutional Court, and a corollary decision in September 1976 by the Federal Social Court in Kassel. Both decisions uphold the contention, that the areas of Germany *east of the Oder-Neisse* (i.e., the areas currently belonging to Poland and the Soviet Union) must still not be considered "foreign," even after the the Warsaw Treaty of Dec. 7, 1970 came into force.

On May 17, 1972 the three party blocs represented in the West German Parliament passed a ten-point joint declaration, stating that the Eastern Treaties negotiated by Chancellor Willy Brandt did not alter the fact, that this question remains open. The declaration clearly states, "The Treaties do not anticipate a peaceful treaty settlement for Germany, nor do they establish any legal basis for the currently existing borders."

It continues: "The treaties do not impinge upon the inalienable right to self-determination. The Federal Republic of Germany's policy of seeking a resurrection of national unity within a European context, is not in contradiction with these Treaties, which in no way prejudice the resolution of the German question."

And further on it reads: "The Treaties do not impinge upon the rights and obligations of the Four Powers with respect to Germany as a whole and to Berlin. The German Parliament believes that in light of the fact that a *final settlement* has yet to occur, the continued existence of these rights and obligations is vital."

And on May 8, 1975, the American Undersecretary of State for European Affairs told an American congressional subcommittee on security questions, that the political and territorial problems affecting Germany as a whole in connection with the Second World War, *can only be cleared up by a peace treaty*, and that the *CSCE documents do not signify recognition* of the arbitrarily-drawn borders in Eastern Europe.

Thus, neither the Eastern Treaties, nor the Warsaw Treaty, and certainly not the CSCE documents, signify in any way a confirmation of the *status quo*!

The CSCE Final Act which Brzezinski and other Trilaterals so often like to invoke, is nothing but a Soviet paper tiger. And whoever today refers to the unresolvedness of Germany's borders, is not a revanchist, but stands firmly and completely on the ground of the Basic Law. And this reminds us once again of a decision by the Karlsruhe Federal Constitutional Court, on July 31, 1973, Section II: "No constitutional body of the Federal Republic must ever give up the re-establishment of national unity as a political goal; and it is the duty of all constitutional bodies, to bend their policies toward that end—including the obligation to keep the de-

mand for reunification alive at home, and to persistently present it abroad—and to eschew everything which would stand in the way of reunification.” I.e., this is the mandate our constitution gives to the political parties!

The question of how the German nation will be constituted in the future, therefore depends upon when, and under what conditions, we will finally get a peace treaty. Only then will we be able to answer the question of territorial extension, and of Germany's full identity. But before we turn to this matter, we must first unequivocally lay out the conditions under which a reunification of Germany would absolutely *not* be acceptable.

The Trilateral Socialist International

Andreas von Bülow's September 1985 policy paper, which was later supported by the SPD Presidium, finally let the cat out of the bag. Probably the best characterization of von Bülow's "defense concept" has come from the Christian Democratic defense policy spokesman, Willy Wimmer, who described the paper as outright treason. And it was putting it mildly, when the Defense Ministry mentioned in passing, that its implementation would heighten the danger of war, not only because the army would no longer be able to carry out its defense mandate, but because it presumes that war would be conducted exclusively on our own territory.

But the reality this proposal aims at, is far more dramatic: It is outright capitulation to Moscow, the acceptance of the Trilateral Commission's "New Yalta" agreement, which would abandon Western Europe to total Soviet hegemony.

What on earth would prevent the Soviets, whose stated aim is the establishment of World Communism, for which they consider the Federal Republic to be the key, and who, as President Reagan himself has admitted, have superiority in all conventional and other weapons systems—what is to prevent them from incorporating the Federal Republic into their own system, the moment the West German army (Bundeswehr) is turned into a bunch of Swiss gnomes and the American forces are pulled out?

