

Henry A. Kissinger: Soviet agent of influence

The following is the text of a March 26, 1984 ABC-TV national television broadcast by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., candidate for the presidential nomination of the Democratic Party.

ANNOUNCER: At a three-day seminar in the nation's capital, on February 17, 18, and 19, 1982, the internationally famous economist, Lyndon LaRouche, first announced to an audience of U.S.A. and foreign officials a new strategic doctrine which would be made famous 13 months later by President Ronald Reagan.

LaRouche proposed to scrap the strategic doctrine which had ruled the United States for more than 20 years, the doctrine known as Mutual and Assured Destruction. LaRouche proposed a new strategic doctrine of strategic missile defense based on high-powered lasers and particle-beam weapons and related technologies already in the engineering phase or awaiting development in our scientific laboratories.

Perhaps only President Reagan knows who finally convinced him to announce this new strategic doctrine on March 23, 1983.

One thing is certain. It was the combined forces of the Soviet dictatorship, the Liberal Establishments, and Henry A. Kissinger who worked from immediately following the President's broadcast to attempt to discredit the President's doctrine of Mutually Assured Survival. Those same forces are attempting to eliminate this vital part of our national defense from the federal budget today.

Tonight, Democratic presidential candidate LaRouche tells you some of the reasons why Soviet agents of influence like Henry A. Kissinger are working to cut the development of our only future defense against a Russian Empire's sneak missile attack out of the Federal budget today.

LAROCHE: In another nationwide television broadcast earlier this year, and in several books and published articles, I have explained why a crash program to develop a strategic ballistic-defense anti-missile system before 1988 is necessary to the physical survival of our nation. Tonight, I shall report to you why Soviet agents of influence like Henry A. Kissinger are working to sabotage President Reagan's commitments to providing the world the only possible alternative to thermonuclear war during the period immediately ahead of us.

For nearly 40 years, from 1946 until March 23, 1983, the strategic and foreign policies of the United States were steered by the influence of the most evil man of the 20th century, the late Bertrand Russell. This may seem unbelievable to those many of you who think of Russell as a leading pacifist. I am not exaggerating in the slightest degree. The documents are many, and the evidence is beyond dispute.

We shall look briefly at Russell's policies, and then we shall learn how influential figures such as McGeorge Bundy and Henry Kissinger have dedicated their lives to implementing Russell's not really very secret agreements with the Soviet dictators for nearly 30 years.

The shaping of U.S. strategic doctrines and foreign policies covers two parts of the post-war period. The first phase covers the period from about October 1946 until the end of the 1950s. Throughout that entire period, both the strategic doctrine and the foreign policy of the United States and its allies were based on a policy which Russell published in the October 1946 issue of the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*.

In that article, Russell made two points.

First, Russell insisted that the nations, including the United States, must give up their national sovereignties. He demanded that a world-government agency, with a monopoly of possession and use of nuclear arsenals, be established.

Second, Russell proposed that if Russia refused to submit to the agreement to establish such a world-government agency, that the United States and Britain must conduct "preventive nuclear war" against Russia. This war should begin as soon as the Anglo-American nuclear arsenals were adequate to destroy the Soviet non-nuclear forces, and should occur before the Russians began to develop nuclear arsenals of their own.

This "preventive nuclear war" policy of Russell's was adopted by the United States and Britain, and remained in effect until the middle to late 1950s.

Russell began developing a second version of his strategic doctrine shortly after the death of Josef Stalin, at the point that the Russians were already building up an arsenal of fission weapons and had constructed a prototype of an H-bomb. Russell communicated messages to the new leaders in Moscow, offering to cooperate with Moscow in setting up a world-wide empire, of which the Eastern part would be ruled by the Russian Empire, and the Western part, some sort of



"Kissinger and his Liberal Establishment masters and accomplices have worked consistently for approximately 25 years, to aid the Soviet Empire's achievement of the 'New Yalta' agreements worked out between Khrushchev and the circles of the evil Bertrand Russell."

world-federalist dictatorship, ruled over by the wealthy financial families of such places as New York, Boston, London, and Switzerland.

