

up, but they're not getting any credit. So Zambia is selling copper at £150 per ton under the cost of production. The U.S. banks will never get the buffer stocks scheme off the ground. What they have to think about is, what happens when you sell?

Senior official, London merchant, London, March 10: "Your scenario (EIR's) makes sense — but it is entirely insane for the banks to attempt this. Ninety percent of new money coming into the market is speculative, and it's an extremely thin market. It's a highly dangerous bankers' game, and without final sales it won't work."

Commodities specialist, Ford Foundation, March 10:

"The common fund is a bailout for the banks, Christ, yes, that's all it is. If we're giving foreign aid we should do it straight... with a general recession in the wings, it looks bleak for big stockpiles and commodity futures in general."

Metal commodities specialist, Charles River Associates, Cambridge, Mass., March 10: "We have been deluged with calls from New York banks wanting information on commodities forecasts and future markets. Yes, this has something to do with the possibility of LDC (less-developed country) debt repayment in the second quarter if commodity prices rise, and also the banks are interested in speculation as a hedge for their money..."

'Common Fools' Prepare Way For World War III

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Of this week's so-called "Common Funds" negotiation in Geneva, it is best said that a man who flees from military service out of cowardice may, for reason of the same existentialist emotion, commit suicide in his flight from the consequent shame.

It would be impossible to find words to overstate the stupidity and cowardice of those governments which are seriously disposed to adopt the proposed \$3 billion introductory version of the Brookings Institution's Schachtian "International Resources Bank" swindle. Since we thus lack use of words monstrous enough to fully encompass the imbecilic cupidities of such governments, we must content ourselves with the milder epithet of "common fools."

There will be a conspicuous absence among the deluded ones seriously deliberating this proposal. The biggest fool of them all, the individual who has lately contributed the most to fostering the current showing of imbecilic cowardice among European and developing-sector governments, Leonid "Neville Chamberlain" Brezhnev, will not be represented. Certainly, if Brezhnev is as seriously committed to preventing war as he professes to be, he must be considered the greatest fool of 1977 to date. Indeed, he is competing with the 1938 Neville Chamberlain for the rank of the greatest ass in 20th century history.

In the wake of the Jan. 20 inauguration of Rockefeller-puppet Jimmy Carter and the present Trilateral U.S. Executive Branch, Brezhnev made a "secret" agreement with the Carter Administration. Under this agreement, Brezhnev agreed to sell out Western Europe and the developing sector in return for the Carter Administration's immediate agreement to a stripped-down, less-than-worthless version of "SALT II." As a result, moves toward a convertible transferable-ruble agreement, already at the point of implementation, were aborted by Brezhnev et al. An astonished Andreotti government in Italy, like many governments of the Third World, found itself abandoned to the Rockefeller wolves.

It is that imbecility by Brezhnev which has turned even moderately courageous Western European and develop-

ing-sector governments into sniveling cowards. It is that cowardice which makes possible the degraded spectacle now projected for Geneva around the "Common Fund."

Despite the lies published in Pravda and elsewhere by Rockefeller agent Georgii Arbatov and Arbatov's cronies, Brezhnev is operating on the knowledge that the Rockefeller puppet administration of Jimmy Carter is committed to an *operational* policy of escalating confrontation with the Soviet leadership itself. The gist of the Brezhnev policy of the moment is a misguided, frankly imbecilic, attempt to "buy time" for further Soviet military preparations by steps intended to placate the Rockefeller-Carter monster. (It should, by no means, be imagined, that the Soviet leadership believes the evaluation of Carter published in Pravda, Izvestia and so forth under the bylines of Arbatov et al.) Certain circles close to Brezhnev foolishly view the present Brezhnev tactic as analogous to the Hitler-Stalin pact. Brezhnev's conduct is not modeled upon Stalin, but, as we have already stated, the unfortunate Neville Chamberlain of 1938.

In the name of avoiding war, Brezhnev — like Neville Chamberlain before him — avoids those confrontations which are indispensable to prevent war. At many points in history, it has been such professed "pacifists" who have proven themselves the most effective promoters of avoidable wars. If Western Europe and the developing sector are forced to submit to Rockefeller's desperate monetary "reforms," that fact by itself creates the conditions which makes World War III inevitable — possibly even within 1977.

First, now, we summarize the essential background to the "Common Fund" swindle. That clarified, we then prove on that basis why Brezhnev deserves to be awarded *The Order of the Tattered Umbrella*.