The SPD's policy paper is not a proposal to "restructure" the Bundeswehr, it is an invitation to the Russians to take it over. The Bundeswehr is to be turned into a "strictly defensive" militia; the term of duty would be reduced to 7-8 months; all "offensive" weapons, from the Pershings down to the tanks, are to be removed. What up to now had only been discussed in hushed tones, and was then hailed as a "great breakthrough" at the summer 1985 talks between the SPD and the East German SED, is now right out on the table: a proposal for the neutralization of the Federal Republic, and the establishment of a so-called nuclear and chemical weapons-free zone in Central Europe. The Social Democrats freely admit they are no longer thinking about security questions from merely the military standpoint, but also in the sense of a "security partnership." "Security partnership" with the Rus-

sians—now, that is just about as realistic as a bank employee asking a bank robber, as he wildly sprays the place with machine-gun fire, if he would please make sure his widow and orphaned children are well cared for! The SPD, at any rate, expresses their fervent hope that after the Federal Republic voluntarily disarms itself, the Soviets for their part would withdraw the SS-20s, SS-21s, etc.

The new era that never happened

The SPD, however, is not entirely to blame for the fact that we in the Federal Republic have reached a point, where all established institutions seem to be failing. It is true that nothing remains of Kurt Schumacher's SPD, which represented the interests of working people—nothing at the top, at least. But the danger that West Germany may become decoupled from the United States, does not come in the form of a political coup within the SPD. There are massive indications, that the Soviets and the GDR have unmistakably

The question of how the German nation will be constituted in the future, therefore depends upon when, and under what conditions, we will finally get a peace treaty. Only then will we be able to answer the question of territorial extension, and of Germany's full identity.

put out their feelers toward the ruling Christian "Union" parties (CDU and CSU), and have hinted at a possible reunification—providing that the Kohl administration forego all collaboration on the American Strategic Defense Initiative. It is also clear that these hints have fallen on fertile soil among certain politicians. To catch a mouse, you use cheese, and if the mouse is stupid enough to bite into it, the trap snaps shut.

If we are to save this state, we must begin with an unpromising review of the situation: The new era promised by the CDU/CSU never happened. Kohl's liberal foreign minister, Hans-Dietrich Genscher, symbolizes this failure, but that does not exonerate the Union parties from the fact that they have passed up their historic opportunity. The ship of state is careening without a real helmsman, and the example of Helmut Kohl proves that it is not enough simply to want to be chancellor, as a lifetime aspiration, but that one must also bring the necessary leadership qualities to that office. But it is not just Kohl; the Union parties as a whole have an

enormous deficit in leadership figures. This became abundantly clear, for example, when after the tragic deaths, in quick succession, of Alois Mertes and Werner Marx, it suddenly became apparent that the CDU/CSU—perhaps with the laudable exception of Jürgen Todenhöfer—had no more foreign policy experts, and did not even have any quality connections into the United States. The SPD, on the other hand, can boast with some arrogance about its superior American connections, thanks to its contacts within the U.S. State Department. Besides, “their man” Richard Burt is now sitting in Bonn as the new American ambassador, as a kind of assurance that the U.S. State Department will put up no objections if and when the SPD takes control of the government.

But the CDU/CSU lacks not only foreign policy experts, it also lacks a correct notion of what politics actually is. They no longer understand the reason and purpose of our state, nor what constitutes the idea of a nation, nor how we must define the legitimate notion of “fatherland.”

The reason why many citizens have become fed up with parties and politics—as they express, for example, in low voter turnouts—lies in the fact that citizens view their politicians with growing disgust. Bribery scandals and shady deals which would easily get the so-called “little man” thrown into jail, never seem to have the same consequences for the so-called “big-shots.” A Genscher can apparently get away with protecting the drug-dealer Tabatabai from being seized by the courts, and far worse.

Politicians make campaign promises and proceed to forget them the day after the elections; their words are empty phrases, and the intelligent citizen, watching the parliamentary debates on television, cannot shake off the uneasy feeling that somehow everything is being worked out in secret beforehand, and is then played out in a gigantic theater for the duped public. The normal citizen feels betrayed and sold out, and the ones who ought to be taking responsibility are concerned with anything but the vital questions preoccupying most citizens: reducing unemployment, which has stricken approximately 10% of all families; the question of what is happening to the aged, whose effective standard of living is dropping; the cuts in health care, which for many will mean a reduction in life-span; and what our world’s future in general will look like.

No, the new era has not taken place, and the Union parties must post it on their own ledger, that there were people from their own ranks who helped make the neo-Nazi Greens socially acceptable, thereby lending a hand to a party which makes no secret of its goal of dismembering the state.

