

# Is U.S. policy made in Disneyland?

*Lunacy in Washington threatens disaster, depression and war*

If our nation is not destroyed by a continuation of the policy trends emerging around the Camp David summit, future historians will point to the current episode as among the most extraordinary, and ominous, cases of mass psychopathological outbreaks in modern history.

Not only has the Carter Administration plunged into outright madness, but the same lemming-like lunacy is predominant in corporate, financial, and other leading circles.

Although this madness surfaced to general notice during the course of the Camp David summit's marathon "sensitivity sessions," the turning point is more efficiently dated to mid-August proceedings of the National Security Council. Out of these sessions appeared a Carter *carte blanche* to dollar-wreckers W. Michael Blumenthal and G. William Miller, and a kind of cold coup d'état led by Vice-President Mondale and NSC chief Zbigniew Brzezinski.

The core of U.S. Administration policy at the moment is a proposed "defense" of the U.S. dollar *against continental European efforts to save the dollar from collapse and the U.S. economy from a new, deep depression*. The Carter Administration has put West Germany's Chancellor Helmut Schmidt on the virtual "enemies list," purportedly enraged by both Schmidt's contempt for the Camp David fiasco, and by Schmidt's insistence on defending the value of the U.S. dollar. That is not our own inference; *that is precisely the argument made by key Carter Administration spokesmen*.

The lunacy among key financial and a growing number of industrial-corporate circles follows the exact same lines.

The lunacy is not confined to the suicidal absurdity of the policy itself. In an effort ostensibly directed to saving the appearances of a lunatic policy, a *clinically psychotic policymaking doctrine* has been imposed top down on all foreign-policy aspects of the Carter Administration as a whole. "We have made up our mind: any facts contrary to our policy will be obsessively ignored. We will collectively refuse to hear anything that might threaten to show our policies to be absurd."

One is reminded of an emotionally disturbed child caught stealing. The child covers its ears with its

hands, and screams at the adults: "Stop talking immediately; I can't hear you."

These pathological hues dominated the Carter Administration at the "living theater" performances of Sadat, Carter, and Begin at the Sunday-night TV performance of the Camp David Players. It was appropriate, in a morbid sort of way, that a Disneyland setting should have been chosen as the location for a subsequent display of new, related degradations in Administration policy.

## Jimmy in Fantasyland

At the beginning of this month President Carter flew to Disneyworld in Orlando, Fla. to address a 2,500-strong meeting of the International Chamber of Commerce there. As TV and newspaper photographers took pictures of the President posed before Cinderella's Castle, he assured the assembled business leaders that Camp David really was a diplomatic and strategic success. The same week, two highly placed Administration officials, not physically in Disneyworld, nevertheless told this news service that they foresaw "a major problem" if Europe's new monetary system "supported the dollar. . . . There can be no fixed rates. There must not be an attempt to determine the rate of the dollar."

Meanwhile, the business community has announced its embrace of a variety of related "slow growth," "necessary recession" recipes that saner heads recognize will mean both political and economic disaster.

## Mickey Mouse politics

On Capitol Hill, the scene might appear to be either a mass outbreak of political transvestitism, or merely some of the Congressmen trying on their Halloween costumes. The centerpiece of this unwholesome exhibition was a combination of drug-pushing Senator Edward Kennedy and a gaggle of Republicans lining up to defeat an override of President Carter's veto of the public works bill.

Days earlier, some of the same Republicans had contributed to a majority for the bill, a majority adequate to override any veto. Days earlier, Carter himself had, admittedly reluctantly, offered a firm promise to make "jobs" a number-one priority.

The broad judgment to be made on the whole spectacle is: *Whom the British would destroy, they first drive mad.*

There is no secret concerning the British monarchy's determination to have Carter out of the White House *before 1981*. The London *Economist*, mouthpiece of the British monarchy's Secret Intelligence Service, shamelessly advertised its determination to have Mondale replace Carter during 1978 among its New Year's wishes. Senator Kennedy occasionally supports President Carter—as the rope supports the hanged. Brzezinski, Schlesinger, Turner, and Mondale are maneuvering President Carter in their own, obvious effort to emulate Kissinger and Haig's "inside operation" in ousting President Richard Nixon.

The general British-Zionist operation against President Carter is exactly as we have indicated: *Drive him mad, and so discredit him.* A comparison of the British intelligence voices in the London press with the mutterings of known British agents and agents-of-influence in Washington and New York shows that this is the conscious intent behind Kissinger and Brzezinski's orchestration of the "Camp David" fiasco and the rest. In London, British circles concede that the Camp David scenario is the road to Carter's undoing; in the U.S., British agents and agents-of-influence are insisting that the U.S. adhere unswervingly to the Camp David line. One looks back to the *Economist's* list of 1978 New Year's wishes, and nods.

### The 'scenario' that fits reality

The Soviets know that if the EMF does not quickly become the keystone of a new world monetary system, then the IMF "conditions" policy will prevail, and that general thermonuclear war during no later than the medium-term period becomes virtually inevitable. This perception subsumes the stated perception that the Zionist networks are no longer to be given the slightest degree of toleration inside or outside the Warsaw Pact alliance, and that Israel's current role in the Middle East must be blocked at all necessary strategic risks.

