Energy

Uranium offers the best intermediate solution to America's energy crisis. We support accelerated use of nuclear energy through processes that have been proven safe. Governmental research on the use of nuclear energy will be expanded to include perfecting a long-term solution to the problems of nuclear waste

Among alternative future energy sources, fusion, with its unique potential for supplying unlimited clean energy and the promise of new methods of natural resource recovery, warrants continued emphasis in our national energy research program, and we support measures to assure adequate capital investment in the development of new energy sources.

Agricultural Development

The bounty of our farms is so plentiful that we may tend to forget what an amazing production achievement this really is. Each American farmer and rancher produces enough food to feed over 56 people — a threefold increase in productivity in 20 years....

Farms exports have continued to expand under the policies of this Republican Administration — from a low of billion in 1968, the last Democrat year, to \$22 billion in 1975. These exports are not giveaway programs; most are earning dollars from the marketplaces of the world, establishing a favorable balance of trade and a higher standard of living for all. Through our farm exports we fight the problem of world hurger, especially with

the humanitarian Foor for Peace Program (Public Law 480) of the Eisenhower Administration and the Republican-controlled Congress of 1954.

Republican farm policy has permitted farmers to use their crop land fully. We are at last moving toward making effective use of our superb resources. Net farm income from 1972 through 1975 averaged \$26 billion, more than double the average of the 1960's. Government should not dictate to the productive men and women who work the land. To assure this, we support the continuation of the central principles of the Agricultural Act of 1973, with adjustments of target prices and loan levels to reflect increased production costs.

We oppose government-controlled grain reserves, just as we oppose federal regulations that are unrealistic in farm practices, such as those imposed by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)....

We firmly believe that when the nation asks for our farmers to go all out to produce as much as possible for world-wide markets, the government should guarantee them unfettered access to those markets. Our farmers should not be singled out by export controls....

We recognize the important of the multilateral trade negotiations now in progress and urge our representatives to obtain the most beneficial agreements for our farmers and the nation's economy.

Rockefeller Plans

Post Convention Wrecking Operation

Reacting to events in Kansas City this week, Nelson Rockefeller is now working with agent right-wing forces and his own private intelligence networks to begin full-scale operations against the Ford campaign and constitutional government in the U.S. The operation includes: activation of a "fourth party" scenario; disruption of President Ford's attempts to secure peace accords with the Soviet Union; and deployment of terrorist networks for nuclear terrorism and assassinations.

Fourth Party

Earlier this week at the Republican convention Nelson Rockefeller admitted in a national news interview that the anti-Ford activity coordinated by Senators James Buckley and Jesse Helms were the first lines of a script "to set a third party in motion." Helms, Buckley and forces around John Connally exploited Reaganite discontent in Kansas City to create the environment for a fourth party and bring rightwingers to a "party" convention in Chicago Aug. 27. The convention is mainly the work of William Rusher, associate of Buckley; Richard Viguerie, and the American Conservative Union under the organizational name, the Committee for a New Majority. While the CNM claims that they will be on the ballot in 45 states, financial strains have closed down its offices in Florida and other states. The fact that Helms, Connally and others disclaimed any association with the Committee and its convention indicates that it cannot be taken seriously as a party but is a front for wrecking operations against the Ford campaign.

Former Defense Secretary James Schlesinger in an interview with the West German daily Die Welt, which appeared in print just as Ford was clinching his party's nomination this week, gave a preview of the sabotage operations in store for Ford's

peace diplomacy. Schlesinger, after denying that the Soviets could risk a first strike, warned against any rapid progress toward SALT II as "ill-advised" and against taking Soviet "bait" in reaching a MBFR (Mutual Balanced Force Reductions) accord. (see below)

Schlesinger is a leading member of the Committee on the Present Danger, a clandestine group that plans to emerge publicly after Labor Day to organize an extensive campaign in the press, on campuses and elsewhere to "impose" its politics on the candidates. The Committee's 12-man board of directors includes, besides Schlesinger, Eugene Rostow and Carter backers Paul Nitze and Rita Hauser. While the Committee claims that behind its Board of Directors and Committee of 100 Distinguished Americans stands a "mass base," its main purpose is to work in conjunction with the Coalition for a New Majority to build a propaganda atmosphere for war with the Soviet Union by 1977 at the latest.

Nuclear Terror

The right-wing American Security Council which first spoke of a domestic Pearl Harbor to wake America up is also fully involved in these operations. An ASC spokesman this week predicted that nuclear terrorism is coming and that terrorist attack "could come at the UN, in Congress, a bombing of the Capitol building," and said that the only way to counter such terorism would be through the imposition of totalitarian measures normally applied only in time of war. He also raised the "terrifying" prospect of terrorist assassinations, like those that have been directed against Ford and coordinated through Henry Kissinger and Edward Levi.