Most citizens become revolted when they see politicians in the established parties not acting for the good of the state and its citizens, but instead regarding the state as a kind of self-service store, and proceeding from the philosophy that the more power they accumulate for themselves, the more

goodies they can grab.

A choking pall of mediocrity is spreading over the Federal Republic’s entire established party landscape—the careerist, narrow-minded thinking of these bureaucrats who see themselves not as civil servants in the positive sense, but as self-righteously, jealously trying to protect their tiny fiefdoms, like hens clucking atop their eggs.

Our country’s slide into mediocrity is not only dangerous, it is highly immoral. How small-minded and absurd are the arguments repeatedly offered by former Chancellor Helmut Schmidt, and by Kohl today: “Look, we’re in much better shape than anyone else, and after all, the main thing is to keep our own house in order.” For one thing, our house is *not* in order; the walls are about to come crashing in. And for another, it will affect us quite a lot, if the rest of the world goes to the dogs.

Mediocrity makes people stupid and immoral. If politics is only understood as horse-trading, as a “balancing of interests,” and if so-called “common sense” says that since the cake is now growing smaller, we will all just have to tighten our belts—then we will be destroyed by our own lack of will to survive.

If we in the Federal Republic tolerate, even for a moment, the genocidal policies of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) toward the developing countries—a policy which demonstrably has already starved more millions of human beings to death, than Hitler managed to murder in his twelve-year reign—then this immorality will return to torment us, like the verdict of a divine court of justice.

On this I will cite one single, but indisputable example. It is beyond contention, that the economic collapse in Africa and the resulting famines, have subjected human beings, animals, and plants to pandemics, which in turn have created the general conditions for the evolution of new and rapidly mutating diseases—among them, Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome, AIDS.¹³ This still-incurable disease, to the best knowledge of today’s experts, was originally carried by a species of green monkey in Africa, but 20-30 years ago spread to humans, and as a consequence of the lowered resistance of large parts of the African population, began to attack heterosexual target groups. When AIDS turned up in the United States and Europe a couple of years ago, the illness first spread only among homosexuals, but it was primarily these homosexuals’ extraordinary promiscuity, and not their homosexuality per se, which made AIDS at first seem to be confined to this group. But now, recent findings of medical experts from the Pasteur Institute in Paris, the Belgian Center for Tropical Diseases, and the Institute of Tropical Medicine in Florida, have discovered the awful truth: an estimated 32 million people in Central Africa are already infected with the AIDS virus HTLV-III! In the regions especially hard hit by hunger, a total lack of sanitary facilities, and simultaneous epidemic outbreaks, one in five people are AIDS carriers—

men, women, and children alike.

This means that under the conditions of IMF-dictated economic collapse, the theory that AIDS is confined to certain "risk groups" has long been disproven. In the evaluation of the experts cited above, already *half of Africa's population* is now threatened with extinction by the AIDS pandemic.

The organizations which support the policies of the IMF and World Bank—and this includes the United Nations, the umbrella organization for both the IMF and the World Health Organization—are currently using every means at their disposal to hide the true extent of this pandemic. For if they were to admit the catastrophic progress of this disease, they would immediately have to dramatically change their own policies.

Hence we must consider it a historical breakthrough, when on Sept. 26 an American scientist, in nationally-televised testimony to the U.S. Congress, had the courage to expose the awful truth. Dr. William A. Haseltine, Chief of the Laboratory for Biochemical Pharmacology at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, a department of Harvard Medical School, testified¹⁴ that "the first cases of this disease were recognized in 1980. The latent period for this disease is typically longer than two years. The rapid recognition of this disease is a testimony to the high quality of our health care delivery system. Early diagnosis and detection of the disease in the United States is in sharp contrast to the situation as it occurred in Central Africa."