In London, at a 1955 conference of Russell's World Association of Parliamentarians for World Government, four Soviet representatives attended, to signal Moscow's acceptance of Russell's new strategic agenda. By about 1957, Henry Kissinger's masters at the New York Council on Foreign Relations had accepted the Russell-Khrushchev package. In 1957, the book *Nuclear Weapons and Foreign Policy* was published in Kissinger's name. The conclusive event occurred a year later, in 1958, at the second Pugwash Conference, held in Quebec. At that conference, the details of the agreement between Russell and Khrushchev were presented in a keynote address by Russell's accomplice, Dr. Leo Szilard.

McGeorge Bundy, Robert McNamara, Maxwell Taylor, and Bundy's nasty-tempered National Security Council consultant, Henry Kissinger, among others, slipped Russell's agreements with Khrushchev into Kennedy administration policy. From that time until March 23, 1983, the strategic policies which Russell had negotiated with Khrushchev were the strategic doctrines of the United States and NATO.

Since the late 1950s, for more than 25 years, Henry Kissinger has been one of the leading Soviet moles working inside the policy-making processes of our government, working to help Moscow in keeping the United States in submission to those Pugwash agreements.

Szilard's 1958 keynote address at the Second Pugwash Conference was the basis for the famous film, *Dr. Strangelove*, in which the character, Dr. Strangelove, is modeled on

Szilard himself. To most of you, Dr. Szilard's proposals will sound like outright treason.

The central feature of Russell's and Szilard's agreement with Nikita Khrushchev was the agreement to divide the entire world between two world-empires, the first to be ruled by the wealthy and powerful financial families of the so-called Liberal Establishments of Britain and the United States; the second part of the world-empire, the Russian Empire, would be made much larger than Russia's division of the world negotiated during the war-time Yalta Conference. This is the so-called "New Yalta" agreement with Moscow, to which Henry Kissinger and his business partner, Britain's Lord Carrington, are dedicated today.

As Kissinger's article in the March 5 issue of *Time* magazine indicates, Kissinger is committed not only to giving the Soviet Russian Empire the Middle East, but also to the effective "Finlandization" of all of Scandinavia and Germany under Soviet regional domination. This is part of a deal for which Kissinger and his friends have been working for approximately 25 years, for which Kissinger is working today.

In order to establish long-term balance between the two proposed empires, Szilard demanded that both superpowers develop thermonuclear arsenals large enough that each could guarantee the nuclear destruction of the other in case of a general war between the two. This is the doctrine of Nuclear Deterrence, sometimes called Mutual and Assured Destruction, or MAD.

However, Szilard was no pacifist. He recommended that local wars, including limited nuclear wars, were indispensable to "letting off steam." He proposed that a set of flexible

guidelines be adopted, to permit the powers to conduct limited colonial and other limited wars, but with secret understandings adopted, to prevent local wars or limited nuclear wars from spilling over to become general thermonuclear wars. This doctrine, set forth by Szilard back in 1958, became known as Flexible Response.

These doctrines agreed upon between Bertrand Russell's crew and the Khrushchev government in Moscow, were smuggled into the Kennedy administration by McGeorge Bundy, Bundy's consultant Henry A. Kissinger, Robert S. McNamara, and Maxwell Taylor. All of this was orchestrated behind the backs of our elected officials of government—excepting a few witting scoundrels. President Kennedy talked to persons such as Gen. Douglas MacArthur, an opponent of such doctrines; suddenly, President Kennedy was assassinated.

After President Kennedy ordered McGeorge Bundy to fire Henry Kissinger, Kissinger pursued his career as participant in meetings of the Pugwash Conference back-channel to Moscow up to the point Kissinger was inserted as a mole into the Nixon White House. In every known case, including the SALT I, ABM treaty, and SALT II negotiations, Kissinger's role under Presidents Nixon and Ford was that of a Soviet agent of influence, working against the vital strategic interests of the United States, and in support of secret agreements with Moscow reached through such back-channels as the Pugwash Conference, David Rockefeller's Dartmouth Conference, and the Aspen Institute.