The Legacy of Versailles

To make the direct connection a short one, it is no exaggeration to state that the Brookings Institution's schemes, the "International Resources Bank" and its introductory version, the "Common Fund," have been designed by exactly the same Wall Street, Rockefeller-headed factions which imposed Adolf Hitler upon Germany. Not only are the factional alignments of authorship identical, but the philosophy behind the Nazi regime

and the "Common Fund" proposal are identical.

The common historic origin of the Nazi regime and the "Common Fund" proposal is the Versailles Treaty imposed upon defeated Germany at the end of World War I. There were three features of that treaty which immediately set the stage for the Great Depression, World War II, and the present crisis-situation.

The first issue was that of the extent of war reparations to be paid by defeated Germany. One faction, the sane faction, proposed to delimit those reparations in such a way that German industrial recovery could occur — thus providing a basis for general world economic progress through trade and investment. The opposing faction, associated with the ultra-monetarist Rockefeller interests in the USA, proposed general looting of Germany in order to prop up British, French and other indebtedness to New York City-based financial institutions.

The second issue was the Versailles (and still continuing) commitment to destroy the young Soviet Republic in favor of a general "Eastern European" resolution modeled upon the notorious "Parvus Plan." The Parvus Plan, officially the proposal Parvus sold — for 1 million reichsmarks — to the Kaiser's intelligence services during World War I, was actually developed by Anglo-Dutch interests linked to those adjuncts of the British Foreign Office for which Parvus had been continuously an agent since at least 1893. Under this Rothschild-Dutch scheme, Eastern Europe was to be fragmented into a cluster of small, nominally independent puppet states. The Ukraine was to become directly a puppet-state of Anglo-Dutch and allied German financial interests (emphasizing looting of Ukrainian grain and petroleum). A stripped-down Russian "liberal" Republic was to become an independent, but essentially impotent entity to the north. Through Parvus and others, the British "sold" this package to the Kaiser's government as the projected basis for Anglo-German peace at the end of World War I. It was characteristic of World War I and immediately subsequent operations that Parvus operated his "northern route" activities on nominal behalf of the Kaiser's government in cooperation with British intelligence networks based in Scandinavia and Switzerland. At the end of the war, the same Parvus scheme was adopted by the Rockefeller interests as Anglo-American Eastern European policy — as the "secret" portion of the Versailles Treaty.

The third issue — which led to talk of a possible war between the USA and Great Britain during the immediate post-World War I period — was the form of Rockefeller-centered takeover of a dominant role from the formerly hegemonic British financial interests. The center of this feature of Versailles was the role of the private agency controlling U.S. intelligence — the Russell Sage Foundation — within variously Versailles negotiations, in the Berlin-centered Allied Armistice Commission, and in the role of Hamilton Fish Armstrong and others in coordinating U.S. subversion operations in Russia to the relative disadvantage of the British apparatus. It was the Russell Sage Foundation — a Rockefeller-controlled agency which created the Canaris machine in 1919, which founded Interpol, and so forth, which was also key in the formation of the Freikorps units out of which the Nazi movement emerged.

The Great Depression and Nazism would never have occurred but for those features of Versailles and the Rockefeller-centered forces' enforcement of those provisions. That is not merely past history.

The same Russell Sage Foundation, and its Interpol creation control and deploy today such things as:

(1) All major international terrorist activities, including the "Entebbe" skyjacking set up by Interpol in cooperation with Israeli intelligence;

(2) The Interpol network, under the direction of such avowed Nazis as Reinhard Heydrich, Kaltenbrunner and so forth, during the post-1938 period, and under Nazi direction from Vienna even before that, maintains the Rockefeller-controlled remnant of the Nazi networks nominally associated with the lately-deceased son-in-law of Hjalmar Schacht, Otto Skorzeny. Interpol networks use second-generation and older active Nazis of today in conjunction with Jacques Soustelle's Secret Army Organization (OAS), the fascist assassins operating under the broad cover of the "Opus Dei" smokescreen, and so forth, coordinating most of the evil done in the developing sector, North America, and Western Europe;

(3) The bulk of all international illegal arms and drug smuggling is done either directly through Interpol or by government agencies which directly cooperate with Interpol, using the "cover" of investigations to perpetrate the very crimes they purport to be investigating;

(4) In the USA itself, this arms, drug and terrorism network interfaces the U.S. Treasury Department. Its Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms Division actually performs domestic and international gun and drug-running used to supply, inclusively, various terrorist organizations), the U.S. Department of Justice, and the "Glyn County"-centered operations run under the rubric of the "Law Enforcement Assistance Administration"!

