

vice-president to president of Spain. Within a short time he would be dead, a victim of an ETA assassination whose purpose was to remove the designated successor to Franco as the aging General approached his death.

Carrero Blanco had the imprudence to attend mass every weekday at 9 a.m. at—of all places—the Jesuits' Church of Calle Serranos in Madrid, which happened to be a few hundred meters from his home. Word of this vulnerable habit quickly got back to ETA. ETA then spent months in the Jesuit quarter—undetected—studying in detail Carrero Blanco's movements. A basement apartment near the church was rented, on the car route the Admiral took between his home and the church. Months of excavations went on, as ETA dug a tunnel from the rented apartment to the street over which the Admiral's car passed. High explosives were then loaded into the tunnel, all this activity somehow incredibly passing "undetected" by anyone in the Jesuit quarter. Then in December 1973, the ETA's explosives blew the Spanish president and his car companions out of the world.

The murders of businessmen, police, and military by ETA continued. Hated by much of the Basque population, the organization periodically tried to find itself a popular cause. In 1980, for example, ETA claimed it was launching a campaign against the corruption of Basques through drugs. ETA, its spokesmen proclaimed, would shoot all pushers and junkies on sight. Hardly four months had passed after this hoopla when a major heroin-cocaine smuggling gang was apprehended by the Spanish police. The smugglers turned out to be ETA members. ETA's "anti-drug campaign," it would seem, was either a hoax designed to gain the organization applause, or if not, intended merely to eliminate the competition, leaving ETA a regional monopoly. The drugs were to have been sold in the Basque region and the funds derived used to finance the organization's terrorist activities.

During 1980 and early 1981, ETA "went for broke" in seeking to provoke a right-wing military coup by assassinating Spanish *Guardias civiles* and field-grade army officers. It also made itself odious both internationally and throughout the Basque provinces by killing the chief nuclear engineer at the Lemoniz, Spain, reactor site, José María Ryan.

Given the well-documented Jesuit sponsorship of the Basque-area witchcraft, Carlism, and Basque nationalism that laid the basis for ETA, as well as the documented launching of ETA at the Jesuit-run University of Deusto and the continued backing the Jesuit order has given the terrorist group under the cover of ecclesiastical immunity, the time would seem ripe to give the Society of Jesus the King Carlos III/Pope Clement XIV treatment—by expelling the order from Spain and having the Papacy dissolve it forever.

FRANCE

Paris court victory against drug sponsor

by Katherine Kanter, Paris Bureau Chief

The 17th correctional court in Paris on Jan. 11 found Dr. Claude Olievenstein, the most celebrated drug doctor in France, guilty of criminal libel against the Parti Ouvrier Européen, cothinkers of American anti-drug fighter Lyndon LaRouche. Olievenstein had falsely labeled the POE a "Nazi grouplet" in a radio broadcast last year.

The international repercussions of the verdict will be felt immediately in the boardrooms of the worldwide narcotics cartel known as Dope, Inc., particularly in that U.S. public relations subsidiary known as the Anti-Defamation League, as well as in certain "French Connection" circles affiliated with the government of France's Socialist President François Mitterrand.

These gentlemen, who have correctly evaluated the intellectual influence of LaRouche and his associates, including the relatively tiny forces of the POE in France, as a serious potential danger to their global drug and dirty-money empire, have pulled out all the stops to circulate internationally the lie that LaRouche and his allies in the International Caucus of Labor Committees are "Nazis" and "anti-Semites." But this verdict marked the second time in a year that a French court has declared their slander line a criminal offense.

On this occasion, the Drug Lobby had apparently counted on the dubious celebrity of Dr. Olievenstein to add weight to their defense. If President Mitterrand is, as he is sometimes called, the "French Jimmy Carter," then Olievenstein is his Dr. Peter Bourne. Last year, Olievenstein, a leading member of Mitterrand's election committee and chief of the Centre Marmottan "experimental" drug rehabilitation center, had publicly called for the decriminalization of all "recreational drugs" in an *Esprit* magazine article. The POE and its General Secretary Jacques Cheminade, who has worked actively on behalf of the French Anti-Drug Coalition, waged a vigorous campaign against Olievenstein and his sponsorship of "recreational" drug abuse.

Olievenstein, who until then had masqueraded as a respected authority on drugs, apparently became so upset by the POE's attacks that he conducted the "I.D.

format” defamations of LaRouche’s allies traced to Irwin Suall of the ADL, operative for the organized-crime-linked Max Fisher-Edgar Bronfman element in the U.S. Zionist lobby.

