Lyndon LaRouche rejects certain U.S. officials' statements on Mexico

The PAN and its KGB-linked left support apparatus has attempted to distort the NDPC's very specific charges regarding intervention of Kissinger circles in the State Department and the FBI into Mexico. Some PAN supporters have substituted "Reagan Administration" for "State Department operatives," and attempted to portray LaRouche's statements as a partisan Democratic move to embarrass a Republican administration in Washington.

In a parallel effort to befuddle public opinion, PAN deputy and former presidential candidate, José González Torres, charged on the floor of the Mexican congress the first week of September that the crushing of the PAN in Baja California meant that Mexico had "gone socialist" and "moved dangerously into the Soviet orbit." He was not original: the Kissinger-linked circles in Washington who are floating the fable that the PAN is just the "Republican Party of Mexico" have also been pumping the lie that "Mexico has gone communist" into channels leading to the White House. Radio Free Europe directed James Buckley recently authorized a commentary calling Mexico communist. A particularly offending source of the lie is Daniel James, a "journalist" of 25-years' experience as an intelligence operative in Mexico, who was a featured speaker at a Houston closed-door planning session on Mexico sponsored by Kissinger in early June.

In a release Sept. 12, LaRouche highlighted the serious consequences of this misinformation supplied the White House, in terms both of Mexican and broader Central American policy.

"Daniel James and James Buckley are guilty of lying to President Ronald Reagan and other U.S. influentials by spreading the big lie that 'Mexico is already a communist state,' and others have circulated as fact wild, irresponsible and empty gossip alleging that the problems of Mexico are financial corruption by former Presidents.

"It has also been attributed to U.S. official sources that Mr. Will Wertz [NDPC West Coast Coordinator] erred in reporting official U.S. financial contributions to the PAN. What Mr. Wertz stated on this point is the fact that there is relatively massive financial support for the cause of the PAN from fundamentalist, organized-crime, and other private cir-

cles in the U.S.A. He also said, when asked if there was official funding of the PAN by the United States, that he knew of no official funding, but could not of course rule it out.

"To my knowledge, U.S. policy toward Mexico has two tracks. What is probably known to President Reagan is that his government has a policy of pressuring Mexico to submit to IMF conditionalities. I doubt most strongly that the President has been informed that certain elements of the U.S. government are supporting a civil war in the Republic of Mexico. I have asked about this matter repeatedly in Washington, and it is my judgment that this is the true state of affairs. I also believe that if the President knew the full truth of the situation, he would become very angry at those abusing his trust to the point of consciously supporting an attempted destruction of the political integrity of Mexico.

"The problem is this. As I first warned on a nationwide U.S. television broadcast of November 1976, there are circles including the influential George Ball and William Paddock who are on public record with voluntary statements insisting that they are committed to reducing the population of Mexico to as low as 30 millions by the end of the present century. These circles have introduced this malthusian policy of genocide against Mexico and other nations into U.S. State Department policy since approximately 1966-1967, as State Department 'population policy,' and in such forms of policy statements as the Global 2000 and Global Futures reports produced and issued by the Carter Administration.

"President Reagan and many of his immediate circle are devout Christians who reject such immoral malthusian policies, and are not properly informed that certain elements of their own government are conspiring to conduct policies contrary to the President's most deep-felt moral commitments. Any personality with experience in the operation of the complex apparatus of modern national government is fully aware how such sly evasion of the moral commitments of a head of state are accomplished in many governments to greater or lesser degree.

"Therefore, I have no difficulty in giving my support to my President as morally the best President we have enjoyed in a long time. Since he is a moral person, he will come to recognize that my policy toward the Republic of Mexico is that truly in the best interests of both the United States as a nation and his administration, too. Nor, have I ever made my commitments to the welfare and sovereignty of Mexico a secret around Washington.

"Gentlemen such as George Ball, Henry Kissinger, and Lane Kirkland, are a different matter, as are the policies of Kissinger's former mentor and present business-partner, Lord Peter Carrington of Britain. I regard their policies toward Ibero-America in general, as downright evil, as well as their duplicitous behavior toward the President in other areas of policy-shaping.