"The first indication that the disease was present in Africa came from physicians in Brussels and Paris who reported that wealthy people with full-blown symptoms of AIDS were appearing in their hospitals for treatment. In late 1983, an international team of physicians traveled to Central Africa to investigate whether AIDS was present in that region. In early 1984, they reported the startling finding that within a single week they had diagnosed 35 new cases of AIDS at a single hospital in Kinshasa—the first 35 cases of AIDS ever diagnosed in Africa. Evidently, *the disease had been raging in Central Africa for up to 10 years before the first African diagnoses were made!*

"To be sure of a diagnosis of AIDS in a country of high infectious disease is not straightforward. People who have AIDS were evidently diagnosed as dying from infections characteristic of weakened immunity.

"Nonetheless, the lesson is clear. A major new disease that now threatens the health of the entire world, raged uncontrolled and unchecked in Africa for at least 10 years. It is only prudent to consider the establishment of modern well-equipped, well-staffed scientific sentinel posts in Africa to monitor future disease outbreaks, particularly as I shall argue later that AIDS is but one of a series of diseases that we can expect to spring from this continent in the future."

According to Dr. Mark Whiteside, co-director of the Institute of Tropical Medicine in Miami, Florida,¹⁵ the situ-

ation in the Caribbean is comparable with that of Central Africa. But as has been demonstrated in the very poor town of Belle Glade, Florida, economically depleted areas in the so-called industrialized nations can be struck as well. In view of the fact, that the United States has 40 million people who are officially classified as "poor," and whose living standards and health conditions in countless ghetto districts are comparable to conditions in the Third World, even the most immoral chauvinist can see that viruses do not need passports to penetrate a country's borders.

Faced with this situation, cutting health care and medical research literally means suicide. Dr. Whiteside commented that "we think that we are clearly in danger of a decline in public health within the United States as well as in other parts of the world, and we think that has to be the top priority."

Diseases do not respect borders, and Dr. Whiteside correctly observed that "the pattern is very different in the tropics, where in many areas of Central Africa, men and women heterosexuals without other risk factors are infected with the disease. . . . But I would maintain and argue that you have to control the disease in the tropics, if you hope to control it in the United States."

The number of AIDS cases—which in every case until now has resulted in the patient's death—currently has a doubling rate in the United States of six months. Dr. Haseltine estimates the number of carriers of the AIDS virus at one million. With its 100% mortality rate, AIDS is far more deadly than the Black Plague in the 14th century. Professor Hunsmann of the Primate Center of Göttingen University has warned that that playing down the danger can easily eliminate the one- to three-year lead time Europe currently enjoys over the United States.¹⁶ West German newspapers have calculated that by the year 2000, seven million West Germans will have died of AIDS, unless a cure or vaccine is found—and that is a conservative estimate.

Experts in the United States have calculated that if AIDS continues to spread at current rates, by the end of the 1990s not a single American will be left alive. In other words, this new epidemic—against which there is still no known cure, and whose viral agent can mutate with extraordinary rapidity—can potentially wipe out the entire human race!¹⁷

According to Dr. Haseltine's testimony to the U.S. Congress, between 60% and 80% of all drug abusers in the Manhattan/Newark area are infected with the AIDS virus!

Dr. Haseltine continued, "A recent study completed in West Germany also indicates an infection of the prostitute population in that country is a problem of major proportions. Nationwide, about 20% of all prostitutes in Germany are infected. Infection rates differ widely depending upon whether the prostitution population is registered, operating under license from the government and subject to routine health examination, or whether the population is unregistered. Infection rates in the registered population are about 1%, whereas



Throughout the 1950s, West Germany remained a bastion of defense against Soviet imperial claims, largely thanks to the efforts of two men: the Christian Democratic Chancellor Konrad Adenauer (left), and Kurt Schumacher (right), leader of the post-war Social Democratic Party.

the infection rate in the unregistered population, largely drug abusers, ranges between 20% and 50%. In one study, more than half of the unregistered prostitutes working the area of Berlin near the train station were found to be infected."

The answer to this truly extraordinary danger can obviously not be—as reported in *Bildzeitung*—for prostitutes to demand that their customers present a "rammer's license," i.e., a certificate stating that the bearer has tested negative for AIDS. Nevertheless, the fact that the danger of AIDS infection is for the moment highest among the so-called "risk groups"—homosexuals, drug abusers, prostitutes, and people who frequently change sexual partners—has certainly begun to give people a healthy shock.

