

The Time For Justice Has Come

LaRouche Calls For Justice In The Moro Case

On May 9 the chairman of the U.S. Labor Party, Lyndon H. LaRouche, issued a statement on the death of Aldo Moro at the hands of Red Brigade terrorists. The full text of LaRouche's statement appeared in the Labor Party's newspaper, New Solidarity (Vol. IX, Nos. 21 and 22, May 12 and 16, 1978); we reprint portions of it here:

It is the task of judges that justice shall be done both to the murdered Aldo Moro and to the living of Europe. The tortured captivity and death of Signor Moro must be transformed into a sacrifice that gives Signor Moro's having lived and suffered greater meaning for society than had his life continued without this trauma. It is not retribution, but some appropriate great work that weakens the cause of evil in favor of the good.

We must consider the wisdom that must guide the justices, and then we shall turn our attention to the wisdom required for the investigation.

Henry A. Kissinger, Bettino Craxi, and other sly apologists for the criminals have insisted publicly that the terrorists are essentially misguided zealots, engaged in regrettable acts in the pursuit of the legitimate protest. We shall examine Messrs. Kissinger and Craxi appropriately under the heading of the work of criminal investigations. For this moment, consider the argument of Kissinger and Craxi as that of the two miserable creatures pleading *amicus curiae* in aid of the criminals.

History did not begin yesterday morning, and no magistrate or court can be permitted to judge this case otherwise than from the standpoint of the thousands of years of history, which history instructs us that in persons of the Red Brigades and their sympathizers we have nothing but the crazed beast-worshippers of the Phrygian cult of Dionysus. This evil pagan cult has been constituted repeatedly over the millenia, as the pagan cult of Bacchus, the Ptolemaic Isis-Osiris cult, and as the British-created Odin cult to which Adolph Hitler belonged. . . .

Wisdom and Investigation

Although key elements of European intelligence and security services largely agree with my own published analysis of terrorism, at least in respect to the facts about the terrorists and their creators, no government, including the government of Italy, has yet adopted that policy or given its intelligence and security forces the directive and mandate for the correspondingly appropriate antiterrorist measures.

The root of this problem is not the government's reluctance to deal with the terrorists themselves. Governments are not to be blamed casually for this reluctance. Behind terrorism stands the British monarchy, U.S.A. forces associated with both Henry Kissinger and Senator Edward Kennedy, influential circles in Switzerland, and, of course, the government of Israel. In addition to state forces behind the terrorists, there are powerful financial forces, such as those City of

London networks associated with Cuccia of Mediobanca in Italy and the oligarchist Agnelli family. To attack the financier forces behind terrorism is to engage in an open confrontation with financial forces which threaten to bring down national credit and national economies. This is aggravated by British secret-intelligence networks within each principal European parliamentary party.

Although Prime Minister Andreotti has checked the Agnelli-centered British influenced faction in the DC, not to the credit of Cossiga or Marcora, and Berlinguer, Lama and others have checked British agents Napolitano, Amendola and others within the PCI, the honest PSI forces were reduced to a minority during 1976 through a British-intelligence-rigged "cold coup d'état" within that party. Outside the DC and PCI, there is predominantly political rubble or worse. This is aggravated by certain nasty elements within the DC which have sabotaged its efficient connection to leading forces within the church.

If one criticizes what appears to be error and weakness on the terrorism issue among honest heads of governments in Europe, one must advance that criticism with accompanying awareness of the difficulties involved.

Frightened governments have delimited antiterrorist measures to those which do not risk diplomatic or financial reprisals, and which do not rupture the integument of major political parties. In this circumstance, the inadequacies of intelligence and security services' investigative and counter-measures capabilities are aggravated by governmental policies which prevent agencies from pursuing effective measures of which they are presently capable and from developing improvements in investigative and counter-measures technologies. . . .

As long as intelligence and security agencies are limited by instructions and mandate to methods which presume that terrorists are "an independent, indigenous force," the security actions are the labor of Sisyphus: terrorist forces and actions will increase more rapidly than death and capture depletes their forces. What intelligence and security forces are permitted to do — chiefly chasing the lowest rank terrorist expendables from one safe-house and safe-conduit to another — is at best a massive exertion which does not scratch the essential problem.

Only on condition that governments direct and mandate their security forces to deal with the problem of Phrygian Dionysian murder cults, an instrument of a supranational oligarchical faction centered in the British monarchy, can the problem be controlled.