Not only is the Russell Sage Foundation spawn, Interpol, the major criminal conspiracy in the world today — often mistaken for the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency by credulous people — but the Russell Sage Foundation itself, the centerpiece of the Rockefeller's private international intelligence network, is directly involved in coordinating the initiation of various kinds of such evil, linking together the "right-wing" Interpol drug-and-murder networks with the complementary "neo-Fabian" networks of the Institute for Policy Studies and its Amsterdam-based Transnational Institute shell-conduit. Indeed, the nastier side of the "Eurocommunist" operations of Zbigniew Brzezinski, as well as Brzezinski's assassinations and terrorism operations, are run, not through the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency — at least, not since James R. Schlesinger's "reorganization" of that agency — but through Interpol and Interpol-linked networks operating significantly outside the control of any government.

The mother for the Versailles Treaty was, predominantly the Rockefeller Foundation, a Rockefeller-influenced National Civic Federation, the Rockefeller-controlled Russell Sage Foundation, and allied institutions. Later, the founding of the Brookings Institution provided the new principal clearing-house for Rockefeller-faction global schemes — granting, of course, some occasional factional furores within the never-quite homogenous apparatus.

The Dawes and Young Plans imposed upon a reparations-wrecked German economy of the 1920s typify the continuation of the Rockefeller's Versailles policy during that decade into the 1930s. Then, as now, the structure of Lower Manhattan-centered international debt-holdings was rotten. Then, the chief point of vulnerability was a ruined German economy, as distinct from the emphasis upon Third World debt today. Then, as now, the Rockefellers' concern was to prevent the ruined debtor from repudiating unpayable debts — thus to save the Rockefeller financial empire from collapse. Then, the key Rockefeller agent of relevance was Germany's U.S.-born Hjalmar Horace Greeley Schacht, creator of the post-War German Liberal Party, a tiny party repeatedly used in operations aimed at destabilizing Weimar parliamentary government in the interests of some Rockefeller scheme. Today, the Schachts are represented *in part* by the Geneva-based professional staff of UNCTAD, a miserable collection of Brookings Institution agents, chiefly responsible for insinuating Schacht-modeled "Common Fund"-type schemes into the ranks of Non-Aligned Nations. The Rockefeller-inspired Dawes and Young Plans of the 1920s, the Nazi "Mefo Bill" swindle and the "Common Fund" are all products of an identical Rockefeller-imperialist doctrine, the doctrine otherwise behind the so-called "Morgenthau Plan" for ruralizing post-World War II Germany.

The OPEC Precedent

To understand the "Common Fund" more precisely, one should turn one's attention to the 1971-1973 period. Following the collapse of the Bretton Woods dollar in August 1971 and the loutish incompetence of Treasury Secretary John Connally, Europeans, Arabs and others were attempting to negotiate a new world economic order of sorts around the axis of the French Pompidou government. Although the schemes proposed lacked the technical competence represented uniquely by our own "International Development Bank" proposal, the desire of those nations was in the direction of an IDB-type new, gold-based monetary system to replace the bankrupt dollar system. Largely through failure of nerve on the part of Europeans and developing-sector forces, complicated by Soviet policy blunders on the key issues, Rockefeller forces were able to operate in part from the inside of OPEC and the Egyptian government to set up the 1973-1974 petroleum crisis and the October 1973 rigged Arab-Israeli war.

Because petroleum payments were dollar-denominated, the looting of the constant capital of both industrialized and developing-sector governments went preponderantly into the balances of New York-based international financial interests.

The result of 1973 was aggravated misery throughout the developing sector and an accelerating economic depression throughout the industrialized sector. Meanwhile, OPEC-linked inflation and reduced petroleum consumption soon left the presumably oil-rich exporting nations no better off in fact than before the price rise. Nonetheless, this ill-conceived arrangement did prop up the Rockefeller interests for an intermediate period, enabling Rockefeller to crush various Third World governments one by one and to aggravate the global monetary crisis beyond previous imagination.

Rockefeller forces are committed to a broader-based replay of the same swindle — with the aid of the scoundrels of UNCTAD's Geneva professional staff. The overall objective is set forth by the Brookings Institution's Schachtian-fascist "International Resources Bank" swindle. The proposed \$3 billion "Common Fund" is intended as the first step toward the full-scale "International Resources Bank" bailout of the currently bankrupt New York City-centered financial institutions and the Eurodollar market. If adopted, the arrangement will plunge Western Europe and Japan into conditions worse than those of the early 17th century and most of the developing sector into a genocidal horror of famine and epidemics.