Kissinger and his Liberal Establishment masters and accomplices have worked consistently for approximately 25 years, to aid the Soviet Empire's achievement of the "New Yalta" agreements worked out between Khrushchev and the circles of the evil Bertrand Russell. That is the reason the world is near the brink of thermonuclear war today. Through the work of such people as McGeorge Bundy, Robert S. McNamara, Averell Harriman, and Henry Kissinger, the Soviet Empire has been enabled to develop the strategic capabilities to challenge directly the most vital interests of the United States. But for the sabotage of the interests and national defense of the United States by aid of such influential circles, there would be no danger of actual thermonuclear war today.

I read you two brief excerpts from the writings of Bertrand Russell. The first of these is a passage from a book Russell wrote back in 1923, entitled, *The Prospects of Industrial Civilization*. See if you recognize this policy as being that of an "ecologist" political faction of the United States and Europe today:

The white population of the world will soon cease to increase. The Asiatic races will be longer, and the negroes still longer, before their birth rate falls sufficiently to make their numbers stable without help of war and pestilence. . . . Until that happens, the ben-

efits aimed at by socialism can only be partially realized, and the less prolific races will have to defend themselves by methods which are disgusting even if they are necessary.

After the war, in 1951, the same satanic Russell wrote in a book named *The Impact of Science On Society*:

At present the population of the world is increasing at about 58,000 per diem. War, so far, has had no great effect on this increase, which continued through each of the world wars. . . . War . . . has hitherto been disappointing in this respect. . . . but perhaps bacteriological war may prove more effective. If a Black Death could spread through the world once in every generation, survivors could procreate freely without making the world too full. . . . The state of affairs might be somewhat unpleasant, but what of it?

The essence of Bertrand Russell's purpose, for the entire extent of his satanic adult life, was the destruction of modern civilization, and the creation of a miserable condition of feudalistic society to be ruled by the Anglo-Saxon race. Russell intended this to be a form of utopia to be established by massive genocide against the darker-skinned populations of the world, including such sections of humanity as those of Arab, Turkish, Greek, Italian, and Spanish ethnic origins.

Russell's proposal of a scheme of world-government and his back-channel "New Yalta" agreements with Moscow were, for Russell, H.G. Wells, and their co-thinkers of the Liberal Establishment, a way of bringing Russell's design for a feudalist Anglo-Saxon world-empire into being. Russell found among the hardened racialists within the ruling caste of the Russian Soviet dictatorship a partner who had similar if conflicting goals to match Russell's own. Russell, and avowed feudalists such as Henry Kissinger, allied with the Soviet dictatorship not because they like Russia, but because they hate what the United States represents.

The most crucial point is this: to be able to sell the doctrine of "post-industrial society" to the fellows in our Pentagon and Congress, Russell and his crowd had to convince those military and political leaders that a technologically progressive development of the agro-industrial base of the national economy was no longer strategically essential.

This selling-job was done by insisting that war-fighting would come to a halt at the time the thermonuclear intercontinental barrages were completed. Therefore, the argument went, there is no need for military forces of the depth which might be used to continue war-fighting after that stage of the war. In other words, under conditions of general thermonuclear war between the two superpowers, there would not be enough left alive after the opening salvos to continue war. Therefore, the argument goes, conventional military capabilities have no strategic significance for fighting a gen-

eral war. Therefore, the spokesmen for turning the U.S. economy into a post-industrial junk-heap argued, technologically progressive investment in agriculture and industry is no longer important for national defense.

Something more cruel, more criminal, was added: neo-Malthusian programs of genocide against the populations of the so-called developing nations. It happens, that the cheapest and easiest way to commit genocide is by famine and epidemic under slave-labor conditions—as the Nazis showed at Auschwitz.

Therefore, until the 1960s, even those among our military—for example—who might share the Anglo-Saxon racism of an Averell Harriman, would have defended the well-being of Latin American nations on elementary grounds of U.S. logistical interests. With the introduction of Flexible Response, this changed.