The liberalization of public morality and the collapse of all ethical values, which had gained momentum since the mid-1960s, are now at an end. If we are to believe the magazines and newspapers, in our country it had become a generally accepted gentleman's offense to regularly visit certain establishments, or to always be having a new affair with a different secretary. And whoever has gotten to know the Bonn rumor-mill, knows that a great many politicians are controlled by dossiers that are kept on just such antics of theirs. The abysmally revolting level of the black videotape market, matchless in sheer perversion, will now elicit a different reaction than heretofore. Anyone entering into this milieu, will now be doing so in the knowledge that, sooner or later, he too will be infected with the deadly AIDS virus. Citizens' justified outrage is already being vented against those representatives of official institutions who propose to allocate state monies for the distribution of free condoms and sterilized needles to drug addicts, and who are thus criminally attempting to foster the illusion that such measures could control the disease.

One thing, at any rate, is clear: Fear of AIDS will have a highly salutary effect on our society's morals, which in many respects have come to resemble the morals exhibited during

the collapse of the Roman Empire. Religious people might see this as God's just punishment of Sodom and Gomorrah; in my view, the AIDS question touches directly on the problem of the new era that never happened, and on the lack of morality underlying the argument that "the main thing is to keep our own house in order."

Let us now consider the problem of the new era's non-occurrence from the standpoint of the development of nuclear energy in the Federal Republic.

Everyone knows that the Greens and the left in general, having now completed their "long march through the institutions" and having infiltrated a large part of our judicial system, have succeeded in virtually paralyzing the Federal Republic's nuclear energy program. In the early 1970s, we were one of the world's leaders in this field. We have now given up this lead, and along with it, our opportunity to make ourselves largely self-sufficient in energy. What has the Kohl-Genscher administration done to repair the sabotage done by the previous social-liberal administration?

Our energy dependence on the East has not only become a big security risk; as a nation, now we are not even legally permitted to complete the construction of the "fast-breeder" in Kalkar and the high-temperature reactor (HTR) on a "crash" basis, so that we might have it available as a standard export product as quickly as possible. Since the thorium HTR does not use uranium as a raw material, but instead is built around a thorium cycle, this reactor type could have provided a parallel energy source to uranium-based reactors for large regions in the developing sector—particularly for India, with its natural reserves of thorium.

Those who have sabotaged the construction and mass export of the "fast breeder" and HTR, are directly responsible for the outbreak of famine and disease, including AIDS, in Africa.

It is not the "population explosion," but solely and exclusively a lack of economic development—especially in the extremely underpopulated African continent—which is responsible for the present catastrophe. (Namibia's territory, for example, is three times larger than that of the Federal Republic, but it has only 1.2 million inhabitants, against our 61.6 million; Zaire is 75 times larger than Belgium, but its population is only 29.2 million inhabitants, against Belgium's 9.8 million. Zaire has only 12 inhabitants per square kilometer, whereas Belgium has 322—almost 27 times more!)

Even such a hardened misanthrope as the Green ideologue Rudolf Bahro, who would like to turn the clock backwards by 10,000 years, has not been able to ignore the fact that before the development of agriculture, the entire Earth's population potential was only about 5-10 million human beings. It was the effects of economic and scientific advances, raising the productivity of human labor, and the associated greater energy flux density in the production process, which raised society's population potential. The ex-

plotation of fossil fuels, the discovery of the steam engine, electricity, nuclear energy, etc., are exemplary of such qualitative leaps. With the commercial utilization of nuclear fusion and the application of laser technology, we are now on the threshold of a new technological revolution, which will far surpass all previous ones and will raise the Earth's population potential to a magnitude, by conservative estimates, of many tens of billions.

The danger of a total ecological holocaust in Africa—as our own organization has been demonstrably alone in warning for a decade—comes from its utter lack of everything necessary to maintain a society, even a society with relatively low population density such as in Africa. The Federal Republic, with less than 1% of the territory of the African continent, has just as many kilometers of railway track as all of Africa, even if we do not consider quality factors.

All the Greens' inane chatter notwithstanding, the Federal Republic is a well-kempt garden, with 5% of its territory covered by various kinds of infrastructure such as cities, roads, factories, etc., while the remainder consists of beautifully tended and cultivated countryside. In relation to this, Africa has nothing—no ports, no road network, no railway system, no canals, no drainage system, no irrigation facilities—in short, none of all the infrastructure which makes industrialization and agricultural development feasible.

