PIR National

LaRouche: 'Kissinger intends to invade Mexico'

"Henry A. Kissinger intends to send U.S. military forces into Mexico," said U.S. Democratic Party presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., speaking to a reporter in Washington, D.C. on March 6. "Kissinger fully intends to become acting U.S. President if President Reagan is re-elected; if he succeeds, U.S. military action against Mexico during the immediate years ahead is not absolutely guaranteed, of course, but is very, very probable."

These remarks came in response to the reporter's suggestion that LaRouche supply his own answer to a question which ABC television interviewer Barbara Walters had asked other Democratic presidential candidates during a broadcast debate recently, in New Hampshire. During that television broadcast, on Feb. 23, Walters had challenged the candidates to state how they would react, as U.S. President, to the success of a communist insurgency in the Republic of Mexico.

LaRouche said: "Walters was using that question to slip in the same Kissinger campaign against Mexico that came out of the mouth of Gen. Paul Gorman at the U.S. Senate hearings. Barbara Walters is a very special kind of journalist, if you understand what I mean; she would never put such a question in that way unless it were calculated to produce a very specific kind of political effect nationwide."

Asked to identify Kissinger's plans for invading Mexico, the Democratic candidate said: "Read the report of the so-called Kissinger Commission. Translated in plain English, what that report demands is that the United States implement the same policy toward all of Ibero-America that the British Empire conducted against Egypt during the last century: Use the foreign-debt as an excuse to seize the mines, the oilfields,

the land, and the choice industries of the indebted nation. That's exactly what Britain and Napoleon III did in attempting to overthrow President Juárez, and putting that Hapsburg butcher Maximilian in to supervise the murder and looting of the people of Mexico. Read the Kissinger Commission report; that's exactly what the report is proposing to do."

What about the charge that Mexico is going communist? "Any American who believes the silly lies charging that Presidents like Luis Echeverría, José López Portillo, or Miguel de la Madrid are 'communists,' is babbling like the 'ignorant gringo' Mexican opinion suspects all 'Yanquis' to be."

The real Soviet threat to Mexico

"There is a real Soviet-directed threat inside Mexico, but it's directed against the government chiefly through the Nazi-Soviet alliance between the PAN and the PSUM [formerly the Mexican Communist Party—ed.]. The interesting question is: Why have elements of the U.S. State Department and Federal Bureau of Investigation been detected openly supporting a Soviet tool like the old Nazis in the PAN's leadership?

"The way to defend Mexico against Soviet intervention is to stop the West European and U.S. bankers from looting one Ibero-American nation after the other to the point of destruction, with the policies of the private firm, Kissinger Associates, Inc., that is running U.S. foreign policy toward all of Ibero-America at this time."

If this is true, does LaRouche intend to attack President Reagan for supporting such policies?

"Unless the President soon dumps Henry Kissinger out

48 National EIR March 20, 1984

of his government, I will be forced to attack the President for just that reason. When Ronald Reagan was campaigning to become President, he made a repeated, solemn promise never to allow Kissinger to set foot inside his administration. The President has broken his most solemn promise to all those who supported his election over all these years. If he permits himself to continue to keep Kissinger in government, the President will almost certainly not be re-elected in 1984.

"Essentially, Ronald Reagan is the most likable human being we've had as President in about 20 years. I like him personally, even though he has made some bad mistakes in allowing the Kissinger crowd to run his foreign policy from the beginning, in his monetary policy, and in granting increased power to those Gestapo mentalities who run the FBI. I prefer to cause the President to recognize and change bad policies. However, if the President does not come back to his senses, and find the courage to throw the Kissinger gang out of government, President Reagan's entire administration will have to go."

If the President is a likable person, what possible motives could he have for supporting such evil policies against Mexico?

"I don't believe that the President really knows much about what is going on in the world, or even inside high levels of his own administration. That is my own personal experience with the White House during the most recent months. That's what old friends of the President's are saying, in a growing number of cases. That's what some leading members of Congress are saying. That was proven during President Mubarak's recent visit to meet with the President. The White House staff is acting as a 'praetorian guard,' pretty much controlling the information that reaches the President's eyes and ears; and that staff is helping Kissinger to control the President's beliefs. It reminds us of what Kissinger and Haig did to Nixon; it's exactly the same pattern."

But President Reagan did consciously push through U.S. support for the IMF "conditionalities" policy of looting Ibero-America. Why is his administration supporting a policy you yourself describe as a copy of 19th-century British imperialism?

"The best answer to that is to look toward the faction in the Reagan administration lined up behind George Shultz, Donald Regan, and Federal Reserve Chairman Volcker. That's the same thing as the Kissinger faction, including AFL-CIO President Lane Kirkland. What we do know is that the President felt himself pressured into accepting this faction's arguments. It is very clear that the President believes the lying statistics which these people are presenting him on the U.S. economy; he doesn't really know what is going on. To speak of the motives for the policy, you have to look at the motives of Volcker and Donald Regan's Wall Street advisers, as well as Kissinger's motives."

Well, then, what are their motives? "Ask yourself why the rate of economic collapse slowed down significantly in



Henry Kissinger with Ortiz Mena of the World Bank, which has done so much to prepare the underdeveloped nations for internal and external destruction.

the United States during 1983, as compared with 1981-82? Part of the answer is the effect of high U.S. interest rates in draining Western Europe of flight capital. The rest of it is chiefly a savage looting of the economies of Ibero-America, started when Kissinger came back into the government in October 1982. The economies of Ibero-America are cannibalizing their own capital, wages, and natural resources, to subsidize the depressed U.S. economy by as much as \$100 billion annually in direct economic subsidies to the U.S. economy would have collapsed at 1982-rates throughout 1983. That's what the Kissinger report insists must be increased; that's the economic side of the motives of the Kissinger faction in the Reagan administration."

Can this part of U.S. policy be changed?

"Only if Kissinger is pushed out of the government. I'm afraid that the only way that's going to happen is that I gain a large enough vote in the Democratic primaries, or that I win the Democratic presidential nomination. If I were the Democratic candidate, and Kissinger were still inside the Reagan administration, it is almost automatic that I would win the November election. If any of the other Democratic candidates were to win the election, U.S. policies toward Ibero-America would be the same policies Kissinger is pushing today.

"I am very unhappy that the fate of so many nations depends upon the degree of success I have in the 1984 Democratic primaries. That is a very realistic estimate. Unless I make at least a strong showing in the primaries during April through June of this year, many nations of the world are doomed to be destroyed during the two or three years ahead."

EIR March 20, 1984 National 49