



NEW SOLIDARITY International Press Service

P.O. Box 1972, G.P.O.
New York, New York 10001
Editorial (212)279-5950
Customer Service (212)564-8529

The Present Key Role of the Labor Committees

Developments around the Italian crisis and runs against the French franc require us to clearly and publicly identify the exact nature of the Labor Committees' leading role of influence concerning current major world developments. Among leading capitalist, developing-sector, and socialist-sector strata, most of the facts concerning the Labor Committees' role is already more or less known, so that our present statement coincides with knowledge already in their possession. Unfortunately, because of Rockefeller control of most major press media — either directly or indirectly — the facts known to leading strata around the world are not generally known to the secondary levels of political leadership (such as numerous parts of the U.S. Congress) or to most ordinary persons in the street. For reasons to be spelled out here, it is time that we reported the relevant facts in terms useful to the ordinary working person.

The Facts

Recent events in Paris, Bonn, Rome, Washington, Chicago, New York and elsewhere show the Rockefeller-Kissinger forces deploying at the highest levels of government to terrorize leaders of major political parties, government officials, key financiers, and even heads of state for the explicit purpose of preventing mere meetings between such persons and U.S. Labor Party Presidential Candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. In addition to our possession of the relevant facts concerning such incidents, we have received from nominally powerful political forces in various parts of the world statements to the effect that their forces are afraid to meet with LaRouche for fear of the reprisals which Rockefeller-Kissinger might take against their nations!

This terrorism is by no means entirely successful. In addition to opposition to Rockefeller-Kissinger from both the Comecon and developing sectors, there is a three-way factional struggle among capitalist factions within the industrialized capitalist sector. In this configuration, there are highly-placed representatives of capitalist factions who have opened up channels of communication with us in anticipation of the possibility that they may probably be placed in a position of negotiating with us in connection with the establishment of a new world economic order even during 1976. Despite the raging factional struggles between Leninists and Oblomovist-Centrists within the Comecon sector, there exists there a more-or-less accurate perception of the Labor Committees' role and potential global importance, and there is of course a closer contact between Labor Committee and leading Third World representatives also around the international Development Bank program.

In part, the Labor Committee influence reflects the fact that during a period of less than a decade, beginning from "scratch" a new mass-based political working-class force, the U.S. Labor Party, has been brought into being in the USA. Unfortunately, the U.S. Labor Party is presently only a mass-based force, not yet an actual mass organization in the formal sense. To clear away any confusion concerning the facts, the numbers are as follows. In addition to between 270 and 280 persons attached to the New York City national office intelligence and administrative operations, the Labor Party is represented by just over 1,150 regular Labor Committee and Labor Party organizers in the field, with an immediate supporting layer of approximately 10,000 part-time Labor Party organizers leading approximately a half-million persons who identify with the U.S. Labor Party as their electoral organization, and with penetration on issues to between five and seven million persons in total. This is dispersed throughout the nation, but is chiefly concentrated in the Atlantic Coastal, Midwestern, and Northwestern states, where current levels of voter preference for the Labor Party in congressional and municipal voting districts runs to between twenty and thirty per cent with majority Labor Party support in numerous precincts within those districts. In addition to its own immediate strength, the Labor Party's influence on program and issues heavily penetrates labor-based strata of the Democratic Party and trade-union organizations, with some significant penetration among former Wallacite labor support and some Republican strata. Hence, within the indicated limitations, the Labor Party is already a major political influence within the USA, especially in forms of activity relating to electoral politics, program, and specific issues.

The weakness of the Labor Party is directly related to the fact that the organized labor movement has been crushed under the successive impacts of the Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III anti-labor austerity measures launched in the aftermath of the August 1971 collapse of the Bretton Woods agreements. Although there have been "wildcat" and "lightning rod" strikes during the period since April 1972, the organized labor movement has been generally crushed and demoralized at the same time that the Democratic Party has continued to be relatively a shambles as a national organization relative to the pre-1972 period. With little confidence in the Republican and Democratic national parties, and with no confidence in either the AFL-CIO or UAW national leaderships, the U.S. working man has endured speedup, brutalizing layoffs and depression with that appears to be a surly but otherwise passive acceptance. Hence, since the Labor

Committee and Labor Party leaderships are not opportunistic adventurers, we have not attempted to crystallize the Labor Party mass-base into actual formal mass organization through such ruses as major strikes. The basis for such strikes has not existed — although that is beginning to change significantly. Consequently, lacking the crucial developments out of which mass-based support becomes actual mass organization, our direct influence as a mass-based party has been based on our party's potential for organized strength, a potential which is a very real practical factor in national politics at this time.