For this the French court ordered Dr. Olievenstein to pay 5000 French francs (\$1,000) in damages, as well as all court costs. Olievenstein must also pay costs of publishing official notices in two major French newspapers reporting the court’s guilty verdict against him.

The trial

The trial took place on Dec. 14 in front of a full courthouse, including several law students who stayed after the trial to debate the issues raised. One point in particular proved to be highly controversial.

The defendant’s lawyer, Maitre Attal, in effect demanded that the term Nazism be redefined as anti-Semitism. Quite apart from the question of either of those

founding members, that redefinition of Nazism would rewrite history from the standpoint demanded by today’s proponents of the genocidal economic policies that were in fact the foundation of Nazi policy. It would leave entirely out of the realm of historic inquiry the fact that the extermination of Jews, Gypsies, Slavs, and other so-called “lesser races” by the Nazis was the inevitable result of the economic and financial policies administered by Hitler’s Finance Minister Hjalmar Schacht on behalf of the London Short-Term Credit Committee representing the European oligarchy’s financial elite. The same policies, applied in any other country in the world today, would lead to the same genocide results, as recently witnessed in Peking Chinese puppet Pol Pot’s Kampuchea.

Schacht and Milton Friedman

The two Jewish witnesses called to testify by the plaintiff’s lawyer, Maitre Varaut, made this point emphatically. Philip Golub and Katherine Kanter explained that Schacht’s policies are today being popularized by Nobel Prize winner Milton Friedman, who advises Israeli Prime Minister Menachem Begin on economic policy. That very afternoon, the occupation of the Golan Heights by Israel came as an unfortunate confirmation of the witnesses’ statements on the subject.

The dossier presented by Maitre Attal in defense of Olievenstein was identical in substance to that prepared by the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) of B’nai B’rith for the *International Herald Tribune*, the *New York Times-Washington Post* subsidiary in Europe which Lyndon LaRouche took to the same court on slander charges last year. LaRouche won that trial and it appears that the ADL was simply trying to obtain a retrial through the Olievenstein case. Maitre Varaut told the court that this is what the defendants were

attempting to do, using what he termed a “clever syllogism”: Nazism=anti-Semitism=anti-Zionism=LaRouche=POE.

Maitre Varaut therefore asked the two witnesses for the POE to express their thoughts to the court about the defendant’s allegation that anti-Zionism=anti-Semitism, and to define the POE’s Middle East policy.

Golub and Kanter stated that from its founding, the POE has defended the State of Israel’s right to exist, while opposing its expansion outside its 1967 borders. Mr. Golub further ridiculed the allegation that organizations affiliated with Lyndon LaRouche are anti-Semitic: in the United States, he said, approximately half of the national leaders of the ICLC are Jewish. And like its counterpart in the United States, the POE has called for Israel’s highly-skilled labor force to be a centerpiece for a regional economic development plan that could help make peace in the region once Milton Friedman’s monetarist economic policies are scrapped.

The Max Fisher angle

In response to questioning from the defendant’s attorney, Philip Golub explained that the organized crime-linked Max Fisher/Edgar Bronfman grouping in North America, operating through the ADL, was responsible for muzzling any exposure of their criminal activities by waving the flag of anti-Semitism when such an attempt is made. The witness declared that the Max Fisher crowd skillfully plays on the guilt feelings of Jews and non-Jews alike in order to pursue their own private aims, which can

national interests of the state of Israel.

The witnesses concluded by stating that there was indeed a profound policy difference between the POE and Dr. Olievenstein, not only on these matters but on the drug issue itself which explains the attacks of the defendant and others on the POE. Maitre Varaut, using newspaper clippings to prove his point, explained how it could be objectively stated that Dr. Olievenstein serves the interests of the drug lobby, in the same way that NORML with funds from the Playboy Foundation, does in the United States.

More trials to come

The POE next goes to court in its slander case against the satirical weekly *Le Canard Enchaîné*, which wrote in June 1981 that the POE was a “Nazi” group led by a former SS officer. Jacques Cheminade, the General Secretary of the POE, was an infant during World War II, a fact which the authors of the slander neglected to mention in their zeal to attack the POE.

The POE is also considering bringing charges against the daily *Le Monde*, which published a lengthy slander against the POE and the Anti-Drug Coalition just one week before the Olievenstein ruling.