Contrary to the prejudices held by many chauvinists, the crisis which has befallen these countries is not their own fault. Rather, it is the result of centuries of colonialist plundering, during which time the colonial rulers installed only as much infrastructure as was necessary to haul off mineral riches and other raw materials; in general, little or nothing was done for the population's education and development. The Bretton Woods monetary system instituted following World War II continued this policy of plundering, by setting these countries' currency parities at levels which gave them no chance from the very outset. Later on, the IMF simply drove this tendency to the extreme.

No, from the standpoint of morality, we had no right to develop nuclear energy, and then neglect to massively export it. The conscience of the IMF, and the Greens as well, must bear the full weight of the genocide which is now underway before their very eyes, and which is now returning to plague us in the form of AIDS. One might simply call this the wrath of God; or, one can scientifically prove that mankind must act in concordance with the negentropic lawfulness of the universe. Technological development is not a matter of discretion—it is a necessity. Whenever this natural lawfulness is violated, that violation will inevitably lead to the demise of the culture or civilization which acted contrary to that law.

If, at this advanced stage, we are to prevent the entire population of the African continent from being wiped out by one or another epidemic—only to be soon followed by the entire human species—then we must immediately begin a

“crash” program to realize a number of development projects. In many cases, details of these projects were worked out years ago. The first step would be a comprehensive airlift, which could bring food and medical supplies into all afflicted areas. Simultaneously, the engineering corps of the so-called industrialized nations, in coordination with their respective governments, must proceed to construct infrastructure facilities, i.e. ports, roads, bridges, railways, etc. in the Southern Hemisphere, and do so at “wartime” speeds. If NATO troops on maneuver are able to build a 200-meter-long bridge within 45 minutes, for example, why shouldn't this also be possible, when we are fighting for the survival of all humanity?

We in the Federal Republic bear a special responsibility, precisely because we—still—either possess or could quickly develop the industrial capacity required to reverse the world economic crisis and the resulting catastrophes. We therefore need an actual new era in economic and monetary affairs. Instead of allowing ourselves to be turned into a Morgenthau's hell by the IMF, World Bank, and the European Com-

If we in the Federal Republic tolerate, even for a moment, the genocidal policies of the International Monetary Fund toward the developing countries, then this immorality will return to torment us, like the verdict of a divine court of justice.

munity's “quota dictatorship,” we need an economic and financial policy which can guarantee productive full employment, and which can once again make us into a leading exporting nation for our traditional export markets in Latin America, Asia, and Africa. This opportunity is well within our grasp, as we shall explain forthwith.

The uncompleted new cultural era

When we consider postwar history, and the Federal Republic's postwar history in particular, from today's vantagepoint, we come to the thought-provoking conclusion, that it is primarily because of Konrad Adenauer and Kurt Schumacher and their policy of cleaving to the West, that all has not been lost. Despite John J. McCloy's American occupation policy, despite the initial implementation of the Morgenthau “dismantling” policy, despite the degrading “re-education programs” à la Wilton Park, a crucial part of the German population still clings to the values of actual German culture.

The enthusiasm for the concerts of Wilhelm Furtwängler and Heinrich Schliussus testify to this, along with the dramatic productions of Gustav Gründgens.

The 1950s—contrary to the vile slanders promulgated by Fassbinder's films—were marked by hope, reconstruction, and confidence. Fassbinder, who has received the just deserts of his career,¹⁸ attempted to portray the 1950s as narrow-minded and "kitsch," but in reality, it is his own films which deserve that description. If instead we consider the films produced during this period, such as *Das Spukschloss im Spessart* (The Haunted Castle in Spessart) or the films starring Heinz Rühmann, then not only do we laugh until our sides ache, but we can also perceive an enormous desire for life, mixed with a healthy dose of self-irony. Strong belief in our own powers and in astoundingly rapid results, helped give us our famed "German Economic Miracle."

