

Terrorists Plant Hoax On Wall Street Journal

The following analysis was released on March 21, 1978 by U.S. Labor Party Chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Yesterday, the *Wall Street Journal* ran a fraudulent account, based on anonymous sources, that the Soviet secret political intelligence services (KGB) is the primary controller of international terrorism. Investigations prove that the source of the hoax planted in the *Journal* was the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS). *SIS is the controlling agency behind international terrorism.*

A similar hoax has been run through the pages of the leading conservative Italian daily, *Il Giornale*, as well as various other duped conduits.

Key to understanding the leaking of this hoax into the *Wall Street Journal* itself is an article published in the March 20 issue of the London *Daily Telegraph* by key SIS operative Robert Moss. The article is entitled "The Soviet Stake in Fatah Terror" and carries the accompanying slug "Israel Hits Back."

Robert Moss is an SIS operative most closely associated with fellow SIS operative Winston Churchill III. Moss, like Churchill, publicly profiled as a spokesman for British Conservative circles linked with Edward Heath and Margaret Thatcher, is in fact principally assigned to subversion of the United States, and unfortunately has accumulated ill-deserved credibility among conservative business and financial circles around Manhattan and elsewhere. Moss is assigned by SIS to the Canada-linked task-force responsible for the subversion of the United States, a member of the network earlier associated with such key anti-USA SIS operatives as John Wheeler-Bennet and Harvard's William Yandell Elliot.

The source to which Moss attributes the principal elements of his hoax is a principal subdivision of SIS named the Institute for the Study of Conflicts (ISC). The ISC is the division of British secret intelligence chiefly responsible for planning "scenarios" or "programmed destabilization" of targeted nations through aid of such actions as strike waves, riots, and international terrorism. ISC's principal bases outside Britain include Scandinavia and "respected institutions" inside the United States, with many branches in numerous countries, frequently operating under the cover of trade-studies such as "peace studies," "conflict resolution" studies, or simply "peace and conflict studies."

In pedigree, ISC was developed under the left, pacifist cover of the late Bertrand Russell, continuously a leading SIS operative since the World War I period up to the moment of his belated death. ISC and its networks are otherwise closely interfaced with the Sussex University branch of SIS, the London Tavistock Institute. The London Tavistock Institute was the division of

British Secret Intelligence under which Henry Kissinger directly received his advanced training as a British agent-of-influence during the mid-1950s. Kissinger's SIS advanced training was sponsored by his Harvard patron, William Yandell Elliot, and by Elliot's close associate and superior John Wheeler-Bennett.

It is notable in connection with today's international terrorism, that Wheeler-Bennett, together with professor Hugh Trevor-Roper, were top controllers of the Wilton Park division of SIS, the agency responsible for coordinated SIS operations against post-war Germany. The unit at Harvard under Professor Elliot in which Kissinger was trained was a part of the Wilton Park network. That apparent coincidence is a result of the fact that it was Henry Kissinger's assignment in post-war occupied Germany which brought him under the control of British Intelligence networks penetrating the U.S. Intelligence operations in occupied Germany. Exemplary of those late 1940s connections is the newspaper, *Die Neue Zeitung*, which, while nominally a production of the USA occupation forces in Germany was in fact run top-down by a combination of British, German, and American nationals who were members of SIS.

Although the Moss article and the hoax planted in the credulous editors of the *Wall Street Journal* is a fraud, there is an element of not half-truth, but quarter-truth in the Moss assertion that the Soviet KGB is involved in British terrorist networks. To provide an accurate picture of the counterintelligence and security problems international terrorism represents today, it is necessary to be most exact concerning the nature and purposes of such Soviet KGB involvement.

British International Terrorism

British SIS set up the international illegal drug traffic during the early decades of the nineteenth century, with the original principal motive of unloading opium produced in India on China. This was used first principally as a way of correcting the unfavorable balance of payments the City of London suffered in its purchase of Chinese tea. However, from the start, circles of SIS linked to Jeremy Bentham and James Mill rapidly developed the concept of using mass-addiction to opiates as a major correlative arm of political demoralization of targeted nations.

The British created organized crime in the United States during the 1920 prohibition period through covert SIS channels operating in conjunction with scotch whiskey interests working through Canada and the British West Indies. At the close of the Prohibition period, the channels used for illegal scotch whiskey smuggling through Canada and the British West Indies ("the silver triangle") were used to launch the mass illegal traffic in heroin and other opiates into the United

States. The major British-controlled sources of opium and heroin, the "golden triangle" centered around Singapore and Hong Kong, also joined this marketing project, operating through British Columbia and other Canadian points.

