

## Kissinger Watch by M.T. Upharsin

### His hands in every pie

There is hardly a place in the world where Henry Kissinger is not sticking his dirty hands these days.

It is to be expected that this Rockefeller-financed thug will insist on poking his nose into Ibero-America, as his appointment as head of President Reagan's Bipartisan Commission on Central America gave him status as an "authority" in that part of the world. On cue, a whole chorus of drug mafia-related figures in South America, as well as a number of blackmail victims like Colombian President Belisario Betancur, have begun a chorus of demands for the "mediation" of the murderous Dr. Kissinger, who has written a ton of \*\*\*\* on the Ibero-American debt question since 1982.

But Henry, who has been officially allowed into all foreign policy discussions through his membership on the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board, is not satisfied with his "turf." Like a Black Pope, Kissinger is making policy pronouncements on all areas of the world.

### Mideast meddling

Kissinger took the occasion of his internationally syndicated column, which appeared July 30 in the *Washington Post*, to pontificate on the Middle East situation. The essence of Henry's message? Israel and Syria should keep on killing the Palestinians, and the Reagan administration should keep out.

This should not be too surprising,

since Kissinger's step-by-step diplomacy in the Middle East all hinged on his dirty deals with Syrian President Hafez Assad. In fact, Kissinger's role could be correctly characterized as that of the bankers' mediator between the mafia factions of all the countries in the area—Sharon in Israel, the Assad brothers in Syria, and Camille Chamoun in Lebanon. Even when he was out of office, in 1982, Kissinger took direct responsibility for negotiating a set of secret deals between Israel's would-be Hitler, Ariel Sharon, and Rifaat Assad.

David Rockefeller's current trip to Damascus is just a more open channel for the deals which Henry has been carrying out with Assad for years. And Henry's deals have been sealed in blood—mostly Palestinian, but also Israeli, Lebanese, and American blood.

No one could misjudge the murderous intent behind the Kissinger article on the Middle East. Right off the bat, he declared that it was reckless for the Reagan administration to try to negotiate a peace settlement in the area. Why? Because no one can trust the Palestine Liberation Organization.

Henry knows, of course, that PLO head Yasser Arafat has put his life on the line to try to come to a peace settlement with the Israelis, through the mediation of the United States. Yet, he attacks Arafat, because the PLO leader is a moderate who wants to end the state of war. And once again, he allies himself with the faction of the PLO which is attempting to kill Arafat, and has killed numerous other PLO moderates, such as Issam Sartawi.

That opposing faction is run by none other than the Syrians, who are now providing the ammunition and the right-of-way for the Shi'ites, Druze, and Israelis to carry out a new round of genocide in the Palestinian refugee camps in Lebanon. And for the Syri-

ans, Henry has nothing but praise.

"The United States should have learned that excluding the tough, ruthless Syrians guarantees a major confrontation," Kissinger writes. In other words, throw the Palestinians to the Syrian wolves.

Since the Syrians are the direct controllers of the Islamic Jihad terrorists who not only killed Bashir Gemayel, but also carried out the bombing of the U.S. embassy in Beirut, any intelligent person should have no difficulty discerning what a deal with the Syrians means.

We can understand why Kissinger's controllers in the Kremlin would be pleased at this perspective, but why do we keep listening to him in Washington?

### Who's in charge?

Henry has also been traveling these days. We've picked up his tracks in London, where he had a tête-à-tête with Maggie Thatcher, and in Germany, where he met with Foreign Minister Hans-Dietrich Genscher on Aug. 2. It was quite a week for Genscher, who had just met with Soviet Foreign Minister Shevardnadze in Helsinki, and now had a chance to compare notes with his good friend, and the man who came up with the Helsinki process to begin with, Henry Kissinger.

Kissinger's line on the East-West question is well-known, of course. He never tires of saying how ripe the situation is for East-West discussions, now that the "young," "economically minded" Mikhail Gorbachov is in power.

Not surprisingly, right after his meeting with Henry, Genscher parroted the same nonsense about Soviet desires for a "new détente." Does he think this is the line of the Reagan administration? Well, is it?