Europe's contribution to world food supplies

by Sylvia Brewda

European agriculture has become a significant factor in the world's food supply since World War II. To the extent that significant increases in productivity have occurred, this has been the result of the application of advanced technology to the farms of Europe. The failure to sustain such capital-intensive development is `at the heart of the crisis facing European food production today.

Figure 5 shows the world acreage and production of grain from 1950 to 1980. Europe doubled its per-capita production of grain, while world production rose by only 27 percent. Between 1965 and 1980, European grain production per capita rose from close to the world average to one-and-a-half times that level, allowing for significant exports. European grain yields per acre in 1980 were the highest in the world, a

Figure 5 World grain acreage and production

	Total Grain Acreage (Millions)	Yield per Acre (Bushels)	Grain per Capita (Bushels)
North America		,	
1950	249	26	- 39
1965	198	40	38
1980	224	56	49
Latin America			
1950	70	18	8
1965	100	21	8
1980	123	29	10
Europe			
1950	185	24	11
1965	181	35	14
1980	174	59	22
Africa			
1950	120	11	6
1965	141	15	7
1980	180	16	6
Asia			
1950	871	15	9
1965	1,023	20	10
1980	1,1 56	28	11
Oceania			
1950	15	18	22
1965	23	` 20	27
1980	39	18	30
WORLD			
1950	1,509	18	11
1965	1,665	23	12
1980	1,794	35	14
Source: U.N. F.A.O	. Production Yearbo	ok, 1971, 1981	

position achieved only in the last decade. This reflects in part the labor-intensive small farms which are still common in Europe, where each acre of cropland receives more than five times as much labor input as in the United States (**Figure 6**). But the mere application of more man-hours does not result in such progress, as indicated by the figures for Asia, where labor-intensive farming predominates.

Europe's productivity levels are highest within the European Community (EC). Figure 7 shows a more detailed view of the grain producing areas of the world, and highlights the difference between the countries which have been under the EC's Common Agricultural Policy and those which have been operating outside this framework, as well as indicating the differences between Eastern and Western Europe.

The rise in EC productivity levels involved increased mechanization and other key production inputs. In 1980, for example, the major grain-producing countries—West Germany, the United Kingdom, and France—had 1.8, 2.1 and 1.3 tractors per farm respectively, while American farms had an average of 2. The tractors per acre for the EC countries increased by close to 50 percent over the decade from 1970 to 1980. **Figure 8** shows that the EC farms are relatively tiny by U.S. standards, but there has been significant growth in the average farm size over the last 20 years. This is particularly true of the countries shown. Italy, in contrast, had an average farm size under 20 acres in 1980, less than a 10 percent increase over the 1960 average.

Figure 9 analyzes the output and yield of wheat, allowing a comparison of the EC with major producers elsewhere. The yield per acre of the United Kingdom is the highest and the three large EC producers have yields more than double that of the United States. Much of the large United States wheat



EIR December 27, 1983

Special Report 25

© 1983 EIR News Service Inc. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission strictly prohibited.

Figure 7 World agricultural acreage and grain output by region, 1980

Region	Tot. Ag. Land Avall. (Millions of Acres)	Tot. Acreage in Prod. (Millions of Acres)	Tot. Acreage in Prod. of Grain (Millions of Acres)	Yield per Acre for Grain (Bu/Acre)	Workforce in Ag.	Workforce in Grain	Yield of Grain (Bu/Man- Year)	Grain Output of Region (per Capita)
U.S.A. and Canada	2,734	1,225	224	56	2,747,000	1,373,000	9,059	49
European Community	317	250	71	71	8,393,000	4,197,000	1,200	19
Non-European Community W. Europe	, 366	161	42	48	6,168,000	3,084,000	655	20
Eastern Europe and Soviet Siberia	4,030	1,647	362	29	38,402,000	26,881,000	393	28
Latin America	4,243	1,735	123	29	38,996,000	24,177,000	147	10
Africa	4,104	2,385	180	16	114,245,000	85,683,000	33	6
Middle East	691	575	67	24	20,549,000	17,467,000	92	12
Asian Subcontinent	903	693	324	23	217,355,000	195,619,000	39	8
Southeast Asia	823	226	108	35	83,649,000	75,284,000	51	10
Talwan, Japan, South Kore	a 97	19	12	64	12,284,000	8,599,000	90	5
Oceania	1,640	1,266	39	18	2,108,000	1,476,000	467	30
China and North Korea	1,449	1,102	243	47	280,426,000	260,796,000	44	11
World	21,397	11,284	1,794	35	827,325,000	704,636,000	88	14

Source: U.N.F.A.O. Production Yearbook, 1981

NOTES:

Total land available consists of arable land, permanent cropland, pasture land, and forest.

