no less frequently than a weekly basis by the same gang of gray men who actually run Lehman-Warburg, Lazard, and the CFR. Javits is a crook, but also merely a stooge for the British intelligence networks which have "guided" his career since World War II.

Javits was key in Governor Hugh Carey's Gestapolike orchestration of the passage of "drug-decriminalization" legislation through the Albany legislature. The legislature had previously voted down the proposal. Javits, on orders from the gray men who control him, acted to blackmail key Republican legislators into voting up "decriminalization." Carey deployed the New York State Police to dragoon the legislature into passing the foul bill. As a result, Bronfman came up with \$350,000 in aid for Carey's held-over campaign debts.

The same corrupt character of Hugh Carey surfaced again recently. After receiving massive "loans" from Bronfman, Carey switched from opposing legalized gambling in New York to joining with Mayor Koch in promoting the project. Javits, Carey, and Koch propose to solve the crime problem by legalizing organized crime. Not strangely, their campaigns are substantially funded and controlled by those forces which have controlled organized crime in the U.S. and Caribbean since Arnold Rothstein's creation of U.S. organized crime at the outset of Prohibition.

Public Service Television recently broadcast a series on the drug problem. The theme of the series

was provided by the Hong Kong police, whose interviewed representatives repeatedly insisted that the drug problem cannot be controlled as long as drug consumers exist. It happens that the Hong Kong police force is bribed to the aggregate amount of \$1 billion annually, from top officials down to the lowest ranks, by the international drug cartel. It is, similarly, not accidental that Senators Jake Javits and Ted Kennedy should echo the same line as the corrupt Hong Kong police.

The Heritage Foundation

Otherwise, the keystone organization in the plot to wreck the U.S. banking system is the Heritage Foundation. It is the Heritage Foundation's "free enterprise" campaign, a multibillion-dollar campaign overall, first launched as a libel against the U.S. Labor Party this past May and June, which has been the key ideological factor in swinging pressured Midwestern and other bankers into tolerating the Republican National Committee's switch from a pro-capital-formation to an anti-industry line. The links of the Heritage Foundation to the illegal drug traffic are instructive on this point.

The Heritage Foundation is a joint intelligence operation of British intelligence and the intelligence arm of the British-centered forces of the Order of Malta, the Mont Pelerin Society. Exemplary, the frau-

The British and British-American press: two ways of 'covering' the EMS

In the U.S., scant, "EMF is antidollar" coverage of the new European Monetary Fund was to serve the purpose of keeping the U.S. entirely away from the EMF. What little commentary did appear is typified by the following excerpts from the Oct. 2 Newsweek:

"The U.S. of course does want a close look at the fine print to make certain that the scheme will not be used to attack the dollar, to remonetize gold, or to subvert the central role of the International Monetary Fund....

"Despite ... official reassurances, the currency markets have reacted to the recent news that the new union is likely to be formed by pushing the dollar down." And from the prestigious Journal of Commerce of Oct. 10:

"There is probably nothing all that wrong about the two countries with the most economic power, Germany and France, fixing up a deal. But the spirit in which their proposals are presented to the other member countries doesn't augur well for third countries like the U.S., Japan, or the developing world.

"The third largest country, Britain, has been too lukewarm about the proposal, and, accordingly lost influence....

"A successful system could mean more dramatic swings in exchange rates between the European bloc and the dollar.... There are dangers here and the U.S. should be alert to their implications."

While the U.S. was kept in the dark about the new monetary system, what one commentator called a "consensus of despair" began to appear in Britain, notably kicked off by the Sept. 24 Observer:

"So far the British government has been completely outflanked in discussions about EMS. Although official sources emphasize that discussions have a long way to go, one cannot help but notice that they seem to be going a long way in a very short time, and they are not going the way the British would like....

"The uncomfortable truth is that... the British are dithering, have no clear policy, and merely want to delay those who will not be delayed. It is the classic preparation for being routed."

