

European Labor Party:

Why You Should Act Now To Change The Course Of Developments In France

FRANCE

The following statement was issued July 16 by the Executive Committee of the European Labor Party.

It is in the immediate and urgent self-interest of everybody to ensure that the course of events projected by French President Giscard d'Estaing and his associates for the remainder of this month and the month of August is aborted as rapidly as possible.

By approximately the middle to the end of next month Giscard intends to have consolidated a fascist coup within the French national territory. His primary aim is to strengthen his own position, and that of his fascist base of crazed peasants, shop-keepers and bureaucrats, against the only other force which is capable of ruling France at this juncture, namely an alliance of Gaullists, industrialists and CGT-led trade unionists.

If Giscard succeeds, nuclear war between the United States and the Soviet Union will be the almost inevitable result, probably by no later than the end of August. To add leverage to his efforts Giscard and his backers, including the London and Paris-based house of Rothschilds, are endeavoring to accumulate marginal advantages by exacerbating relations between the Soviets and the insane Carter Administration in the United States. They choose to do this at exactly the time that the Carter Administration is forcing the Soviets to the point of war to protect David Rockefeller's bankrupt New York-based banks. This, in essence, is why you should act now, to stop Giscard and his supporters, and to strengthen the hand of his opposition.

There are certain very elementary measures which can be taken to put an end to Giscard's designs, once you have understood what he is up to, and what social forces he is deploying on his behalf.

Giscard's entire strategy is premised on a conscious revival of all that is most sordid and disgusting in the annals of French history, from the St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre, the Days of the Barricades, Marat-Danton and their fascist jacobin mobs, the Dreyfus affair, and May 1968. He is rigging a political charade of synthetic "left" versus synthetic "right" to create a manipulated social crisis in which his enraged peasant and petit-bourgeois constituency can be deployed to crush his trade union and industrialist opposition. Such a strategy in turn is designed to generate social energy *outside* France among the lumpen elements of especially Italy and the BRD. Such forces will be hurled against the governments of Andreotti and Schmidt, and will be used

to provide the 'political' cover for assassination attempts and terror attacks on the leadership of the European Labor Party and allied forces among political trade union and industrial layers. Much of such activity is scheduled to be carried out for the account of the Carter Administration, through deployments of those special forces which in the recent past have conducted the De Broglie assassination, the Abu Daoud affair, the Kostov kidnapping and many other such unsavory incidents.

The 'Left-Right' Scenario in France

The centerpiece of this unfolding strategy is the series of ecologist demonstrations scheduled to begin in the French city of Grenoble on July 31 and to last through August 15. These demonstrations, against everything which human beings have always fought for, have been turned into a rallying point for the lumpen and enraged throughout Europe. Units from the BRD and Italy among other countries in Europe, are being attracted to Grenoble and its environs for their two-week long 'Walpurgisnacht' celebrations, in much the same way that units of waste matter are passed through a sewer system.

This August the ecologist freak show is supposed to set off the same kind of chain reaction that was unleashed in France, and throughout Europe by the Trotskyist gangs and student movements in May and June of 1968.

This time the results will not be the same. There is already a discernible counter-deployment from the French CGT-led trade unions and certain industrial circles which is being used to inflame the passions of Giscard's own petit bourgeois and peasant base.

To the extent that Gaullist leaders, and their industrial allies are kept entrapped in the right-wing environmentalist rhetoric of Giscard's present majority leadership, Giscard's fascist base will crush trade union and industrial opposition forces.

That desired result is being encouraged by the outpourings of Giscard, his prime minister Raymond Barre, and by nominal agent leader of the so-called left François Mitterrand, and their allies in the Parisian press corps.

Since Giscard's speech at Carpentras last week, French political circles have been filled with talk of 'coup d'états', of incipient civil war, and alternatively from the side of the so-called 'left wing', of constitutional reforms, and mobilizations for such constitutional reforms in order to strip the Vth Republic's dominant institutions of their authorized powers. Such talk from both sides of the manipulated spectrum is designed to enforce the division on intended 'left' 'right' lines: keeping Debré and his hard core Gaullist Barons locked in behind Barre

and Giscard, while simultaneously maintaining the CGT-led trade union base in its cowed loyalty to the Mitterrand-headed common program of the agent-led Socialist and Communist parties.

Under conditions in which such a lineup is not rejected by political forces within France, forces which have not yet shown themselves possessed of the political intelligence and courage needed to discern what is planned for them and being done to them, then to that extent, it is incumbent on forces outside France to intervene into the pre-planned setup in order to derail the whole scheme before it gets off the ground; and to assist forces within France itself to act rationally on the basis of self-interest. If such a course of action is not conducted successfully, the consequence may well unleash global thermonuclear confrontation which would end the existence of the human race as we now know it.