Computer Aids

Once the problem is properly defined in that way, the investigation lends itself to computer-assisted supervision. Start, for example, with *British anti-terrorist forces*, including emphatically Special Air Services: this and Lord Wingate's networks in Israel put one on the

main lines for tracing networks of professional training of terrorists. The highly trained terrorists are trained in techniques developed by SAS and other antiterrorist professionals, and trained in significant part by professional antiterrorist instructors from SAS and similar institutions. Start, for example, with Henry A. Kissinger, the Harvard University Mazzini Society, coordinated by the same British Special Intelligence Services operative, William Yandell Elliott, who guided Kissinger into SIS training and service. This procedure produces a network grid of utmost relevance for Italy.

In Italy, trace out the interface among the fascist MSI, Israeli intelligence, Agnelli-linked elements of the "Black Nobility," the British "White Resistance" network, and the Action Party-Justice and Liberty network. The interfacing and overlaps of these, including Cuccia's Mediobanca-Lazard elements, identify the hard-core of the privileged terrorist infrastructure in Italy.

Within the Socialist International trace out first those networks of personalities which fall into the 1930s and war-time networks of the Amsterdam and London "Socialist-anarchosyndicalist internationals" overlapping the Independent Labour Party in Britain between the wars. Grid these elements of the Socialist International with their networks in communist organizations — notably typified by the connection among Israeli intelligence, Bettino Craxi, Spain's Santiago Carrillo, and Amendola and Napolitano of the Italian Communist Party (PCI). This aids in locating the interfaces involving Feltrinelli into Hamburg, the PCI, the Italian Socialist Party (PSI), the black nobility circuits through which the Red Brigades were developed under the patronage of Alberoni.

Interface this with the international networks of the Kennedy family's creation, the Institute for Policy Studies (IPS). This includes the British-Dutch-IPS center for international terrorism spanning from the London Institute for Race Relations to the Transnational Institute.

Interface these with the members and spin-off organizations of the London Institute for the Study of Conflict, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the International Institute for Strategic Studies, Tavistock Institute, and the all important Bertrand Russell networks.

Add the U.S.-Berlin Aspen Institute and the World Watch Institute. Add the Club of Rome. Add Oxford University's Balliol College, the London School of Economics, and intelligence-linked other sections of Oxford, Cambridge and Sussex universities.

Add, now, the factional divisions within Freemasonry. Grid Rothschild, Lazard, Oppenheimer, Barings, Schroeder, Hambros, Lloyds, and other British and Anglo-German banking networks.

Add British Sunni and Shi'ite networks in the Islamic sector, using such figures as Witteveen as useful points of reference. Include Sicco Mansholt as an important element, as well as Denis Healey, Roy Jenkins, Winston Churchill III, Robert Moss, Christopher Mayhew, Robert Swann, and so forth as key British SIS executives. Recognize that both the World Federalists and Amnesty International are British intelligence organization-covers, and grid them and their influential members and cells as key elements of the tracing. Trace

out the element of British MI-5 in Interpol, and British networks within NATO.

This is by no means complete, but it is an adequate basic beginning.

Having prescribed the approach for building a computer data-base, we are now prepared and obliged to prescribe the crucial point of methodology which study of these networks requires. Apart from the fact that the appearance of an individual name in the network does signify a channel of influence, the point is not to round up each and every individual whose name appears. The issue of method is that of knowing how to trace out what is important from what is otherwise less important or downright misleading.

For first approximation, count the number of lines of intersection impinging upon each organization and individual. Those of prominence because of the great number of intersections now have heavier lines drawn from them to each of their associations. Now, study the whole a second time, weighting the evaluation from combined factions of heavy ordinary lines converging upon any point. This determines double-heavy lines.

That done, now reexamine the whole array *not in terms of individuals, but of patterns*. This gives a good first approximation of the main patterns defining networks and principal nodes...

Such a computer technique is not a substitute for thinking. It is a device for quickly abstracting the information processed constantly from many persons in the form required by a single group of individuals...

I most strongly urge every key European security officer to study the following writings of Poe, as one of the most efficient presentations of the methods properly governing sophisticated problems of criminal investigations. The distinction of Poe's work is that he, an able Neoplatonist master, used poems, short stories and satires as a way of bringing the principles of the Neoplatonic method of reason within the grasp of the more sensitive minds among typical sorts of his educated American readers. His detective stories, notably those around the fictional character C. Auguste Dupin, are among the most useful primers for teaching this method available in any language...