Later, Latin American drug sources were developed to supply the illegal-drug market inside the USA. Opium growing was developed by British SIS in Mexico, and growing illegal traffic in marijuana and cocaine was also conducted from Latin America, with income from this traffic laundered chiefly through British financial operations in the Caribbean and Canada. Today, British SIS is running a virtual 'opium war' against the United States around the campaigns to "decriminalize" the use of marijuana and to use the 'decriminalization of marijuana' and 'methadone maintenance' as a political foot-in-the-door for wide-scale decriminalization of heroin itself. The political object of this evil SIS operation is the crippling of the United States politically through induced moral degeneration of large sections of the youth.

Similarly, although various forms of terrorism were used by various secret services earlier, the network of organized international terrorism leading into today's horror shows was launched at the end of the 1860s with the SIS creation of the international anarchist movement of Bakunin.

Bakunin, himself a Metternichian police provocateur from the 1840s, was launched as an anarchist leader through Rothschild funds laundered through SIS agents, the Russian national Alexander Herzen. An 'instant' international organization was created around Bakunin through Rothschild and other SIS financial conduits into various parts of Europe; most notably, France, Italy, the Jura region of Switzerland, and Spain. Also, a major division of the international anarchist movement was set up as a joint project of British and Dutch secret intelligence services in the Netherlands. The Bakuninite anarchist international and its offshoots assassinated British target Alexander II in 1881, assassinated President William McKinley, and generally ran most of the political assassination under this cover into World War I. McKinley's assassin was an anarchist run into the United States for that deed through a safehouse run by Emma Goldman and others in lower Manhattan, the British SIS safehouse then known as the Henry Street Settlement House. The use of anarchists and anarchist 'nationalist' offshoots in the Balkans was key to British SIS organization of World War I.

Throughout this past century, to the present day, the Jura district of Switzerland operates as the largest single safehouse area for international terrorism.

The role of Geneva as a continental financial center for international terrorism actually dates from the sixteenth century. The Black Guelph bankers (the Grimaldi, the Spinoles, and others) who ran the bear market against Antwerp during the sixteenth century, established an axis of financial and secret intelligence running from Rome, by way of Genoa, into Geneva, then Amsterdam, and finally London. As the center of gravity of Black-Guelph international power shifted from Genoa to Amsterdam to London during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, the networks previously created were taken under the auspices of British SIS. The essential feature remained constant through all the various institutional evolutions of SIS. Although the broader political base of the forces behind SIS has

remained aristocratic 'old families' zealous to return society to a kind of feudal utopia with a cartelized industrial kernel, it is the monetarist banking houses which have been directly interfaced with SIS networks and operations.

It is for that reason that London private banks such as N.M. Rothschild and sons, Lazard Brothers, Blair and Company, Barings, Hill-Samuel, Hambros, and Lloyd's Insurance turn up as the leading institutions associated with control of SIS as well as the principal financial conduits for funding terrorist and other SIS operations.

The following well known terrorist organizations are exemplary of this, under direct SIS control. In the United States, the Weathermen and the FALN. In Europe, the Baader Meinhof and the Red Brigades. In Japan, the 'Japanese Red Army', in the Arab sector the elements of Al Fatah associated with Wadi Haddad and Dr. George Habash, as well as the notorious "Carlos."

The difficulty in intelligence and security investigations of terrorism is that if the terrorist groups are each examined only from the standpoint of their ostensible principal backers, half-truths or worse usually result.

To this point, a 1972 report by a top Italian intelligence executive reported that most of the coordination of terrorism in the Mediterranean and continental Western Europe was under Israeli intelligence. That report is massively corroborated by other leading intelligence and security agencies.

The plausible, immediate but inadequate, explanation for Israeli intelligence coordination of international terrorism is given by the fact that Moshe Dayan and others have used Arab terrorism against Israeli targets as a way of controlling both the attitudes of a majority of Israelis and Israel's policy on the Arab question. In the recent Al Fatah incident, in which Israel's intelligence dropped the security screen against an intrusion of which it was forewarned at least 24 hours in advance, in order to generate the "incident" used as a pretext for launching the previously prepared and waiting invasion of Lebanon. The real reason for the invasion of Lebanon was not the Fatah incident, but Begin's impending flight to the United States. The forces around Dayan "let in" the Fatah special-operations unit in order to gain the pretext for the Lebanese war, to prevent the U.S. Carter administration from pressuring Begin on the West Bank Palestinian Arab issue.