The so-called 'ecologists', this enraged collection of vermin deployed across Western Europe to commit acts of terror and hooliganism against the scientific and industrial accomplishments on which human historical development has been predicated, are the weak link in the whole course of Giscard's anticipated chain of developments. If we can develop the application of sufficient muscle throughout Europe to prevent the deployments for Giscard's Elysée-backed Grenoble demonstrations, then we stand a good chance of derailing the whole scheme and fostering relatively positive developments in France.

Who are the Ecologists?

Today's ecologists are the direct descendants of Hitler's storm troopers from the 1930s, and as such their bestial pedigree locates them directly in line with the lumpen mobs deployed against the Roman Republic, with the Parisian rabble unleashed by the Guise Committees of Public Safety in the St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre of 1572, and in line with the deployments of British Prime Minister William Pitt the Younger through Danton and Marat against French-based supporters of the American System in the years from 1789-1793.

Such a pedigree is no mere literary convenience. The lumpen mobs that will gather behind the ecologists' banners at Grenoble on July 31 — if Giscard's scheme is not stopped before then — represent the spearhead of New York bank and related interests' efforts to turn the clock of human progress back through more than seven hundred years of scientific, technological and cultural development.

Look at the writings of the new Occamites, the peripatetic new philosophers who have blossomed out of the Parisian dung heaps since this spring. What do they attack? For Bernard-Henry Levy what and who is the enemy of his "La Barbarie à visage humain": science and technological progress is the enemy. For him, René Descartes, the "first barbarian" of modern times, is the opponent, because he asserts that human reason can be employed to know universal law to increase human power over nature. For Levy and his co-thinkers, Descartes is therefore an "oppressor," the forerunner of fascism, since he insists that heteronomic individual impulses for action be subordinate to the universalizing conceptions of reason in the conduct of man's affairs.

Levy and his associates are not new philosophers. Least of all in cowed France are they new philosophers. They merely reassert that there is no essential difference between man and beast. They merely claim that to be truly 'free' from the oppressive dictates of reason man must be truly bestial.

Peasant and petit-bourgeois dominated France has regularly produced such moral and intellectual abominations in its history. It is the prevailing insanity of the normal Frenchman and woman which ensures the continued toleration of such bestiality. Might one be entitled to expect something else from that cowed nation of peasants and shopkeepers?

The problem however is somewhat different. There have been periodically the most vile eruptions of bestial obscenities as organized social forces in French history. Those eruptions have always been deployed by forces *outside* France to set back the general achievements of human progress elsewhere. The St. Bartholomew's Massacre was financed by Phillip II of Spain and organized by his ambassador in Paris to crush a burgeoning European-wide alliance against his throne and his financial backers, the House of Fuggers, an alliance organized in large part by Admiral de Coligny. Similarly, the Danton-Marat Jacobin-Cordeliers club eruption of the same bestialized social force was deployed knowingly and consciously by William Pitt the Younger, the House of Baring, and the British East India Company. The Plom-Ploniades of the third quarter of the nineteenth century are representative of the same phenomenon, at that time acting for the Rothschilds. May '68 also saw the eruption of the same tendency, this time for the American House of Rockefeller. As British Prime Minister Harold Wilson said after he returned from Washington at the beginning of '68, "we have cooked up something that will really make the General de Gaulle foam at the mouth."

That pattern must not be allowed to repeat itself this July and August. If the French population and its dominant political, industrial, and trade union institutions lack either the leadership, the intelligence, or the will to prevent such an eruption of manipulated bestiality then they must be assisted to do so.

The ecologists and the hired peons of the peripatetic new Occamites are no mere epiphenomenon of French politics. They are the core capability of an international political financial machine whose European operations happen to be largely conducted out of Paris.

The New Philosophers, and their ecologist allies like Brice Lalonde, are the new generation in the development of that machine. They are the surface phenomenon of a political machine developed in France since the end of the Second World War, gaining momentum especially since 1963 and 1968. The guts of the machine are represented by the interface and collaboration between a group of government, and non-government, French and non-French institutions. They include the notorious Nazi-descended Interpol international machine of drug running and terrorism, the Musée des Sciences de l'Homme, Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes VI^e section based private political intelligence left and right wing operations, sections of the French Interior Ministry, Secret Services, and government backed research institutions, and, of course the U.S. State Department, its

French Embassy and such private institutions as the Ford Foundation and the Hudson Institute.