Or, later in the Oct. 8 Observer:

"Mr. Callaghan and Mr. Healey have come to the conclusion that Britain which at first tried to

18 SPECIAL REPORT

dulent libel against the U.S. Labor Party bears the "I.D. format" of proterrorist operatives such as Harvey Kahn of the Morton Halperin, IPS-linked proterrorist organization CounterSpy.

The connection of the Heritage Foundation to illegal drug interests is most immediately and conclusively exposed by examining British and Canadian banking. In Canada, the keystones of the Zionist lobby, the Bronfmans and Montefiores, are incestuously interpenetrated with the British Order of Malta. Internationally, it is the British Order of Malta and allied networks which jointly run the world's illegal drug traffic. It is not surprising that the Order of Malta in the USA is overtly deployed in behalf of "drug decriminalization." The Mont Pelerin Society is the intelligence arm of this prodrug network of the Order of Malta.

The British factions of the Order of Malta are, interestingly enough, composed of the European and Mediterranean "black nobility," a force which overtly professes an anticapitalist, profeudal, as well as (often) promonarchist line. It is not properly astonishing that Senator Kennedy should be on an anti-industry kick, together with the profeudalist Mont Pelerin crowd. What is ironical in that connection is the fact that Senator Ted Kennedy is working as an agent of those forces which organized the assassination of his older brother, John F. Kennedy. (One wonders whether stupidity or immorality is the greater vector in Kennedy's political profile.)

Past and present plots

This is by no means the first time the British intelligence service has used the "free enterprise" hoax as a means for plunging the U.S. economy into a depression. In the 19th century, the term used was not "free enterprise" but "free trade." This was the doctrine set forth by British intelligence agent Adam Smith in his Wealth of Nations, the Smith colonialist policy against which the American Revolution was fought.

Through the influence of such British agents as the Gallatins, the United States' financial and economic growth — as well as military readiness — were sabotaged under President Thomas Jefferson. Although the U.S. economy enjoyed a dirigist economic recovery during the War of 1812, at the close of that war a "free trade" policy was introduced into the USA through British agents and agents of influence. From 1815 to 1818, the U.S. economy was plunged into a depression. A return to dirigist policies under Monroe and John Quincy Adams effected both economic recovery and one of the greatest periods of technological progress and general growth in our nation's history.

Through the election of Van Buren puppet Andrew Jackson to the presidency, the Second Bank of the United States was nullified in practice and then disbanded. The control of U.S. credit was placed at the

sabotage the scheme (EMS - ed.), cannot afford to be left isolated from the new arrangement. . . . At the last meeting with the Germans. . . the British aim was to undermine the scheme. . . . When that failed, Britain tried to turn the EMS to its advantage. . . . "

Consider the entry in the Daily Telegraph of Oct. 21:

"British Ministers seem to have gone to Bonn clinging to the illusion that the Germans would pay a handsome price for our involvement. They came home sadder and wiser."

And the pitch accelerated with the appearance of the Oct. 25 Financial Times "stiff upper lip" column:

"The proposed European Monetary System is worthless as presently formulated and of no advantage to Britain, according to Lord Armstrong, the chairman of Midland Bank and former head of

the Civil Service and of the Treasury.

". . . I see no advantage in hitching ourselves to a European currency bloc. It does nothing for us against the dollar or yen."

The Oct. 22 Sunday Times was clearly shaken:

". . . Britain's Prime Minister made it painfully clear to his German friends at Thursday's summit meeting in Bonn that he no longer expects to join the European monetary system on Jan. 1. The meeting dripped with goodwill and unctuous expressions of mutual sympathy and understanding. In hard facts, the cleavage was plain. so much so that Germany has already reacted to the new situation. . . . ''

A financial call to arms was issued by Peter Jenkins in the Oct. 23 Guardian:

"The Cabinet is yet to make a formal decision but Ministers have come to regard the EMS as little more than a thinly disguised version of the old German dominated currency snake.

"To this end the Government will be trying to preserve the ability to influence - from the outside - any developments by the snake in the direction of a genuine 'European' currency system. . . .'