However, Israeli motives and control are not determining. Through such SIS operatives as Nahum Goldman, Chaim Weizman, and Ord Wingate, major elements of the Israeli leadership, centered in the Socialist International-linked Mapai leadership, but also other parties, have themselves been de jure or de facto British SIS agents since before the independence of Israel, in the manner first clearly underlined by the Israeli puppet-government role in the British-led Suez-crisis invasion of Egypt. This connection does not constitute an alliance between SIS and Israeli Intelligence, but the status of Israel as a de facto puppet of SIS. SIS-linked Israelis such as Moshe Dayan operate inside Israel to create the climate of fear and hysteria through which anti-British elements, such as Menachem Begin, are repeatedly manipulated into a state of near-psychosis or actual psychosis on the Arab question.

The arrangements on the Arab side are similar. Since the days of Shelburne, Pitt, and Bentham, SIS has maintained and developed a complex of networks

throughout the Islamic world, complexes centering around so-designated Sunni and Shi'ite networks — the latter most relevant to British operations in Pakistan and against Iran. Every Arab government is massively penetrated by SIS through these networks. In "desert Arab" nations, the defenders of Al-Ghazali are the chief center of British SIS influence. Thus, the fact that Dr. George Habash and Wasi Haddad are nominally protégés of certain Arab governments signifies chiefly that those governments are dupes of SIS network-operations.

There is a close liaison among terrorist groups from MI-5's "Ira Provos" in the British Isles, including the Moluccan terrorists of the Netherlands, Baader Meinhof, the Red Brigades, Al Fatah, the Japanese Red Army, and USA offshoots of the neo-Fabian Weathermen organization. Through the auspices of British Intelligence networks operating at highest levels within the Socialist International, and also involving Trotskyist and Maoist organizations coordinated by the Socialist International, there has been training of European and other terrorists in Fatah training camps, and there is today a general traffic in arms — especially captured Soviet arms — among all these terrorist groups.

The fact that the coordination among the terrorist groups is British is known to principal intelligence services in Western Europe and other nations, as well as to Warsaw Pact intelligence services. This coordination is conducted significantly through the Socialist International, and through such SIS-created and controlled cover-conduits as Amnesty International and World Federalists. The names of training camps, the sources of funds to these training camps, the names of major public figures proven to be directly responsible, and so forth are massively documented by leading intelligence services. *The control is entirely British.*

The principal international deployment center for terrorism is the Jura district of Switzerland, for which the most important connection is certain financial organizations based in Geneva. These financial organizations and associated accomplices in neighboring France and Italy are identical with the complex of networks working as the SIS-SOE networks in the Jura-Geneva-centered region during World War II. This is not guess, deduction, or speculation, but hard fact.

Once the intelligence and security executive shift the focus of their investigation from a one-at-a-time pursuit of isolated leads on particular terrorist groups to the coordination of training, supply, escape routes of the terrorists networks, the Jura district of Switzerland and known top-level SIS operatives turn up in nearly every instance of a terrorist deployment.

Moscow's Role

The KGB involvement with British terrorist networks is complex. We shall first identify the secondary reasons for this involvement and then focus on the ugliest and most dangerous aspects of this involvement.

First, Warsaw Pact penetration of terrorist and terrorist-sympathizer networks is motivated by purposes of intelligence and counterintelligence.

From the standpoint of the Soviet intelligence officer concerned to penetrate NATO and other nations, the attraction of the terrorist and related networks is this. International terrorism and related "environmentalist" and "countercultural" strata represent a highly

protected covert operation against NATO countries and France within the NATO countries themselves. There, a successful KGB penetration operation of those networks represents the best existing opportunity for planting KGB espionage operatives and networks into those countries — with the aid of the intelligence services of those countries themselves! The opportunity is too attractive and fruitful for any ordinary intelligence executive to resist.

The British SIS, generally well aware of this KGB penetration, condone it with a smile. It aids them in passing the blame to the KGB at any time they find that expedient.

From the standpoint of the KGB counterintelligence officers, the same SIS-controlled networks which run international terrorism and environmentalism are integral to the same command-structure which operates most of the "dissident" and related operations against the Warsaw Pact nations. Such "retargeting" penetration operations as those indicated are, of course, a standard technique of the counterintelligence repertoire.

A third cause of involvement of KGB and related circles in ostensible support of SIS terrorist and related operations is Soviet political adaptation to the policies of nations and other political forces toward which it has an existing or projected patron-client relationship.