This group of agencies and agency interfaces are responsible for most of the foul deeds and bestialities which have been deployed in Europe since at least 1962 and increasingly since 1968. There are a mere handful of key individuals who control the development of this process, and it is this group of individuals which has called forth the present eruptions of social obscenity on the scale of Europe as a whole.

Key among this handful of individuals is the group made up of the notorious Jacques Soustelle, Raymond Aron, Charles Bettelheim, Roland Barthes, Archbishop Henri LeFebvre, Edgar Morin, Jean-Paul Sartre and Michel Crosier, and pseudo-Occamite psychologist Lacan. This group operates out of the Musée des Sciences de l'Homme in close collaboration with the neighboring Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes VIé section. They collaborate with the notorious R.D. Laing and Duff Cooper of London's Tavistock Institute, and they provide the interface between Interpol's Paris centered drug and gun-running global operations and the so-called left-CIA as represented by the networks of Marcus Raskin's Washington D.C.-based Institute for Policy Studies. The semi-official position held by such individuals in the conduct of French government affairs both covers their activity and provides the means of access for such direct collaboration as exists with official rather than private U.S. agencies.

These are the individuals who brought you the 'New Left', May 1968 and their spin-offs, terrorism and ecological guerrilla warfare. You may have thought such sociological phenomena were more or less spontaneous. You were stupid. This Paris-based group along with their collaborators in the United States like George Kennan, Marcus Raskin and Richard Barnet, and their associates in Europe like the now late Bertrand Russell, Dennis Healy, Anthony Wedgewood Benn, Richard Lowenthal, Willy Brandt, the Graf von Oertzen, Giorgio Amendola, Francesco Alberoni, and the unfortunate Mr. Mandel brought you the whole shebang.

And to the extent that they will be allowed to — they are doing it again.

Convicted traitor Soustelle is perhaps the key figure in the bunch. He personally represents the interface between 'left' and 'right' wing sides of Giscard's present scenario. His old OAS terror and assassination apparatus hooks him up with Interpol and the right wing operations conducted for Giscard and his backers by such French politicians as Olivier Guichard, Prince Poniatowski and Jacques Monod. Through his operations at the Musée des Sciences de l'Homme, he hooks up with so-called left-wing figures like Michel Foucault, Gilles Deleuze, and Felix Guatterri, the 'minds' which trained the new Occamites. In alliance with Trilateral Commission members like Michel Crozier and others such as Henri LeFebvre, Edgar Morin, André Bosquet, Soustelle et al. created the social institutions and conditioning techniques which spawned the modern French left from the Rocard autogestionnaire wing of the Socialist Party, through the CFDT trade union which has thrown its full weight behind the ecologists, to such excrescences as the PSU, the Maoist and Trotskyist gangs and their latest resurrection the ecologist movement and the new

philosophers. Foucault, Guattari and Deleuze trained them all, and through the activities of Edgar Morin-linked Radio Vert, and psychological manipulation of such newspapers as *Le Monde*, *Le Matin*, and *Le Nouvel Observateur*, have created the climate in which such detritus of human society are encouraged to run wild.

These are the people and institutions which are being deployed to realize Giscard's schemes for July and August in all their horror.

These are the people who through their support work for the Interpol directed Rote Armee Fraktion in Germany and the activities of the Jean-Paul Sartre, Jean-Paul Vigier, and the Claude Bourdet controlled Committee against German and American domination of Europe are encouraging a renewal of terrorist violence and its concomitants in the BRD itself. These same people are directing the escalation of the present brutal terror wave in Italy. This is known to both Italian and West German governments and relevant appropriate authorities.

Now it is necessary to clean up the mess before the whole business gets out of control and a situation is created which will destroy us all. There is no mystery about either who these people are or who their individual and institutional supporters are outside of France. These people are common criminals and enemies of the human race. They should be treated as such.

What You Can Do

We have to move very rapidly between now and the end of the month to forestall Giscard's efforts. There are two principal ways to achieve such an objective. First, Giscard's "left-right" scenario must be contained and neutralized. Second, conditions must be created to strengthen the potentials for an alliance between Gaullists, industry, and trade unions as the combination with the best potentials to replace Giscard once his schemes have been frustrated and thwarted.

Let's take the primary requirement first. It is past time that this obscenity of a political movement which has dirtied our political life over the past years should be cleaned up. The anticipated chain of events should provide the extra motivation to ensure that that is done. Since Giscard's France has become the deployment center for such operations and capabilities, it is therefore necessary to put a security quarantine around France. This should take the form of a special border blockade. Its targets are relatively straightforward, the Interpol apparatus, the left-wing gangs, and ecologists associated with the present Paris-centered efforts to wreck nuclear power and related forms of scientific and technological progress in Europe. If the Giscards want to play around in their historic stomping grounds in Eastern France, let them.