Like the Nazi regime during World War II, the world's most powerful international monetary and financial agencies today have targetted between 120 and 150 million black Africans for death through genocide: death by famine and epidemic. This mass-murder is the policy of influential institutions such as the Club of Rome, which claims that regions such as black Africa are overpopulated and that the world's population must be reduced by such methods. The evil policies of the Club of Rome are being implemented by powerful banking institutions of Switzerland, by the International Monetary Fund, by the World Bank. These are policies endorsed by high officials of our own Federal Reserve System. These are policies being put into effect in many parts of the world by Henry A. Kissinger and his friends.

This monstrous immorality practiced abroad comes home to us today, in such forms as a growing movement for genocide against our own nation's most vulnerable citizens: the seriously ill and our senior citizens. The same crime for which we condemned Nazi doctors at the Nuremberg Trials, the crime of euthanasia, is being promoted in the United States and in Western Europe today under such titles as "Death With Dignity." This growing murder of our seriously ill and senior citizens is being promoted by persons and groups who argue that such systematic murder of tens of thousands and more of our citizens is needed to keep down the average cost of health insurance premiums, or, to help balance the Social Security budgets.

Senator Harrison Williams was not murdered by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, but his case is an example of the same immorality in government we see more vividly in the form of genocide against black Africa, and in the form of Nazi-like policies of euthanasia against our invalids, and against our senior citizens.

According to the evidence presented by the U.S. Department of Justice in Federal Court, Senator Williams not only refused any offer of a bribe, but was in the process of lecturing what he believed to be a Arab guest in our country

that in our country such practices are not tolerated. Yet, the Senator was convicted. An innocent U.S. Senator sent to prison on the instruction of a Federal judge; he was convicted and sentenced on the grounds that he looked like a public official who might take a bribe at some other time!

In the city of New York, for example, one of the best ways to keep out of prison is to be arrested for murder, and one of the easiest ways to commit crime without fear of arrest is to peddle drugs openly at major intersections: The city's government has ordered the policy not to interfere with drug-peddling of this sort. However, commit no offense, but to be falsely charged by some FBI "frame-up," or frame-up by the office of the District-Attorney of Manhattan, and you will almost certainly be railroaded to prison while the murderer apprehended on the same date walks the streets to kill again, virtually scot-free.

So, it must appear, that a judgment like that fallen upon the Biblical Sodom and Gomorrah looms above the fleshpots of our morally sick nation. We can escape this threatened doom if we as a people awaken in time. We must recognize where we made the wrong turn in morality and government policy-making during the post-war period. We must reverse that error, and do it quickly; time is running out.

I also proposed this new strategic doctrine as a way of destroying the rotten agreement which Bertrand Russell and others made with Moscow back during the 1950s, the rotten agreement which Henry Kissinger and others have been defending in their function as Soviet agents of influence. I did this, for many reasons, but above all, to eradicate Russell's and Kissinger's policies of Malthusian genocide, by destroying the roots of Kissinger's feudalistic neo-colonialism in the Nuclear Deterrence doctrine. It is now approximately 17 years since Easter 1967, the day back then when Pope Paul VI issued his appeal for an end to the evil genocide being practiced by Henry Kissinger and the fellow-travellers of the Club of Rome today. Although Pope Paul VI's Encyclical, *Populorum Progressio*, is a Catholic doctrine, it expresses and reflects the very essence of the natural law of Judeo-Christian western civilization.

The essence of natural law is that every human life is sacred, that the development of the creative-mental potentialities of each and all individuals is also the sacred duty of society, and that also society must afford to each and all persons the opportunity to employ the developed potentialities in some way which contributes good to present and future generations—that no man is compelled to go to his grave like a mere beast. This is the Law of Equity. That Law of Equity is an absolute, in the sense that no state and no man can deny any individual anywhere his right to equity so defined, unless that violation be to defend the very existence of those forms and institutions of society on which the fostering and protection of Equity for all persons depends.