This involvement of the KGB and other agencies has principally a three-fold character. First, it is standard communist organizing technique to adapt to the coloration of a political ferment it seeks to influence and possibly either take over or split. Second, Soviet hostility, even rage on account of what it and its political allies have suffered (e.g. Chile 1973) at the hands of NATO and allied forces produces in KGB and other circles a degree of moral indifference toward NATO nations "receiving a taste of their own medicine." This sometimes makes them tolerant of practices of international terrorism and environmentalism which they privately abhor. Third, for certain circumstances the Soviets — like USA leaders — regard terrorism (e.g. special forces' operations) as a proper political aspect of warfare and political armed conflict in general.

This latter is most significant currently in the matter of the Al Fatah incident in Israel of Saturday, March 11. The Soviets are aware in detail of the horror show Henry Kissinger and Israel launched in Lebanon in April 1975, and of the history of Israel's actions against the Arabs since the Suez crisis. From this vantage-point they reach two principal conclusions. First, that Arab terrorism against Israel is morally a politically justified form of special operations warfare against an oppressor engaged continuously in far more hideous atrocities against the innocent. They, thus, take a qualitatively different view of Arab special operations against Israel than they do of blind terrorism in continental Western Europe. Second, with regard to the psychotic condition and other complicating features of the Al Fatah terrorist forces themselves, the KGB et al. take the "objective" view that the instruments of warfare are not consistently the sort of thing one would wish to take to one's family embrace.

Where the Soviets regard Al Fatah's special-forces deployments as morally justified as a condition of continuing war between Israel and the Arabs, they, like any

commanders of agencies of major powers, do not consider the moral tolerability of such auxiliary methods of warfare as necessarily prudent under each and every circumstance. The imprudent use of such auxiliary methods of warfare *is immoral*, just as shooting down adversary troops who might be induced to surrender — although still fighting — is a grave political as well as moral error. (Unless there is an overriding strategic or battle-tactical reason for acting to the contrary, the objective of occupying adversary populations successfully at the earliest opportunity dictates the most generous attitude toward imminently defeatable adversary forces as persons, even such forces as may be engaged in continuing battle.)

Assuming that the Soviet command wishes to avoid a thermonuclear confrontation with the United States, they would have regarded the notable recent Al Fatah incident as deadly political folly by Arab forces. However, their public attitude toward the matter would be strongly colored both by the view that the confrontation is inevitable, and by their presently developing relations to Arab and other developing sector nations — a circumstance in which lunatic Zbigniew Brzezinski, aided by Schlesinger, Kissinger, Blumenthal, and Vice-President Mondale is pushing much of Asia and Africa into very close relations to the Soviet Union.

The final point to be considered, to which we have referred repeatedly publicly before this time, is massive SIS contamination of the Warsaw Pact Command and command perceptions. Significant portions of the Warsaw Pact Command are either outright SIS agents or British agents-of-influence. On this, our judgment cannot be conceivably in error. It would be folly to attribute the echoing of the British line in the Moscow press entirely to Soviet deception tactics. Soviet publications pushing the ideology of J.B.S. Haldane and other manifestations of British ideology irrelevant to a deception operation — indeed, contrary to its effective execution — show that the pro-Bukharinite, Arbatovian networks are pure SIS agents and agents-of-influence.

This contamination of the Soviet command by SIS networks intersects the Soviet strategic approach to the present circumstance of “inter-imperialist rivalry,” as they would define it. Between a weak Britain and a powerful United States, the Soviets prefer to aid the relative success of the British, since that weakens the overall strategic capabilities of the combined NATO forces.

So, the important link of Hambros’s banking circles to top Soviet and Comecon circles on monetary policies is both a reflection of channels of SIS subversive influence on the top Warsaw Pact Command and a Soviet adaptation to what it views as the current options of “inter-imperialist conflicts.”

This contamination of the Soviet security enables SIS to return the favor of KGB penetration of SIS terrorist networks. SIS agents within the KGB has indulged in such practices, in every case the KGB involvement *is in support of an SIS operation*. The KGB has not developed any *independent* terrorist networks within the industrialized capitalist nations. KGB involvement in each case is a subsumed complication of an SIS-controlled network.

Foolish Il Giornale

During the past week the leading Italian press has been

divided into three schools of thought on the issue of terrorism.

The Italian intelligence services know that the current wave of terrorism in Italy is British-controlled, aided by Israeli Secret intelligence and part of a scenario coordinated by Henry A. Kissinger. This fact has been leaked prominently into major portions of the Italian press.