Poe distinguishes three levels of mepounding to the appropriate one of the three levels of knowledge in Plato. The lowest is Baconian inductive method. Poe in one location makes a pun on Bacon and hog, terming Baconian inductive method as hoggishness. He also describes this hoggish method as one of crawling, of sniffing and collecting objects on the surface of the earth, one's snout so close to the object that the reality of the object is not seen. The next highest, second level, the deductive method, Poe terms "creeping." Then, there is the highest level, reason in the sense of Plato's definition of Socratic reason...

That is precisely the case confronting Italy's intelligence and security agencies. The inductive method — collecting individual facts in the vicinity of terrorists and terrorist activities as such — will lead to irreparable failure. The deductive method will yield better results than the inductive, but will also fail irreparably, in respect to the required result. Only the method of reason can lead to the desired solutions.

Two notable elements are required.

First, one must look at the crucial features of the terrorist problem which distinguish terrorist acts from the kinds of operations which might have been performed by indigenous criminal groups working independently. One must identify who in the entire society benefits from *probable* response of governments and security forces to such patterns of terrorist acts.

For example, Ugo La Malfa and Riccardo Lombardi are both members of approximately the same rank in the same section of the Italian division of British secret intelligence. Yet, La Malfa demands police-state measures in response to terrorism, whereas Lombardi's subaltern, Craxi, demands a soft, concessional approach to the terrorists. The common master of La Malfa and Lombardi is speaking with two, apparently opposite voices. The master is for neither policy; the master is seeking the effect of the government attempting to choose between those alternatives under conditions of escalated terrorism.

The common master of British agent La Malfa and Lombardi is working in behalf of Kissinger's modified Chile scenario for Italy: clear to anyone who has studied Kissinger's operation in Chile.

Secondly, as Dupin emphasizes, and as most of Poe's writing emphasizes, one must know how to use one's own mind to look into the mind of the adversary. One must, in particular, understand the world outlook and way of thinking of the antitechnology oligarchist behind the terrorists and also the Dionysian way of thinking associated with the expendable rubbish...

That is the key to defeating the British. Their commitment to the oligarchical cause obliges them, as a kind of species-commitment, not to employ any higher order of method than the deductive method. If one employs the method of reason, *one has the kind of advantage over them that a 180-pound man has over a 600-pound gorilla*. If you play according to their rules of the game, they will defeat you... Their international terrorism will defeat you.

If you will not take this advice from me alone, there are those within the Church who also understand this method.

Two Levels of Mystery

There are two levels of mystery to be considered in dealing with the problem of international terrorism.

The British advantage is that you live and think as if history did not exist. You are governed by what you imagine to be the lessons of your experience, by your prejudices. To the extent you imagine you have been informed on history, what you believe you know is foolish-

ness, the lies which have been taught increasingly in Italy's schools since Horatio Nelson gained control over the University of Naples. Although sections of Italy's press are more honest than most U.S.A. press or West Germany's major press, you do not know even the important points of contemporary reality, but only selected and twisted version of reality.

Most of you believe, for example, that politics is determined along lines of right-center-left. Even leading politicians believe that childish fairy-tale. Just because you act out that sort of fairy-tale, most of you imagine that the fairy-tale is real.

The British inner circles, who invented these lies and fairy-tales for you to believe, snicker contentedly at your foolish beliefs. On that level, they know the reality of the arrangements, and to you that reality is a mystery.

Otherwise, Ugo La Malfa, little, small-minded La Malfa, could not have continued his standing as a public figure from the Mussolini period to the present date. He would be standing pathetically uninfluential on a street corner saying his silly things, and somewhat cruel little groups from among passersby would pause for a moment to ridicule so miserably useless a creature. The British loot you because you permit yourself to be mystified, the British even induce you to treat so ridiculous a figure as La Malfa as a public personage!

However, there is a higher reality, which the British are incapable of comprehending — since it exists beyond the domain of deduction. From that vantage-point, you can render the British helpless in short order.

If I have sometimes seemed too tough to you, it is because I have the advantage of seeing the world from the standpoint of reason, and see possibilities which are efficiently beyond British comprehension. As long as you permit yourselves to be befuddled by the British, naturally, such possibilities will not be clear to you.

It would have been better if the correct advice of myself and my associates had been heeded. Numerous persons now dead would be alive today. If you will not act on my advice, the remedy is that you discover the solution for yourself, by the method I have recommended.

If the death of Aldo Moro provokes you, at last, to turn to the effective methods you have generally avoided heretofore, not only will you solve the mystery, but you will have qualitatively improved your own capabilities and those of leading forces within the nation. If you do that in response to the crime we have witnessed, then the better nation you will contribute to developing by this means will be the result which provides justice for Aldo Moro — the justification that his death has not been in vain.