

Depopulation cultists declare war on Cardinal Cody and the Vatican

by Vivian Zoakos, European Editor

It is time to put an end to the thinly veiled press myth that the current savage attacks against Cardinal Cody of Chicago stem either from moral concern over the Cardinal's alleged financial misconduct or indeed have very much to do with the Cardinal himself. The targeting of Cardinal Cody is a tactic for escalating a longstanding campaign against the Roman Catholic Church and the Vatican itself, as the single most important institutional obstacle to global depopulation. Behind the campaign stand the Catholic schismatics directed by the Jesuit order, the cultists, and advocates of zero growth.

By its own admission, the *Chicago Sun-Times*—initiator of the slanders—has been on a year-long search for any and all material that might be used to discredit Cody. Unable to come up with any hard evidence of misconduct, the *Sun-Times* editors reverted to the old tactic of pillorying Cody by what amounts to concocted rumor. As the *Chicago Tribune* noted editorially Sept. 12, in an article otherwise endorsing the *Sun-Times*'s investigation, "Despite all the media barking and gnashing of teeth, little if any real evidence of illegality has surfaced."

The *Sun-Times* admits as much, while making clear that its real aim is to guarantee the popular outcry required for a financial assault against the Church. In a Sept. 12 article, the *Sun-Times* writes: "What the Catholic Church fears most is renewed attacks on its tax-exempt status and the impact on contributors . . . if confidence in Church leadership should be shaken." An editorial in the same edition entitled "Church-State Wall Offers No Immunity" makes the related argument that the First Amendment guarantee of religious freedom "does not always apply." A judicial inquiry of sufficient scope, the editorial continues, would be the basis for rekindling the old issue of the separation of church and state.

Through the mediation of the financial question, the stage would then be set to generate sufficient chaos to allow the schismatics to withdraw from Vatican supervision and restructure the American Church in their image of "the ecstatic, the nonrational, and the Dionysian"—to quote one of Cody's chief opponents. In other words, transform Catholicism into a mass cult. In the process the international Church would be seriously crippled.

That Cody's slanderers want to turn Catholicism into a Dionysian cult is hardly surprising when one considers that these are the people who gave us Jim Jones, the "Reverend" Jesse Jackson, the Black P. Stone Nation, and almost any other cult or countergang that comes to mind. The *Chicago Sun-Times* is their acknowledged mouthpiece, a smut sheet that regularly features articles discussing such things as the length of rock stars' sexual organs and advocating drug decriminalization. Morality is indeed at issue here, but *not* that of Cardinal Cody.

Who is behind the slanders?

Published by a ranking member of the Trilateral Commission, the *Sun-Times* was founded by Robert Hutchins after the war for the specific purpose of defending in print the activities of the University of Chicago. Hutchins was president of the university; under his liberal tutelage it became the breeding ground for most of the major social-control and population-profiling programs in the country. Trained in sophisticated sociological and brainwashing techniques, the university's army of cadre was sent out to recruit youth into a plethora of cults and countergangs, in part through the use of drugs and sexual perversion.

For example, the "swinging" craze of married couples exchanging sexual partners largely originated at the University of Chicago. Underneath it all was a fostered environmentalist ideology inimical to science and the reverence for human scientific or God-like creativity associated with traditional Catholic learning.

On the "Catholic" side, the University of Chicago interfaces with Notre Dame University, which is directed by that most evil Father Theodore Hesburgh, a powerful, if unofficial, asset of the Jesuits. It was Hesburgh's protégé at the university, Monsignor John J. Egan, who set up over a dozen of Chicago's local-control programs, training the population in "small is beautiful" zero-growth ideology. A municipal infrastructure was formed in the process, and linked up to similar operations nationwide that among other things orchestrated and ran race riots. Egan was trained in his craft by the late Saul Alinsky, an agent of Tavistock Institute psychological warfare, with whom he contin-

ued to work closely throughout his career.


This was the network that the traditionalist Cardinal Cody was sent into Chicago to clean up in 1965. One of his first acts upon taking office was to pull Egan out of the various urban organizations which he headed, ordering him instead to a small, unknown parish. Similar actions continued, and Cody became the *bête noire* of the liberal schismatics.

One of the individuals trained in Egan's urban apparatus and currently playing a prominent role in promoting the anti-Cody slander campaign is John A. McDermott. Currently the publisher of the monthly *Chicago Reporter*, McDermott's money comes from the United Church of Christ; it was McDermott who sponsored the entrée to Chicago of the family of Alberto Torres, a leader of the terrorist Puerto Rican FALN.

One of Cody's most persistent detractors from these Hesburgh networks is the well-known Jesuit Father Andrew Greeley. In his over 70 publications, Greeley has, perhaps more baldly than anyone else, explained the aims of the amoral vermin infesting the American Church. His writings have also been vehemently endorsed by Louis Mumford of *The Humanist* magazine, who has written various articles targeting "the persistent Augustinian tradition" within Catholicism and advocating a split with Rome. In his 1967 book *Religion in the Year 2000*, Greeley predicts that religion will be characterized by "ecstatic . . . Dionysian" forms and elaborates that "a good priest is a powerful, erotic person in the community." In another book, *The Mary Myth*, the good father says that the "sex goddess" Mary is proof of the androgynous nature of God. And "if God is androgynous . . . it is all right for humankind to be androgynous," and future Church liturgy should incorporate drugs and ritualized sex.

In this and various other publications, Greeley explains that behind this new cultism lies the need to reverse the "proscience bias" of Americans and the Catholic Church, advocating instead the zero-growth policies of the Club of Rome.

In short, these cited networks want to dismantle or transform the Catholic Church because they correctly view it as a powerful bulwark against their stated policy of destroying the morality of the population as well as reducing the net size of the population both in the United States and abroad. One of their number, George Ball, was most explicit on this point in the course of an interview conducted some months back. Ball was the creator of much of the federal government's population-control bureaucracy. Speaking of the need to drastically reduce Third World population levels in a world of contracting resources, Ball named the Pope and Catholic institutions as one of the most powerful stumbling blocks. "This Pope is much too strong," he said. "We must transform Church policy somehow."



Interview

Senator Williams talks about Abscam

Harrison Williams, Democrat of New Jersey, is a 23-year veteran of the U.S. Senate and former chairman of the Labor and Education Committee. In May 1981, Senator Williams was convicted in an Abscam prosecution in Brooklyn, New York. In August, the Senate Ethics Committee, without even conducting its own investigation, voted to expel Senator Williams and recommended that a vote by the full Senate be taken after Judge George Pratt decided on the substantive issue, which is Williams's "due process" (fairness) motions, in October. The Senate vote will be held in early November.

This interview is presented in order to challenge perhaps the most outrageous frameup conducted under Abscam, and warn the public of the dangers of the Senate Ethics Committee's capitulation to the Justice Department's prosecution. The Senate has now descended so far toward a "railroad" that both the Republican and Democratic Senate leaderships have announced that they will vote in early November with or without Judge Pratt's decision.

This interview was conducted on Sept. 17 by the National Democratic Policy Committee's political coordinator, Anita Gallagher, and made available to Executive Intelligence Review. The NDPC and EIR grant readers permission to reproduce the interview.

Gallagher: What are the broader constitutional issues at stake in your case, and do you intend to fight? Do you think the U.S. can survive this warfare against elected officials?

Sen. Williams: Number one, I answer yes. We are going to survive this kind of warfare because my case is a good case to fight, and in the fight we expose all that is wrong with the concept and the operation of Abscam, which is