A second school of journalism, in each case directly tied to British intelligence operatives in Italy, insists that terrorism is “merely a sociological phenomenon.” A variation of the second school of journalism is offered by *La Repubblica*, voice of Italy’s London-allied “black nobility.” *La Repubblica* concedes that international terrorism is probably indeed controlled and deployed by certain foreign secret services, but *La Repubblica* insists that the problem should be approached by treating the Italian terrorists’ connection to those foreign services as a “sociological phenomenon.”

The third school is represented by the foolish editors of the conservative *Il Giornale*, who insist that the Red Brigades are of Czech origin.

Every leading figure in Italy now concedes that the Red Brigades were created under the supervision of Alberoni at the University of Trento, an institution associated with British SIS agent Riccardo Lombardi of the Socialist Party of Italy. However, the current, involuted story out of London into *Il Giornale* runs: in the Spring of 1968, the Red Brigades were trained in Czechoslovakia. Q.E.D., insists SIS and its *Il Giornale* dupes, the Red Brigades are now “KGB.”

One of the key figures in the development of international terrorism in Europe was the late publisher Feltrinelli. Feltrinelli was directly involved in the Red Brigades, in the Hamburg “chic set” links into the Baader Meinhof gang, and so forth. Feltrinelli was also a very rich dissident Communist Party ex-member who played a key role in the Solzhenitzyn affair. Feltrinelli, like Riccardo Lombardi of the Socialist Party, deployed principally in coordination with SIS networks in the leadership of the Socialist International. In this association, he was involved not only in the Solzhenitzyn case, but also with the joint operation featuring Zbigniew Brzezinski and the Socialist International leadership in the SIS’s 1968 Dubcek project in Czechoslovakia.

What traps *Il Giornale*’s editors is their own obsessive mythologies concerning the Soviet actions in Czechoslovakia in 1968. They cling to the myth that the Dubcek regime was some “noble democratizing” experiment, crushed by cruel Soviet tanks. Dubcek was in fact a British agent and his entire regime a nest of SIS agents. This is not to suggest there were not real issues which caused many duped Czechs to support Dubcek. No successful operation of that sort can be run by agents alone; there must be popular issues on which the SIS agents can successfully play. While this writer does not generally applaud crude “Russian methods,” the Soviet action in ousting Dubcek et al. was entirely a proper action.

If *Il Giornale* were not blinded by its mythical obsessions concerning 1968 Czechoslovakia events, the fact that the Red Brigades were created by Socialist International-linked elements of SID, and were popular in Czechoslovakia *only under the Dubcek regime*, and later linked to anti-Soviet Feltrinelli, would tell them the truth of the business. Nearly everyone in leading positions in Italy knows these facts, except one group of foolish Italian conservatives who shout “political science-

fiction" at those who point to British SIS control of terrorism, but who foolishly and wildly insist that Moscow is behind it. These persons are under direct influence of both British networks and have a credulous attitude toward the British agent-of-influence otherwise known as Richard Gardner, nominally U.S. Ambassador to Rome.

We, for our part, have used every channel available to us to impress upon the Warsaw Pact leadership the folly of their opportunist games with the British. Moscow smiles knowingly, obsessively deluded with the notion that it knows what it is doing, including presumably outwitting the British in this business. The fact that they refuse to use their resources to help NATO countries clean out British terrorism does contribute monstrously to the donkey's belief in the sort of lies spread to the credulous by such SIS terrorist backers as Robert Moss.

The 'KGB Runs Terrorism' Hoax

Wall Street Journal, Review and Outlook: "The Roots of Terror," March 20:

...This is not to say that terrorism has no political importance. Terrorists may have little on their minds beyond some deep-seated hatred and their next adventures, but they often are supported by politicians who have a great deal on their minds.

Terrorists of any stripe are useful to the imperialistic designs of the Soviet Union, for example. They disrupt liberal societies, spreading fear and uncertainty and raising a demand for a stronger hand by the state. It is not insignificant that one of the first persons Premier Andreotti of Italy turned to after the Moro kidnaping was Enrico Berlinguer, the head of the Italian Communist Party. The Communists are now partners in the Italian government in all but an official sense. And Communists, by long training, are skillful at dealing with disorder and dissent.