American Security Council: The President Is A Terrorist Target

Aug. 20 (NSIPS) — The following interview, held this week with a leading member of the American Security Council, was obtained from journalistic sources opposed to the terrorist activities coordinated by the Council.

Q: Do you see an increase in terrorist activities approaching, after Entebbe?

ASC: FBI chief Kelley had a press conference with us a few months ago and we did a report on terrorism a while ago. Terrorism is going nuclear. A simple device could easily be constructed and it can go to that limit. Kelley said then how difficult it would be to deal with terrorism....

Terrorism is coming to our country. We've got it, it will hit us. There was tremendous fear that the Fourth of July would provide a cause, a situation for a terrorist act. President Ford, though, lucked out. A decision was made by the terrorists not to use July 4, as they feared a counter-reaction by Americans who would react so strongly to such an action on that day.

Q: Where could a terrorist act come?

ASC: It could come at the UN, in Congress, a bombing of the Capitol building. A bomb once did explode in the Capitol.

There could be experimental terrorist activity. When it comes to the American Legion situation — maybe it was a chemical reaction, a toxic agent. Who produced it? the subject is extremely serious...

Q: How can it be stopped?

ASC: The only way to deal with it is totalitarianism. If you're going to put it down exceptional force, brutality and intelligence agency operations have to be used, and harder totalitarian measures. In war we become more totalitarian and terrorism is war, and if we act against it we must take totalitarian measures.

Q: Where might they strike?

- ASC: They will avoid the convention in Kansas City. If they hit there it is too public. They want to make it seem random so we can't predict where it will come. If they go after the convention it looks too political.
 - Q: Who are these terrorists are they coordinated, are they communist?
- ASC: Well, you get a lot of crazies like the Manson types. The Israelis take action against terrorists unfailingly. But here, if there is a large scale operation there are speeches but not much action. Anyone is a target. The president has been shot at three times, that's why the vice-president is so important. It is a scare subject. The prospects are terrifying.

Schlesinger Endorses Carter, Pushes Confrontation With Soviets

The following is an excerpt from an interview with former U.S. Defense Secretary James Schlesinger in Die Welt, Aug. 18.

'We Shouldn't Swallow Moscow's Bait'

by Kurt Leissler

•Schlesinger: ...I would doubt that the Soviets, in view of the present situation in the USA, are interested in rapid SALT progress — unless they could come away with an exceptionally favorable settlement. We would be ill-advised to push too strongly now from purely domestic motives....Our major problem is that the USA used to have a pronounced edge in the sphere of strategic nuclear weapons, which was able to have a restraining effect on eventual Soviet adventurism. And the fact that this edge is now gone means that the restraining factor is lessened.

...In my view both sides are still very far from a First Strike capacity....In four or five years, in view of these (Soviet) technical improvements, there could arise an enormous threat to our counter-strike potential.

The Soviets could still not eliminate our missile submarines, and our bomber force would in all probability survive. Nevertheless they would have strengthened their capacity to an alarming extent. Yet even then they could not risk a first strike.

The Communist Parties in the West still follow Moscow's foreign policy. In détente, they aspire toward the same goals as Moscow, namely the weakening of the West and the triumph of their social order over our form of individual freedom.

I am basically more worried about MBFR than SALT. Thanks to our superior technology we could survive a SALT agreement that perhaps was not perfect in every respect. But a poor MBFR accord would weaken the position of Western Europe...(the Soviets) are holding out, so to speak, a short-term bait in Vienna — something like the possibility of quick reduction in American armed forces (in Western Europe). We shouldn't swallow this bait....

I am convinced that Carter and the Democratic Party stand today fully behind the USA's obligations to Western Europe.

Die Welt: Could you live with a Democratic President Carter? Schlesinger: I have always tried to uphold a position above parties and to get along with both parties in Congress.

Die Welt: Is it possible that on that basis you would soon return to governmental office?

Schlesinger: As they say, I reject no post that hasn't been offered to me.

Die Welt: Some Western European allies fear that West Germany is too strong and powerful....

Schlesinger:The Federal Republic has conducted itself exemplarily since the war's end. It has supported European unification, it has avoided putting itself forward, it has constantly borne its share of the common burden. Other allies, on the contrary, were less ready to contribute their portion....

Die Welt: One of the fears is that the USA would develop closer bilateral relations with the Federal Republic if NATO is further weakened....

Schlesinger: I see the danger of a weakening of NATO. If things go as far as many speculators fear, the strengthening of the bilateral ties between the USA and the Federal Republic of Germany would be the best method of solving the problem. We would not want this. Circumstances would force us to do it.