Unfortunately, neither the Russian Empire nor feudalists

such as Henry Kissinger and his accomplices accepts those doctrines of natural law. In his notorious doctoral dissertation at Harvard University, Kissinger pledged his future diplomatic career to follow in the example of the Holy Alliance's Prince Metternich. Kissinger pledged himself to the heritage of the Persian, Roman, Byzantine, Ottoman, Austro-Hungarian, and Russian empires. He rejects absolutely the Judeo-Christian natural law of Western Civilization, and adheres to that evil misconception of man and the universe typified by the slave society of ancient Sparta and the sodomy-ridden abomination that was the empire of Rome. In its own fashion, today's rulers of the Russian Empire also trace their philosophical heritage from Lycurgus's Sparta, and from the models of empire in which one master-race rules as overlord over subjugated races.

The injustice we tolerate against the peoples of black Africa, targetted by the genocidal financial and economic policies of Kissinger and his feudalistic friends, is an act of injustice which savagely violates our own most fundamental moral values. The injustice which our government and major political parties tolerate throughout our nation, as they tolerate the FBI's Gestapo-like frame-up against an innocent U.S. senator, and tolerate murder and drug-pushing in cities like New York, are but an echo of the fact that it is our morality itself which has been shattered by tolerating Henry Kissinger and his accomplices in foreign policy.

Let us go back to being a great industrial power, an agroindustrial power flourishing in scientific and technological progress. Let us be again, what was said of us at the establishment of our independence from the feudalistic policies of Britain: a "temple of liberty" and "beacon of hope" for all mankind. Let us be again the defender of the smaller, weaker nations of the world, against colonialism and imperialism in all their manifestations. Let us build among sovereign nation states a community of principle according to natural law, as Pope Paul VI's *Populorum Progressio* beseeched us to do.

Let the President of the United States be a person who knows that his or her most essential duty is that of the chief magistrate of a great republic. Let the frightened and oppressed in our own and other nations around the earth look up with just hope from their injured circumstances, and say to themselves: "This is an injustice which the President of the United States would not tolerate, if he knew of it." Let that opinion be justified.

Let us, therefore, proceed to expend the needed \$200 billions estimated as required to emplace a first-generation ballistic-missile defense for this nation by the year 1988. That will be not only a physical defense of our republic, but will represent an act by aid of which we return to the principles on which this republic was founded.

Let us move Henry A. Kissinger, and what he represents, for once and forever, out of the policy-making processes of government.

Book Review

The Mussolini world of Gary Hart

by Kathleen Klenetsky

A Different Kind of Presidency

by Theodore C. Sorensen

Harper & Row, 1984

134 pages

\$11.95

Starting in the 1970s, presidential election years have provoked criticisms of the American system of government and provided a platform for discussions of proposals to reform it. Nineteen eighty-four is no exception.

Ted Sorensen, special counsel to President John F. Kennedy and a prominent figure in liberal Democratic politics, has published a scheme for transforming the U.S. government which should be read by anyone who wants to know what lurks behind Gary Hart's blow-dried hairdo. Sorensen signed on with the "new ideas" candidate at approximately the same time his book came out, and it bears a marked resemblance to Hart's presidential platform.

Sorensen argues in his *A Different Kind of Presidency: A Proposal for Breaking the Political Deadlock* that the United States is caught in unprecedented political and economic crises which can only be resolved by "bold new initiatives" and "painful measures." Because these measures—ranging from a unilateral nuclear weapons freeze to economic austerity—will be so "vastly unpopular" with the American people, the government which comes to power through the 1984 elections must be a virtual dictatorship.

Sorensen doesn't use the word dictatorship, of course. He talks instead about "national unity" and "coalition government." "The time has arrived in this country," he writes, "for a temporary, bipartisan, 'grand coalition' of national unity" that would "go beyond anything previously attempted or seriously considered in the United States" and "could change the destiny of the country itself."

This particular "new idea" was aired by the Establishment's top Washington lawyer, Clark Clifford, back during the Watergate era of 1973-74. In fact, the "national unity" dictatorship was the purpose behind the Watergate operation to discredit, not just Richard Nixon, but the presidency itself.

Later, in 1980, Jimmy Carter's White House lawyer Lloyd