Thus, the Russians and their allies in the Third World have no reluctance at all to encourage terrorism in the liberal democracies and to even train terrorists in more effective forms of murder and destruction. Somehow it never occurs to the terrorists themselves that should the Russians succeed in subverting liberal democracy and installing communism in its place the terrorists who helped them in the task will be marked first for liquidation. Thinking ahead is not one of the strong points of fanatics.

Daily Telegraph, London, "The Soviet Stake in Fatah Terror," by Robert Moss, March 20:

Mr. Menachem Begin has demonstrated that you can't butcher Israeli civilians and get away with it....

The Russians who condemned the Israelis as "bandits" for their strike into Lebanon are up to their necks in the murky web of Palestinian terror.

The trail of the Fatah killers leads straight back to East Berlin and Moscow where in secret meetings in-

volving PLO leaders, the details of a new terror campaign had been worked out over the past month.

The danger for the Israelis was that, by seizing on this moment to try to wipe out the terrorist sanctuaries over the Lebanese border, they would swoop straight into a carefully laid trap.

The PLO and its Soviet backers may have calculated that, by provoking the Israelis, they would suck the Syrians into the fighting, sabotage any remaining hope for an Israeli-Egyptian peace settlement, and cut the ground from under President Sadat....

The Israelis have identified the man behind the Fatah raid as one Kalil al-Wazir, better known under his alias "Abu Jihad." He runs Black September, the secret terrorist arm of Fatah.

The Egyptian intelligence service, the Mukhabarat believes that Abu Jihad was directly involved in the shoot-out at Larnaca airport in Cyprus last month between Palestinian commandos, mixed up with the Cypriot National Guard, and the Egyptian troops sent in by Sadat.

For Abu Jihad and his Soviet patrons, Sadat is as much a target as Israel. Western intelligence sources say that Abu Jihad has spent a great deal of time in Moscow, East Berlin, Aden and Tripoli in recent months, helping to organise a vast expansion of terrorist training facilities, and also the arrangements for a big increase in the flow of Soviet bloc arms to Palestinian bases in Cyprus and Lebanon....

Last week, Mr. Begin was pictured waving a document showing that a member of Jibril, a fringe Palestinian group, has been trained in Russia. The schools for terrorism that exist in the Soviet Union and its satellites offer the clearest proof of the Soviet stake in international terror. This is of direct concern to every Western government as well as to the Israelis and the conservative Arab states.

The best brief account of these training facilities that is readily available is a recent publication of the Institute for the Study of Conflict, entitled "The Surrogate Forces of the Soviet Union."

Terrorist leaders from all over the world receive training in Russia, East Germany, Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, North Korea, North Vietnam and Cuba, as well as in camps in Libya, Iraq and South Yemen to which Cuban and East German instructors are attached.

Under the watchful eye of the Eleventh Department of the KGB's First Chief Directorate which assigns KGB controllers and liaison officers to satellite intelligence services, Cuba's General Directorate of Intelligence (DGI) and, increasingly, East Germany's Ministry of State Security (MfS) give covert backing to West European terrorist groups.

An important principle for the Russians is that such involvements should be deniable — at any rate by them. It would not help them in their efforts to promote unilateral disarmament and non-violent subversion by "agents of influence" under the smoke-screen of detente if it leaked out that the KGB was directly embroiled in terrorist operations in Western countries....

But the potential for Soviet exploitation of international terrorism should never be underrated. Terrorism may be the work of criminals, psychotics or a confused minority choosing the wrong way to send up a

howl of protest, like those South Moluccans in Holland last week. But it can also be a rational instrument of policy.

Sources within the Italian Communist Party (PCI) have told me that they believe that the KGB is involved in "Red Brigade" terrorism by the ultra-left. If this is true, a possible motive would be to reinforce the PCI in its newly-acquired image as a responsible party of law and order.

In the Middle East, a middle-range Soviet objective is the overthrow of the conservative Arab monarchies.

In ~~the~~ broader strategy against the West, the Russians have adopted what would, in President Nixon's day, have been styled a "two-track" approach.

Track One is Russia's unpausing military build-up, aimed at achieving outright strategic superiority over the West and, with it, the power to apply military blackmail.

Track Two is subversion, terrorism and other attempts to erode the fabric of Western Societies, which are most likely to succeed if they can be kept secret or, at any rate, non-attributable.

Order Your Copy Now!

The famous New Solidarity Series

EXPEL BRITAIN'S KISSINGER FOR TREASON

**Will be available as a
Campaigner Special Report**

\$1.00

(add \$.50 for postage and handling)*

Order from: Campaigner Publications
231 W. 29th St. New York, N.Y. 10001

*Bulk rates available