55 pounds = 1 bushel

Workforce in grain based on estimates.

crop is grown extensively—sown over huge expanses of land, with minimum fertilization and no irrigation. Mexico also has higher yields than the United States, although its production of wheat is relatively tiny. The major wheat producers of the Third World, such as Argentina and India, have yields which are between 50 and 75 percent of those of the United States. Africa, which produces close to 10 million tons of wheat, operates with a yield of under 17 bushels per acre, approximately half that of the United States.

Figure 8 Average farm size

in orage raini orae				
	1960	1970	1975	1980
West Germany				
Average acres/farm	23	29	34	37
Index of farm size	6	7	9	10
France				
Average acres/farm	42	52	60	63
Index of farm size	11	13	15	16
United Kingdom				
Average acres/farm	-na-	142	159	170
Index of farm size	-na-	36	41	31
United States				
Average acres/farm	288	389	427	450
Index of farm size	74	100	110	116

The greatest dependence of the world on the agricultural production of the EC is for the provision of animal protein by milk. Europe supplies more than 20 percent of all types of milk in the world. **Figure 10** gives the details of milk production in major areas of the world, along with per-capita production. The per-capita production in the EC is well above that of the United States, which shows the European capacity for export. The per-capita production of the EC has risen sharply over the past ten years, while per-capita production has decreased in many of the less advanced countries. Protein malnutrition is now endemic throughout Africa, which produces only 66 pounds of milk per capita—little more than 10 percent of the U.S. consumption rate.

The well-developed European dairy production infrastructure could be used to produce rates of milk output sufficient to transform health standards in many parts of the world. Powdered milk and other dairy products are the best foods to provide animal protein to rapidly combat malnutrition, since under rough distribution conditions, milk needs no cooking, and can be consumed in any form.

Dairy herds in Denmark and other EC dairy regions are already among the highest-producing anywhere. But through application of the computer herd management equipment available in Europe, which assesses each cow's exact food, medicine, and breeding requirements every day, output could be increased by 20 to 30 percent. With the additional application of herd improvement through superovulation, milk production could be doubled within a couple years.

At the same time, the EC has the processing facilities for "long-life" milk, preserved through ultra-high pasteurization, which can be shipped and distributed without refrigeration. And there are facilities for food preservation through gamma radiation. These have not been developed to any degree in the United States.

Figure 11 shows the productivities of workers and land in various countries at different levels of development, demonstrating the relative technology-intensive character of European farming. Development is indicated by electricity use, both per worker and per acre. West Germany possesses the most developed farm sector per acre, and the second-mostdeveloped per worker, of those for which data were available. Although the values for France are rather low, they represent a doubling of electricity use in agriculture in the 1970-1980 period. These values are closely correlated with overall yields and productivities, and indicate that energy intensivity in farming is just as crucial as in industrial processes. The ability to use such energy cannot be acquired overnight. Infrastructure for electricity itself, movement of fertilizer and fuel into

Figure 9 Production and yield of	wheat, select	ed nations				κ
	1960	1965	1970	1975	1980	1983
United Kingdom Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield	3036 53.1 171	4171 60.3 195	4236 62.2 201	4489 64.4 208	8472 87.1 281	9860 86.1 278
France Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield	11010 37.5 121	14760 48.4 156	12922 51.1 165	15013 57.4 185	23683 76.6 247	24549 75.1 242
West Germany Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield	4965 52.8 170	4348 45.6 147	5662 56.3 182 '	7014 66.7 215	8156 72.4 234	8220 75.7 244
United States Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield	36955 26.1 84	35880 26.4 85	36861 31.0 100	57885 30.6 99	64745 33.4 108	64173 40.1 129
Mexico Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield		2278 43.0 139	3363 56.4 182	2785 51.9 167		
Turkey Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield	·	11423 19.4 63	16578 26.4 85	16554 27.2 88		
Argentina Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield		5873 19.7 64	11000 25.4 82	7780 23.0 74	· · · · ·	
India Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield		20859 15.6 50	28846 15.7 51	31830 16.9 55		
Brazil Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield		1743 13.9 45	3215 13.5 44	2708 12.9 42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
African continent Production, thousand tons Yield bu/acre Index of yield	•	7999 14.4 46	10218 16.2 52	8854 16.3 53		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Index is based on U.S. 1970 yield. The average quality of wheat in Europe is much lower than in the United States, since it is low in moisture content.