The Labor Committees' principal global influence has been developed in parallel to the emergence of the Labor Party itself. Our successes in political intelligence work and, more importantly, in programmatic influence have worked in the fashion of the ingredients specifically wanted to fill up what was otherwise a vacuum in the efforts of various major world forces to develop an effective counter-program to the fascist austerity schemes and brinkmanship of the Rockefeller "utopian" faction. Indeed, the perception of this Labor Committee influence among Rockefeller supporters has been the chief reason for the unprecedented extent and intensity of "Cointelpro"-type CIA, LEAA, FBI, IACP operations against the Labor Party in the USA and against the Labor Committees in Italy, France, Sweden, Denmark, the Low Countries, Great Britain, and the Federal Republic of Germany, as well as representing the motive for the cited terror and related operations against governments and other key figures.

Although, at the beginning of 1974, the Rockefeller-allied and controlled agencies were initially successful in efforts to slander, libel and otherwise discredit Labor Committee political intelligence exposures, from the Summer of 1974 onwards, the Labor Committees have developed enormous credibility in the highest circles of government and finance around the world — especially as those circles have noted that each of the Labor Committee warnings, which they themselves each once or twice ridiculed, have been massively corroborated by developments.

More specifically, our analysis of the nature of the process of financial collapse and our International Development Bank proposal have won us the reputation in the leading circles of being the only force (or, at least, possibly the only force) which actually understands the nature of and remedies for the present situation. Typical of the response among capitalist circles is the statement: "Your analysis is correct, but your solution is 'too radical' to be implemented all at once as you propose."

Indeed, such capitalist circles have been playing a kind of game with us. At each new devastating break in global financial-political situation, increasing numbers of those strata open up channels of negotiation with us under auspices of the thematic outlook, "I guess we are going to have to deal with your proposed alternatives." In turn, as each new patchwork agreement is made in the name of stabilizing the situation, those channels of communication diminish and even threaten to dry up entirely. Then, as the next crisis inevitably upsets the ill-fated illusions of the patch-work arrangement, the channels reopen, usually on an expanded scale.

What tends to occur, more specifically is this. We are simultaneously engaged in discussions with various socialist and capitalist factions in numerous parts of the world. Inevitably, the forces with whom we are in discussion proceed to negotiate directly with one another. In this way, through such

latter direct negotiations, usually also involving anti-Rockefeller elements among the Atlanticists (e.g., the Harriman or Kennedy faction types), they patch together some recipe — a recipe which soon enough becomes unstuck. When the recipe becomes unstuck, the Labor Committees come back into the picture again. The relationship tends to take the form of attempting to "pick the brains of" the Labor Committees while simultaneously pursuing a policy of either attempting to contain the Labor Committees' independent influence or merely tolerating the CIA-FBI-LEAA-IACP-NATO intelligence containment operations directed by the Rockefeller-Kissinger forces.

Implications

This does not represent a Labor Committee "popular front" with capitalist forces. The fact, as we have repeatedly emphasized, is this. With the advent of the CIA's "white Communist" coup in French Communist Party leadership, there is not a single viable "official" Communist party in any key nation of the industrialized capitalist sector. The only important actually-to-nominally socialist forces in the capitalist advanced sector are the Labor Committees and U.S. Labor Party, plus the Mancini faction of the Italian Socialist Party and, for what it is worth, the Herbert Wehner-led faction within the German social-democracy. Thus, given the fact that generalized debt moratoria and the initiation of an International Development Bank new world economic order must be initiated during the first six months of 1976, such urgent policy changes within the industrialized capitalist sector must occur through a combination of aggressive leadership by the indicated socialist forces acting upon hegemonic industrial-capitalist-oriented capitalist political forces.

If that new capitalist order within the advanced capitalist sector does not emerge during the first six months of 1976, the world goes under in a combination of hyperinflationary Schachtian superausterity and an inevitable procession toward general thermonuclear war within not less than twelve to eighteen months ahead (during 1977). Hence, since the Labor Committees are the only force qualified to place in the capitalists' hands the kinds of policies needed to enter a new world economic order during 1976, it is our absolute obligation to use our unique practical-theoretical political-economic competence to mediate such agreements between key capitalist forces and among the Comecon and developing sector. To the same point, it has been demonstrated repeatedly that those various forces are not qualified to pull the arrangement together in time without a direct, leading role of the Labor Committees in shaping the terms of agreement of such urgent negotiations.

The Reasons For This

Although key Soviet strata are studying the Labor Committees' fundamental contributions to the direct connection between the epistemology of physical science and that of political science, there is otherwise no strata but that of the Labor Committees' leadership which is presently technically qualified to shape the kind of policies needed to get the world out of the present general capitalist breakdown crisis. In broad terms, this special competence reflects the statements of Karl Marx (*Theories of Surplus Value*, Part III) and Rosa Luxemburg to the effect that only a socialist is qualified to solve the internal contradiction of capitalist accumulation. Unfortunately, after Marx and Luxemburg, until the emergence of the Labor Committees, there was no socialist

agency which itself possessed the kind of specific competence to which Marx and Luxemburg referred.

