

Asia is becoming increasingly regarded as an arm of Peking foreign policy. China's continuing support for local insurgent communists, such as the Malaysian Communist Party, also reinforces suspicions of China's ultimate ambitions (see box).

Suzuki rebuffed

Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines strongly, though diplomatically, rebuffed Suzuki's "Pacific Community" notion. When Japanese Foreign Minister Masayoshi Ito urged his Indonesian counterpart, Mochtar, to normalize relations with Peking—cut off after a 1965 Peking-backed coup attempt, Mochtar replied, "it will take time." Following Suzuki's departure, Mochtar told Indonesian TV viewers that the proposals for Japanese rearmament and a regional security role "was not the will of the Japanese people or government, but was the result of U.S. pressure."

Philippines President Ferdinand Marcos told Suzuki that he would like to see Japan develop nothing more than purely defensive military capacity. He demanded reassurance that Japan's relations with China would stick to the economic sphere and not develop into military cooperation.

In Singapore and Thailand, on the other hand, Suzuki found hospitality more to his liking. Singapore's

Foreign Minister Dhanabalan urged Japan to build up its military, arguing, "If Japan fails to have a defense power of its own, it would increase the defense burden of the U.S., and thus the U.S. defense efforts in the region of ASEAN and the Indian Ocean would be cut off." Thai Premier Prem Tinsulanond urged Japan to take on a regional military role.

Suzuki in turn supported the efforts of Thailand, Singapore, and China to polarize Asia around the Kampuchea issue. He told the Foreign Press Club in Bangkok on Jan. 19 that the "Cambodia tragedy" was "the result of military intervention by the Vietnamese." He supported Thai-Singapore efforts to find a respectable cover for Pol Pot in the form of San Sonn's minuscule forces, and pledged financial aid to the Khmer Rouge camps located on Thai soil.

Ruralism versus development

On the economic side, Suzuki promised \$1.5 billion in yen credits spread over a number of years, of which perhaps \$400 million was simply reaffirmation of commitments made in 1977 by Fukuda, and then stalled under successors Masayoshi Ohira and Suzuki.

The ASEAN leaders in Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines criticized, within the bounds of proper diplomacy, the content of the aid packages for abandoning the industrial cooperation pledged by Fukuda. Suzuki specifically restricted Japan's aid to small-scale rural projects, nonconventional alternate energy sources (*not* including nuclear), small and medium-scale businesses, and "human resources."

For example, out of the \$215 million total credit package for the Philippines, \$100 million was devoted to a geothermal energy project. Similarly, \$100 million out of the total ASEAN package was devoted to technical training centers—not to train ASEAN students in the techniques of modernization, but only in soft energies, small rural projects, and the like.

Philippines President Marcos told the press later that his country did not want to remain only a supplier of raw and semiprocessed materials to Japan but insisted "Japan must transfer to us some technology." Indonesia's Mochtar pointedly remarked on Indonesian TV that Japan, "having enjoyed 100 years of modernization since the Meiji Restoration, could contribute its high technology to the Indonesian development process." To make sure the point stuck he referenced transfer-of-technology agreements just concluded with France and West Germany.

As oil suppliers, Malaysia and Indonesia were able to demand that Suzuki maintain credit to urea fertilizer projects originally agreed to by Fukuda. These projects for a total of \$350 million plus a \$150 million project for a hydro-power plant in Indonesia were the sole exceptions to the ruralist program of Suzuki.

'Peking is lying'

The former chairman of the Malaysian Communist Party (CPM) publicly labeled Peking Prime Minister Zhao Ziyang's pledge to cease support for communist insurgencies in Southeast Asia a lie.

On a January trip to Thailand, Zhao promised, "Our relations with the communist parties of the ASEAN countries are based upon political and spiritual ties. . . . China will prevent our relations with the communist parties of the ASEAN countries from affecting friendly relations between China and ASEAN." Singapore's Lee Kuan Yew has been vouching for the sincerity of Zhao's statements.

CPM Chairman Musa Ibn Ahmed just returned to Malaysia after 25 years in China and told the press that Peking's reticence is only temporary and tactical. "In the long run, China will continue to give help to the pro-Peking communist parties because Peking wants to extend its influence in this region through those parties."