But meanwhile measures should be taken to protect the rest of us. By placing an international quarantine on the activities of Interpol and its 'left' wing supporters within France, through appropriate border measures, legal and judicial police security actions to concurrently clean up their allies in the rest of Europe, we expose the central coordinating points of the deployment and begin to create the conditions in which the venomous spider can be knocked out of its web. Political spokesmen and

newspapers should be suitably encouraged to speak out against Giscard's plans and the network which is charged with implementing them. Again, if the French are so crazy as to periodically tolerate such obscene charades of national masochism then let them, on condition that they keep the phenomenon contained where it belongs.

Such an approach must be complemented by efforts to accelerate the re-emergence of the relatively more healthy and sane elements among French leading individuals and institutions. This purpose can be most speedily effected if relevant forces in West Germany and Italy proceed full steam ahead rapidly with the creation of a new gold-based monetary system along the lines of the Labor Party's Private International Development Bank proposal, in alliance with the Comecon Sector and

leading Third World nations.

Such measures resolutely pursued over the next weeks will destroy the motivation for Giscard's presently proposed course of developments by bringing down the bankrupt monetary and debt structures on which it is predicated. More positively, by facilitating a tremendous increase in global economic activity, the new monetary system and its concomitant packages of investment and trade-related deals will provide a powerful stimulus for rationality among the relevant forces of French Gaullists, industrialists and trade unions.

Under those conditions, perhaps the French can finally be induced to clean up their own mess, and bring their nation back into alliance with the rest of the human race for progress. You, meanwhile, had better act as if your life depends on it. It does.

Carter Throws Up Smokescreen Around Schmidt Summit Meet

SPECIAL REPORT

The Carter Administration has thrown up a smokescreen around the July 13-14 summit meeting between President Carter and West German Chancellor Schmidt. Official Washington reports on the meeting have attempted to give the entirely incredible impression that Carter fully agrees with Schmidt on the necessity for a dramatic relaxation of tensions with the Soviet Union. Schmidt, who during his visit was delegated to act as official spokesman of the European Economic Community, is well known to consider détente the priority international issue, and to have profound reservations about the Administration's provocative and highly dangerous posture toward the Soviets.

The official July 15 communiqué asserted that "Basic agreement on major issues" had been achieved. These issues include, in addition to détente, international nuclear energy development, which the Schmidt government considers a matter of economic survival for Europe, in sharp contrast to Carter's commitment to zero energy growth; and Washington's demand that Bonn help to "stimulate" the world economy by reflating its currency, to which Bonn is averse. The communiqué dismissed these tense, unresolved questions by claiming, "The small differences (between the two governments) have often been exaggerated in public accounts." In the future, the two chiefs of state will maintain more frequent telephone contact to "ensure that exaggerations don't occur."

It remains unclear at this time whether Schmidt was indeed duped by the eery, conciliatory reception he received, or whether he will be spurred to execute a more vigorous policy of détente in response to Carter's obvious stonewalling. At a press briefing on July 14, Schmidt announced that in the second half of this year, he will

issue a "new initiative" to further disarmament talks with the Soviets at the Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction (MBFR) talks in Vienna. In contrast to the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks (SALT), at MBFR, Western Europe has a negotiating position independent from the U.S. Interestingly, Schmidt cited the need for this "new initiative" by referencing the "stalemate" at the SALT rounds, which the U.S. has intentionally used as a forum to provoke Soviet hostility. However, it is most remarkable that Carter — publicly — showed little concern for Schmidt's efforts to use MBFR as a substitute for SALT, and according to both the *Washington Post* and the *New York Times*, simply endorsed Schmidt's call for progress.

Powell's Cynicism

The cynical attitude of the Administration about achieving any genuine policy consensus with its "leading western ally" was flaunted at the official press briefing on the talks delivered by Carter press secretary Jody Powell. Powell repeated the line that no serious disagreements had emerged. An incredulous press corps groaned throughout his remarks. At one point, Powell claimed that both leaders had decided that if the present sessions of the Belgrade preparatory sessions for the Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE) proceeded well, the western delegations would accept Soviet President Brezhnev's proposal for the convening of international conferences on energy and environmental problems.

Prior to his arrival in Washington, Schmidt has specifically endorsed this Brezhnev plan. However, the U.S. delegation at the CSCE rounds has not only shown no interest in this proposal, but has attempted to rally the West Germans and other Europeans there for the show-down with the Soviets on "human rights." A member of the press corps challenged Powell whether the United States had then changed its policy for CSCE. Powell's only response was, "I don't know."