There is nothing properly mysterious in this special competence of ours. The issue of the **Campaigner** now at press provides some of the essential relevant background, bearing on the points which have attracted special interest in some Soviet scientific circles. The principles of political economy which underlie Marxian economics, stated in the terms desirable to an advanced theoretical physicist, define a system of higher-order nested manifolds for which the unifying characteristic (or invariant) is a world-line of increasing negentropy. The fact that such a conception is embedded as a more or less finished product in the Marcus **Dialectical Economics**, and also represents a devastating criticism of the absolute blunders of Einstein and others in respect to general relativity and quantum physics indicates the kind of special competence which a deliberate exposition of the Marxian dialectical method actually involves. By contrast, the sort of economic theory employed by the capitalists and even by most professed Marxists is not only pathetically crude but entirely inadequate to the sort of policy tasks immediately confronting the world.

Despite the inability of leading capitalists and others to formulate the policy conceptions the Labor Committees have advanced, the policy formulations produced are readily comprehended as practicable, once produced. The appropriate analogy is the case of the automobile: it is not indispensable to be an automotive engineer to perceive that an automobile is workable and useful. For this reason, the capitalists in particular are able to see that the specific proposals are eminently workable and sound in conception, and therefore readily imagine that having such proposals in hand they can utilize them on their own without further assistance from the Labor Committees. However, as developments proceed, the basic policy model must be continuously adapted to those new conditions. At that point, the capitalist (among others) discovers that he lacks the special competence needed to develop the required policy solutions for the new conditions.

This specific problem is to be treated as one among a series of special IDB Technical Papers in production, papers which will clarify such points for the information of various agencies involved in preparing to negotiate International Development Bank agreements.

Socialist Perspectives

The new world economic order, which must be established during 1976, will be a three-way relationship among the industrial capitalist sector, the Comecon sector, and the developing sector. Under this arrangement, the basic principle of economic cooperation among the three participating groups will be of the form of socialist principles of expanded reproduction, within which inclusive framework capitalist accumulation in the specifically capitalist sector will inevitably proceed in a specifically capitalist way. That is not only our proposal, but the way it must be, since no alternative would be workable. There are three qualitatively distinct sectors involved — the socialist sector, the industrialized capitalist sector, and the developing sector. The three can not be directly mixed, and neither the socialist sector nor the

developing sector as a whole will or can accept a global principle of capitalist accumulation as the common basis for a new world economic order. Hence, the new world economic order will find capitalist accumulation occurring in the specifically capitalist sections of the world under the auspices of global socialist principles of expanded-reproductive development.

Within this general setting, the continuation of capitalist society in the industrialized capitalist sector has a transitional character. The possibility of transition of workers' economy in the USA and other industrialized capitalist nations is a political question, which depends upon developing the organized political working-class force prepared to effect such transformations.

It should not be imagined, however, that there is any sly feature in our introducing socialist principles of international cooperation to the IDB arrangement. That is by no means a clever ruse for tricking the capitalists; the capitalists can not be tricked in such ways, and we are not going to waste valuable time and effort attempting to play confidence games. It should not be imagined that we are postponing our socialist principles in this connection. We are not realpolitikers or opportunists; however, the survival of the human race beyond this decade depends upon the Labor Committees' irreplaceable role in developing the International Development Bank and related emergency programs. We are simply not foolish sectarians who hesitate to negotiate with capitalists for fear of soiling the "purity of our socialist essence." Our task, as representatives of the working class, is to act to ensure that the class first of all survives and survives free of fascist austerity, general thermonuclear warfare and ecological holocaust. It is within those immediate primary daily issues that we fight to do this in a socialist form — because, as our scientific superiority to other leading global forces demonstrates, only the socialist world-outlook, in which ordinary men and women understand our conceptions, conceptions beyond the comprehension of Einstein et al., can the world successfully meet the kind of challenges before it.

Your Task

The survival of humanity beyond this present decade will be determined by the leadership of the Labor Committees in pulling the world together in a new world economic order during 1976. Without an immediate guiding role by the Labor Committees the probability of success is very small, and without such success either thermonuclear war or at least disaster through hyperinflationary austerity are the inevitable alternatives. Hence, your most urgent task is to force all leading institutions to deal directly and openly with the Labor Committees for this purpose — everything else would be otherwise a waste of time, a tragic waste of time.

Any agency or individual who attempts to illegally prevent the Labor Committees from performing that function is an enemy of the entire human race. As for those fools who protest that the Labor Committees are merely bragging, ignore such idiots as cretins who lack the brains to survive. If the Labor Committees do not succeed, you and your children may be dead within less than eighteen months, and the human race will probably be virtually extinct within less than a decade. We are the most important fact in your life.