Milk production, selected nations						
	1960	1965	1970	1975	1980	1983
Germany Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)	19250 754	21184 790	21856 792	21604 769	24778 885	26100
France Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)	22972 1123	26780 1205	22963 995	24855 1037	26859 1101	30900
United Kingdom Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)	12080 521	11980 484	12873 473	13909 544	15958 625	16750
EEC Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)	_		73251 640	99744 849	113199 953	105000
United States Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)	55959 681	56445 639	53185 606	52424 534	58420 565	_
Turkey Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)	_ _		4308 268	4817 265	5334 259	
Mexico Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)		_	4104 176	5233 191	7182 227	
Brazil Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)			7386 170	10049 204	10289 185	_
India Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)			21343 85	25875 92	30930 99	_
African continent Total (thousand tons) Per capita (lbs.)			12450 77	12766 69	14093 66	-

farms, and the storage and transport of their products, machinery and its servicing, as well the provision and use of high-quality seeds, have been built up in Europe since the devastation of two World Wars. These capabilities are not yet fully used, but they have already produced results.

France's agriculture under CAP

The Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), as designed by French President Charles de Gaulle, was a major force moving France's agriculture, as well as that of most other original members of the Common Market, into the 20th century. In 1950 France had only 140,000 tractors in use, and relied on over 2,500 draught animals. Wheat yield was 26 bushels per acre—less than the 1933 level during the Depression. Over five million people were involved in what could reasonably be described as "peasant agriculture."

By 1960, when the CAP was first instituted, the effect of guaranteed prices and support for investment had begun to be felt. Although there were 1 million fewer farm operatives, the area under cultivation had remained almost constant, and the yield of wheat had risen to 37 bushels per acre. Although there were still almost 1,900 draught animals, the number of tractors had increased more than five-fold, as shown in Figure 12.

De Gaulle used the political base of the French farmers, and the power of the French nation, to maintain and increase the development of agriculture—in his own country and elsewhere in the Common Market. During the 1960s, the number of farm operatives dropped by almost half, and the average farm size increased by approximately 25 percent. Most notable was the rapid rise in the use of fertilizers, shown in **Figure 13**. Although total wheat production rose at a relatively slow rate of 17 percent, yield per acre rose at more than twice this speed. By 1974 French farmers were applying almost as much nitrogen and approximately half as much phosphorus and potassium as used by those in the United States.

Fertilizer-use trends also vividly show the downturn in the process of modernization after de Gaulle's death, and the depressing effect of the 1973 oil price shock. The U.S. Department of Agriculture's 1974 predictions for French fertilizer use trends indicate the trajectory which French agriculture was following up to that point—and which it failed to maintain.

Figure 10

Figure 11 International yield comparisons, 1978

	Yield per Worker	Yield per Area	Electrici	ty Intensity
•	(Tons/yr per ag wkr)	(Tons/yr per ag acre)	(kWh/yr per ag wkr)	(kWh/yr per ag acre)
United States	351.0	.73	17,574	36.4
West Germany	70.0	2.38	6,099	234.7
France	58.5	1.41	807	19.5
Brazil	24.9	.97	154	3.7
Italy	22.7	1.08	1,092	59.6
Soviet Union	21.2	.31	3,805	55.7
Turkey	4.7	.36	18	1.9
South Korea	3.8	2.92	34	33.7
India	1.4	.43	81	30.6

Source: USDA, OECD Energy Statistics, Congressional Hearings on USSR, Soviet statistics, Brazil Census, DoC Export Opportunities in Brazil, Bank of Korea Economic Statistics Yearbook, Tata Services Ltd. Statistical Outline of India

Notes:

Agricultural acres (ag acre) are the sum of arable land, permanent cropland, and pasture land.

In India, electricity for agriculture use refers to electricity for agricultural pumping. Yield is sum of all agricultural products.

By 1982 the head of the farmers' union could proudly announce that one French farmer could feed 30 people, while in 1962 he had only been able to feed 7. But at the same time, the impact of the fall in the real prices of farm products was already hitting hard. Farmers were being forced to cut back on equipment replacement, quality seed, and fertilizer inputs, and maintenance requirements of their livestock. Demonstrating farmers handed out leaflets which complained that a tractor which in 1970 cost the equivalent of 45,000 kilos of wheat, was costing them 70,000 kilos. The process of technological improvement which had led to the *real* cheapening of food prices for the entire nation was being rapidly reversed. The failure of the CAP to overcome this has brought about its crisis today.

Figure 12 Tractors in use

	1950	1960	1970	1975	1980
France					
Total*	140	743	1239	1363	1504
Number per farm	-na-	.42	1.15	1.13	1.33
United States					
Total*	3394	4685	4617	4434	4775
Number per farm	.63	1.26	1.69	1.78	1.97
*Thousands					

EIR December 27, 1983

Figure 13 Declining growth of fertilizer use in France