Essentially, it has been UNCTAD-centered policy since early 1976 to substitute a "raw materials-centered" reform of the existing monetary system (e.g., IMF-World Bank and Eurodollar market) for the establishment of a new monetary system. In fact, any such reform is a genocidal swindle. As long as the raw materials-exporting nations and other participants in an "International Resources Bank"-modeled "Common Fund" are committed to current financial obligations to the Eurodollar market and related institutions, the following monetary scenario functions.

1. Balances of the "Common Fund" will go first to meet financial rollover obligations of the participating nations of the "Common Fund," and not for internal development.

2. Monopolistic pricing of commodities under these conditions of continued global depression mean an hyper-inflationary spiral in which indexes of demand for raw materials collapse, such that the total added revenues to the participating nations will be, in net, far less, and spiraling downward further, than before the "OPEC-modeled" cartels were created.

3. The fascist "labor-intensive" austerity schemes of the International Energy Agency (IEA) and related agencies will go into effect throughout the OECD and developing nations, directed to the Rockefeller-specified objectives of reducing the world's population (a "final solution" to the Third World population "problem") within an intermediate term. All pro-development governments will be crushed, including most of those governments imbecilic enough to support the "Common Fund" pact in favor of fascist, genocidal governments on the Chilean model.

4. The scheme will not stabilize the Rockefeller's monetary system, *but merely delay its collapse*. However, it will give the Rockefellers their long-desired "geopolitical" global correlation of forces for a decisive confrontation with the Soviet leadership. The monetary dynamics of the situation indicate that the Carter Administration (Rockefeller's puppet-regime) will be objectively obliged to make that confrontation most probably during 1977.

Third World Stupidities

The cowardice shown by Third World governments during recent years is principally caused by the stupidities of the Brezhnev leadership of the Soviet Union. We have witnessed, at close hand in many cases, Soviet pushing of Third World governments (e.g. winter of 1975-1976 for Peru) into suicidal capitulation to New

York banks at the very point those frightened governments had mustered their courage to defend their peoples' vital interests. The vacillations of the developing sector, and more recently Western European governments, are in that respect chiefly attributable to the "Eurocommunist" (e.g., Georgii Arbatov) elements contaminating the Brezhnev faction.

Therefore, if we are obliged to note that some of our Third World friends occasionally behave like cowardly imbeciles, we note the mitigating consideration of Brezhnev blunders in moral defense of our vacillating and capitulating friends.

However, the errors of the Third World are not entirely the work of Brezhnev's potentially-fatal vacillations. Too many leaders and representatives of Third World governments are either simply corrupted personally or have been victimized through miseducation received at such locations as the London School of Economics, Harvard, Cornell, or the University of California at Berkeley.

Let us be frank about the corruption. When a Third World delegation arrives in New York City for a UN session, baskets of flowers and fruit are not the only courtesies provided by the government of the host country. In the basket of fruit there is an envelope, in which the substantiality of the host government's good wishes is demonstrated by a generous sheaf of hundred-dollar bills. Foreign Ministers from Third World countries visiting New York, find that their trusted emissaries stationed there enjoyed a remarkable affluence of personal life. The sexual preferences of Third World representatives are not overlooked either. The individual who resists direct payment or exotic sexual

favors may be corrupted in other ways, including those generally classifiable under the heading of prestige.

Yes, this sort of corruption is a very significant part of the process of insinuating the "Common Fund" item onto the current UNCTAD and Non-Aligned agenda. High-level representatives of nations betray their nation's vital interests for the sake of a few dollars, a few sexual favors, a taste of the "good life" in general.

The documents, the formal discussions, and so forth have a certain significance in the pushing of the "Common Fund" proposal. But semi-secret meetings at Binsburg and other places, and direct personal corruption of nations' representatives in one form or another — according to the individual — are a major element in bringing such items as the "Common Fools" proposal onto the table.

The ignorance of professionals back home within the Third World governments is relevant as it accounts for the ability of corrupted UNCTAD delegates to sell out their nation's interest. There is, for example, actually a significant current of professionals in Third World governments who believe the imbecility of the Physiocratic parody to the effect that "natural resources" represent intrinsic wealth. Given, such an ignorant, miseducated official back in the Third World nation's capital, and the corrupted delegate in New York, Geneva and so forth, the people of the nation have a poor prospect for getting out of the present decade alive. Ignorance, combined with imbecilic cupidity and narrow national chauvinism among the professional strata of such governments are a significant background consideration in understanding how the fascist Rockefeller swindle, the "Common Fund," got onto the UNCTAD and other international agendas at this time.