Even though Germany's recent history has been largely excluded from school curricula, there were nevertheless still enough classically-educated teachers around to transmit the essential ideas of humanist culture to the postwar generation, whether this was in German literature, music, or in the scientific disciplines. It was precisely this transmission of the surviving classical culture, which continued to irritate the Yalta faction's representatives. Thus in 1963, the OECD's Paris representative Alexander King—later to become infamous as the founder and current president of the Club of Rome—drafted a policy for European-wide school reform whose sole aim was to obliterate this classical culture. King, who believes that "85% of the black, brown, and yellow races are a threat to the Anglo-Saxon race,"¹⁹ explicitly intended to throw overboard the "ballast" of 2,500 years of transmitted European culture, and instead to prepare students for "concrete" problems of the future, i.e., environmentalism.

In all its essentials, Willy Brandt's 1970 school reform followed the guidelines laid down by King, and we can blame this for the fact that children who attended school from that time on, have suffered from immense ignorance. The enormous loss of knowledge these reforms brought with them, is generally known; but for this miserable level of knowledge, the phenomenon of the Greens would have been unthinkable. Anyone who utterly lacks a scientific education will naturally turn to superstition, as the Greens have. When men still could not explain thunderstorms, they prayed to the thunder god. And those who understand nothing about soil enrichment and modern forestry, will romantically lament that the German forests are dying.

Here, too, it can be said of the Kohl administration, that its promised new era has not occurred. The educational system under the Christian Democrat Ernst Albrecht in Lower Saxony, is no better than that under Social Democrat Holger Börner in Hesse.

No, the fact that we have arrived at the point of losing our freedom forever (the thesis we presented at the start of this

paper); the fact that parts of the political parties are ready to commit treason, while other parts are too mediocre and weak-willed to oppose this treason with a potent concept—this has deeper causes, and calls for far more dramatic changes. Either we recall the best of our traditions, reappropriate them, and develop them further to a yet higher level; or our moral inability to do so, will in fact bring our civilization to an end.

To be continued

Notes

11. See special edition of the journal *Spuren und Motive: Die Zerstörung der Sozialdemokratischen Partei Deutschlands durch Neutralisten, Nationalbolshewisten und ostfinanzierte Nazi-Kommunisten* (The Destruction of the German Social Democratic Party by Neutralists, Nationalbolshewists, and East-financed Nazi-communists), March 1985, pp. 6 ff.
12. *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*, Sept. 10, 1985, p. 1.
13. See EIR Special Report, *Economic Breakdown and the Threat of Global Pandemics*, July 1, 1985.
14. "Doctor tells Congress: Ten million Africans are infected with AIDS," *Executive Intelligence Review*, Vol. 12, No. 39, Oct. 4, 1985.
15. "'We have a public health emergency': the real story of AIDS in Florida," *Executive Intelligence Review*, Vol. 12, No. 38, Sept. 27, 1985.
16. *Medical Tribune*, No. 30, October 1985.
17. Even when we conservatively assume an annual doubling rate of AIDS cases in the Federal Republic, we get the following calculation:

End of Year	Cases	
1985	280	
1986	560	
1987	1,120	
1988	2,240	
1989	4,480	
1990	8,960	
1991	17,920	
1992	35,840	
1993	71,680	
1994	143,360	
1995	286,620	
1996	573,440	
1997	1,146,880	
1998	2,293,760	
1999	4,587,520	
2000	9,175,040	
2001	18,350,080	
2002	36,700,160	
2003	73,400,320	all West German citizens infected with AIDS

If no vaccine or other cure is found for AIDS, and the spread of the disease is not halted—i.e., if nothing is done—then a different calculation is quite probable, namely, that beginning in 1988 the number of AIDS cases will double every six months, as in the United States. In that case, all West German citizens will have contracted AIDS by 1995 at the latest.

18. Rainer Werner Fassbinder, a hard-core homosexual and drug user until his death from a cocaine overdose in early 1985, produced such films as "The bitter tears of Petra von Kant," "The Marriage of Maria Braun," "Despair—a Trip in the Light." His films were highly sympathetic to the Red Army Fraction and other Moscow-controlled terrorist groups.
19. For examples of Alexander King's racism, see his interview in *Executive Intelligence Review*, Vol. 9, No. 14, April 13, 1982.