"The strains which have arisen between the governments of the United States and Mexico are chiefly the outcome of massive and sustained lies circulated against Mexico, and about the situation in Central America, among influential circles in the United States. The lie that 'Mexico is already a communist state,' is one calculated to inflame misinformed official Washington.

"The lie is circulated, for example, that the flow of arms to the insurgencies in Central America is condoned by the government of Mexico. This false information is circulated around Washington by some of the same Israeli and other gun-dealers who are selling weapons at as high as \$300 a rifle above U.S. price to both sides in the Central American bloodletting. It is true that there are certain gentlemen of Middle Eastern and U.S.A. connections, not only Israeli connections, engaged in this traffic, using certain offices in Mexico for some of the negotiations. The Mexican government has complained of this as loudly as it dared, in face of threatening rebukes to Mexico for mentioning such arrangements.

"The principal basis for the charge that 'Mexico is already communist' came from certain U.S. financial speculators who were enraged when actions of former President López Portillo, in imposing exchange-controls, caught these fellows with some of their lucrative deals of looting Mexico in mid-stream. To these speculative vultures a 'communist' is anyone who interferes in the success of their business-deals, no matter how immoral and contrary to U.S. vital interests those profiteering ventures might be. There are also certain U.S.-based banks, who care nothing for vital U.S. strategic interest in preserving the internal political and economic strength of this hemisphere, who confuse 'U.S. vital interests' with their own vulture-like lust for looting every economy of Ibero-America to a point of economic collapse.

"I say to Daniel James and James Buckley: 'To say that Mexico is a communist state is not only a lie, which you ought to know to be a lie, but it is a very dangerous lie. What would you gentlemen propose to do by aid of spreading these falsehoods? Would you have the United States bury every soldier and marine of the U.S. forces in a Thirty Years War throughout all of Ibero-America, while the world is collapsing enough already in the Middle East and Africa today, and

perhaps the Pacific and Indian Oceans' basins tomorrow? This hemisphere must become united and economically and politically strong. If the destabilization of Mexico proceeds, what will spread through South America will be a holocaust, such that we can all kiss the United States good-bye as well.'

"We, of the republics of this hemisphere, are brothers and sisters, with a common cultural and moral heritage based on the best fruits of Western European civilization. We share the common heritage of Leibniz, of Dr. Benjamin Franklin and his allies from the court of Spain's Charles III, from the common heritage of the Marquis de Lafayette's work as well as the work of Alexander von Humboldt. We are the colonies created out of the best of old Europe, colonies created in this hemisphere to become true republics, taking the best of old Europe and hopefully leaving the worst behind.

"There are many good peoples and nations in this world, but the affinity among the republicans of this hemisphere is of a special quality, a special intimacy in common roots and common purpose. We must at last fulfill the dream of the ideas of 1776 and 1789, the dream of U.S. Secretary of State John Quincy Adams for a community of principle among the republics of this hemisphere. We must unite, in an hour when all civilization is immediately in peril, not to unite against the other nations and peoples of the world, but to make our unity a beacon of hope for them all, a force for great good throughout this planet.

"Let us rise above the petty passions of the moment. Let us reflect on the fact that we are each mortal, and that all those pleasures of the flesh, all delights of the Seven Deadly Sins, are buried with us in our graves. Let us unite for that higher pleasure which is our joy at employing our brief mortal lives to create something of enduring benefit to the generations of humanity which come after us. Let each nation adopt for itself its proper part in serving that higher purpose for all humanity, and let each person within the nation rejoice that his contribution to the work of his nation is therefore of universal service for all humanity to come.

"It is we, whose cultural heritage is that of St. Augustine, of Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa's leadership of the Golden Renaissance, and of the mobilization of the republicans of the Old and New World under Franklin, who are richest in the capacity to grasp and act upon this higher principle among the nations of the world. Let us unite at last, as brothers and sisters dedicated to a common good. Let us clean from our places of policy-making those lustful hedonists of Dante's 'Inferno,' the traitors, the liars, the hypocrites, the looting thieves, who place their own lusts above the interests of nations and humanity as a whole.

"In the names of Lincoln and Juárez, let our republics become truly united at last. Let not the great work of centuries, the dedication and blood of republican patriots of the centuries of this hemisphere's development, be wasted for the sake of a handful of too-powerful bunglers and the lusts of evil conspirators."