

Why Haig is lying about terrorism

by Jeffrey Steinberg

Over the past week, an international debate has broken out over the question of whether or not the Soviet Union runs international terrorism. The debate was consciously provoked, first, by Italian Socialist Party figure Sandro Pertini, the president of the Italian Republic, and then by U.S. Secretary of State Alexander Haig. Both Pertini and Haig dramatically asserted that the Soviet Union does indeed *run international terrorism*. Secretary Haig, ostensibly representing the policy view of the Reagan administration, sent personal emissaries to Western Europe and Latin America to present “definitive documentation” of the Soviet and Cuban role in running terrorism in Central America.

In both the Pertini and Haig cases, the matter is being wilfully covered up. Both Pertini and Haig, based on knowledge at their immediate disposal, are lying.

Massive evidence in fact exists that the Soviet Union does play a role in fostering international terrorism of the sort carried out by the Italian Red Brigades, by the Baader-Meinhof gang of the Federal Republic of Germany, and by the FALN of the United States. This role is carried out by a specific faction within the Soviet leadership associated with the IMEMO think tank, with Mikhail Suslov and Boris Ponomarev, and with KGB General H. Kim Philby, a long-term penetration asset of British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) within the Soviet command.

However, as *EIR* Contributing Editor Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. has documented in a series of special memoranda and dossiers over particularly the post-1977 period, the principal *authorship* of international terrorism since the 1960s is located in the intersection of the Socialist International, the Jesuit-centered “Theology of Liberation” movement and the above-cited Soviet networks.

To overplay one component of the combination to the thorough exclusion of the other two elements is a coverup of the grossest order. This is what Secretary of State Haig is presently engaged in.



Secretary of State Alexander Haig.

For the past five weeks, the Italian press has been inundated with detailed coverage of the statements of a half-dozen convicted members of the Red Brigades. All these terrorists have publicly "spilled the beans" on the fact that the Italian Socialist Party has been the principal sponsor, trainer, and protector of the Italian terrorist networks since the very outset of the Red Brigades.

The average man on the street in every major Italian city is now intimately familiar with the names, dates, and backgrounds of the Italian Socialist Party officials who ran the Red Brigades. *Alexander Haig, the present U.S. secretary of state and former supreme commander of NATO, is at least as well informed as the average Italian citizen.*

Why, then, is Haig lying on a matter so vital to U.S. foreign policy and strategic defense?

Since no later than 1938, the best-kept secret in the world has been the fact that a section of the Soviet command, associated with the Comintern and its IMEMO-KGB successors, has been an asset of oligarchical financial and political networks centered in Venice and Genoa and controlled through the British SIS. The same faction has been the controlling factor behind the subversion of the American republic and the destruction of U.S. intelligence and counterintelligence capabilities. The same circles created and own the Socialist International majority faction, the faction associated with the "one-world" deindustrialization policies of the Brandt Commission, with the U.S. Institute for Policy Studies, and the Socialist Party of Italy.

In this section

I. Why Haig is lying about terrorism

by Jeffrey Steinberg

The IMEMO-KGB links to terrorism

A memorandum to Congress

II. Socialist Party ties to Red Brigades confirmed in Italy

by Vivian Zoakos

Tribunal in Mexico plans terrorist deployments

by Timothy Rush

III. Riot potential built up in United States

by Jeffrey Steinberg

Alexander Haig is primarily a protégé of this network. It is on behalf of this network that Haig—along with PSIEr President Pertini of Italy—has undertaken to pin the Soviet leadership in general with the sole responsibility for terrorism worldwide.

Haig's specific objective at this particular time is to tip the factional battle within the Soviet leadership—a battle that will be significantly fought out at the Soviet Party Congress at the end of February. By provoking the issue of Soviet control of terrorism, Haig hopes to affect the party congress in two specific ways.

First, the sweeping denunciation of the Soviet leadership significantly covers up for the specific actions and policy initiatives of the faction in the U.S.S.R. most graphically represented by KGB General Kim Philby.

Second, the attack serves as a "self-fulfilling" profile of the Reagan administration's attitude toward cooperative relations with the Warsaw Pact. A profile of a Reagan administration committed irreversibly to a hard-line intransigent policy toward the Soviets itself has a significant impact on the internal struggle.

Anyone denying that there does exist a factional split within the Soviet command reflected most clearly in the question of support for international terrorism is in effect branding himself as an operative of the Venice-Genoa centered oligarchical circles.

It is to this posture that Secretary of State Haig has made an out-front commitment. The past week's deployment of Lawrence Eagleburger, Vernon Walters, and Luigi Einaudi to arm-twist America's leading allies with "hard evidence" of the U.S.S.R. control over terrorism is aimed at locking the entire NATO alliance into a hostile posture toward the Warsaw Pact.

The reaction from Western Europe has been, predictably, far from cordial. When Eagleburger arrived in Paris and Bonn with his briefcase full of documents, he was so poorly received that Haig immediately recalled all U.S. ambassadors in Western Europe for a re-evaluation of the state of the alliance.

A ramming through of the Haig indictment of the Soviet role in terrorism is also being seen as a critical protective screen for a massive wave of terrorism.

At a Dec. 5-7 conference of the Socialist International in Washington, D.C., which included secret meetings with both Henry Kissinger and Soviet IMEMO Director Georgii Arbatov, a concrete plan of action was spelled out for destabilizing the governments of President Reagan, Chancellor Schmidt, and President Giscard.

To the extent that Alexander Haig's efforts to distort the terrorism debate are defeated, many leading analysts in and around Washington suspect that the Secretary of State and former Nixon White House Chief of Staff may have some serious accounting to do for his behavior spanning two presidential administrations.

The IMEMO-KGB links to terrorism

The following memorandum to members of Congress was released on Feb. 4 by the National Democratic Policy Committee, a multicandidate political action committee and policy advisory body based in New York.

Secretary of State Alexander Haig performed a significant disservice to the national security of the United States this past week by issuing a *deliberately distorted picture of the otherwise very real and significant links to international terrorism by two Soviet agencies, IMEMO and foreign-intelligence sections of the KGB associated with KGB General Harold "Kim" Philby.*

At this present moment, the United States is threatened by the *probably imminent triggering of a mixture of urban rioting and terrorism, a deployment coordinated through Willy Brandt's Socialist International and other accomplices, and complementary to the projected escalation of "Reagagate" through news media and other agencies pivoted around a key role of NBC-TV.*

The visible trigger for unleashing such combinations of terrorist acts and urban riots is the accelerated deployment of *two sets of synthetic gangs* currently being whipped up for deployment of a "Greensboro shootout"-modeled scenario on a more general scale. These two elements of *Tavistock "stability operations"* gang-and-countergang operations against the security of the United States are, on the one side, *synthetic "neo-Nazi" and "Klan" entities*, and on the other side, *a terrorist-affiliated agglomeration of "anti-Klan" forces.* The latter held a conference of its "aboveground" *political cover-propaganda elements* in Washington, D.C., during the last weekend of January.

The special danger in this deployment of the two synthetic gangs is the aid to this destabilization operation channeled through *elements of the Civiletti Department of Justice, including the Ramsey Clark-initiated Community Relations Service, and the "agent-in-place" of the CRS, the Anti-Defamation League.*