This does not mean that either the Soviets, or the leaders of continental Western Europe, or Japan, and so forth will react against the IMF-Camp David

combination along the lines of the alternative options defined by the Brzezinski scenario. Every principal nation in the world, including the Soviet leadership, has made clear that it understands the essential reality of Camp David. The USA is currently being run by the enemies of the United States, by the forces of the British monarchy and that monarchy's Zionist stooges. The Soviets, Europe, Japan, astute Arab leaders and others will avoid actions against the United States as Brzezinski imagines. *They will act against the British monarchy and the Zionists.*

For example, we are very close to the point at which the Soviet government may launch a massive crackdown on Zionist networks inside the Warsaw Pact nations. To the clamor of protests they might deliberately provoke in that way, the Soviet reply would be "This is an internal affair of the Soviet Union, which is rooting out nests of British and British-owned Zionist espionage networks." They would probably add something to the effect: "It is notorious that Brzezinski, Kissinger, and Schlesinger are British agents-of-influence in U.S. leading circles, and that the Carter Administration has been taken over by the Zionist lobby. Since the Zionist-influenced Carter Administration refuses to denounce Israeli control of international terrorism and to oppose the war-crimes of the Israeli government's Nazi puppets in Lebanon, a certain question-mark is to be placed on the Carter Administration's competence to judge matters of 'human rights.' "

The worse the situation becomes in the Middle East, the more probable such a crackdown on Warsaw Pact Zionist networks becomes. This must be viewed as a Soviet option for telegraphing its determination to honor its military alliance with Syria, thus attempting to forewarn the Carter Administration of the strategic confrontation implicit in its foolish Camp David policy.

The bulk of the world's nations are viewing the current strategic reality in terms of the implications of choices between Britain's "IMF conditions" proposals of austerity and war, and the new world monetary system for which the European Monetary Fund is keystone. The problematics created by U.S. Administration follies, by Wall Street lunacies, and so forth, are viewed by the leading forces of continental

Europe, Japan and key developing nations as byproducts of the USA's being reduced for the moment to a brainwashed puppet of combined British-monarchy, "Black Maltese" (e.g., Mont Pelerin), and Zionist forces. The operations of other nations concerning the USA today will be aimed at weakening and discrediting the British, Mont Pelerin, and Zionist forces which temporarily have Wall Street and the Carter Administration in a lunatic, brainwashed state.

That is the "scenario" which corresponds to reality.

In contrast, the Carter Administration constructs its own policy-fantasy on the presumption that it must not acknowledge the truth of its own circumstances, must deny that it is acting as a puppet of Britain and the Zionists. By so denying the essential truth of its own policies, and constructing scenarios based on such hysterical attempts at denying the fundamental, all the scenarios and intelligence estimates produced by the Administration are axiomatically lunacy from the standpoint of the reality to which they are presumably addressed. The Administration is

momentarily incapable of recognizing reality, either in terms of policy or the assessment of any important fact confronting them. They are collectively as a man insane.

The leading forces of other nations are operating on the basis of an increasingly precise understanding of the nature and source of the Carter Administration's specific lunacy. They are acting to bring the Carter Administration out of its presently brainwashed state. It is sophisticated designs of action aimed at producing that result, breaking the brainwashing control over the Carter Administration, which will guide European and other most-sophisticated nations in dealing with the problems cause by British-Zionist takeover of the U.S. government's and Wall Street's policymaking.

If they do not succeed — unless the Administration is freed from its present brainwashed state — the next stop after Disneyland will be Halloween.

—Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

## 1. Economic fantasyland: 'domestic Camp David'

U.S. government and business circles are merrily committing themselves to measures which fall under the rubric of "destroying the U.S. economy to save the dollar." Cases in point are the President's new "tough stance" against "inflationary government spending" and precipitous moves by various Congressmen and business interests to torpedo the GATT talks and foment trade war with our best trading partners and allies.

In the case of Jimmy Carter, it is not hard to chart the evolution of this new phase of irrational behavior. Since the Camp David summit and the "shift in the public opinion polls in his favor," Carter has become more than ever a creature of consensus politics. Carter's visit to Florida's Disneyworld after Camp David also appears to have left an indelible impression on him. He is presently acting out the role of "strong executive squelching inflationary congressional spending," with considerable prompting from the media.

### The public works and tax cut vetos

Within the last week the new Carter vetoed the public works bill and threatened to veto the tax cut bill if House-Senate conferees do not significantly reduce the size of the \$29.3 billion tax cut passed by the Senate 86 to 4 on Oct. 10.

To be sure, the public works and tax cut bills are not the miracle workers that their ardent supporters make them out to be. Their principal effect would be to postpone a new deep recession in the U.S. economy—and give the U.S. some breathing space for

reorienting to the new international monetary system now being implemented by rational world leaders outside the U.S. But in vetoing the bills, Carter is merely following London's script for an early depression in the U.S.A.

"President Carter's impressive performance in sustaining his veto of the inflationary public works bill is his 'domestic camp David,'" wrote the editors of the *Baltimore Sun* Oct. 9. It is on the basis of such media prompting that the Carter White House, under the direction of Carter "image booster" Gerald Rafshoon, is now formulating national policy. "And there will be more anti-inflation vetos a-coming as the President seeks to put himself in the vanguard of fiscal conservatism," the *Sun* editors tell Carter.

The same day *Christian Science Monitor* correspondent Godfrey Sperling, Jr. warned Carter that his rising popularity depends on his performance on the economic front: "Mr. Carter must deal effectively with inflation or he will fail to hold public opinion behind him."

The brainwashing technique practiced by the "Chinese Zionist Monitor" and other British-contaminated press channels involves simply flattering the U.S. President about his new "clout" with Congress, world leaders, and the public following the Camp David fiasco. The tales of the fictitious public opinion polls are having their intended effect of egging Carter on to greater and greater feats of "fiscal conservative" lunacy.

Next week Carter is expected to unveil his new, toughened anti-inflation policy in a besweated