



U.S. POLITICAL  
NEWSLETTER

# NEW SOLIDARITY International Press Service

P.O. Box 1972, G.P.O.  
New York, New York 10001  
Editorial (212)279-5950  
Customer Service (212)564-8529

## FORD'S EMERGING FACTION

WASHINGTON, D.C., Jan. 2 (IPS) -- As the forces linked to Vice President Nelson Rockefeller and Secretary of State Henry Kissinger this week launched a terrorist campaign in the United States as part of insurrectionary effort to impose a National Security Council-run police state, President Gerald Ford took steps intended to distance himself from the conspirators and their "invisible government."

Simultaneously, the President took moves aimed at isolating the Rockefeller-manufactured bogeymen on the right-wing of the Republican Party and took tentative steps toward broadening the political base of his emerging faction to include anti-Rockefeller moderates.

Just two days after the National Security Council-ordered terror bombing of LaGuardia Airport in New York, President Ford took a position on the Angola question 180° opposite to that of "his" Secretary of State. Soviet involvement in Angola "should not bar the further pursuit of detente," the President stated at a New Year's eve press conference. He then assured the American people that their government stands opposed to confrontation, adding, "There is an awful lot of hard work that's going into avoiding a head-on-conflict." The American people "have yet to fully realize the value of detente," he stated.

Asked if food might be used as a diplomatic weapon -- a tactic supported by several leading morons of the Rockefeller faction -- the President replied that "food shipments to the Soviet Union are desirable." "I think that grain sales with the Soviet Union, the five year agreement is a very constructive part of the policy of detente," the President said, "it certainly is constructive from the standpoint of American agriculture."

The underlining strategy behind Ford's statements had been worked in sessions over the course of the President's "vacation" last week in Vale, Colorado. The Rockefeller-linked press had wishfully attempted to portray the President's stay in Vale as non-working holiday and featured stories about the President having a "gay old time" on the slopes. The President, however had not brought along his Chicago-linked Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld and his other top non-Rockefeller advisors as ski partners. While Rockefeller was consulting with an astrologer about his dog's prospects for 1976 on a Hawaii island, Ford and Rumsfeld made plans for their move.

Thus it should not be surprising that when the bomb went off at LaGuardia, Ford assembled a special cabinet-level task force minus representation from key sections of the "invisible

government." Coleman from Commerce, McLucas of the Federal Aviation Agency, Deputy FBI Director Adams, Tyler of Justice were all present, along with several representatives of the airlines, at special White House meeting.

Under normal circumstances, FBI Director Clarence Kelley, Attorney General Edward Levi, and Secretary of State Henry Kissinger were all candidates for such a meeting, but none were asked to come. Kissinger's notorious "terrorism" desk at the State Department was not asked to send anybody.

The President put McLucas of the FAA to head the Special LaGuardia task force. McLucas is a Rockefeller machine opponent who assumed his current office only after the President's dismissal of James Schlesinger as Secretary of Defense in the now-famous "Halloween Massacre." Similarly, FBI Deputy Director Adams has no apparent links to the Rockefeller machine.

It was Adams who exposed the FBI-COINTELPRO take-over of the Communist Party USA at the hearings of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence activities two months ago.

Rockefeller forces had thought that they could sucker the President -- according to some previous psychological profile -- into going along with new police state measures to protect against the terrorist threat.

Despite a spate of press coverage of the LaGuardia atrocity that attempted to justify such action by pinning the bombing on "leftist subversives" or "the PLO," the President refused to take the bait. Instead the President referred to the unknown bombers as "psychotics and loonies," -- a description that could easily fit Kissinger and Rockefeller.

Then late in the week, columnists Evans and Novak (the syndication of whose column is handled by the Chicago based Field Enterprises) revealed that Ford had a few more tricks up his sleeve.

Their Jan. 2 column indicated that Ford was leaning towards implementing a proposal that would dismantle the CIA in its present Kissinger-NSC-controlled form, breaking it up into three divisions: covert ops, domestic ops, and intelligence activities. The CIA director would be placed directly under the President in the White House as opposed to the current set up which has him effectively controlled by the NSC head.

Such a proposal is essentially identical to those emanating in the Rockefeller-controlled "Murphy Commission" last winter. As several Washington observers have recently remarked, "We're in a whole different ballgame now." The plan gives the "Ford team" and the new CIA Director George Bush control over the CIA.

Significantly, the proposal represents a significant over-by Ford and Rumsfeld to moderates around former Defense Secretary Clark Clifford who had put forward an identical proposal at last month's Pacem in Terris conference here.

In a similar base broadening move, Evans and Novak reported yesterday that the President will soon appoint Bill Scranton to coordinate his White House campaign. Ford is therefore moving to undercut the Republican right wing of Rockefeller puppet

Ronald Reagan by placing the moderate Scranton in a key campaign post. As a correlative feature, Ford's move would also indicate an intention to steer clear of policy advice emanating from such "friends" as Rockefeller cabal loyalist Melvin Laird.

While the President's action this week points with promise towards a victory in the immediate future over the Rockefeller insurrectionists, the emerging Ford faction still exhibits significant weaknesses.

First, while significantly undercutting Rockefeller and Kissinger, the President has yet to take the necessary and urgent steps to remove Rockefeller, Kissinger, Levi, et al from their various governmental posts -- i.e., he has yet to dismantle the "invisible government." As with all beasts, a wounded animal is extremely dangerous. The President's half way action leaves him wide open for his own assassination.

Secondly, with the economy collapsing about him, there is still no sign that the President and his Chicago advisors have come together with anything resembling a policy that could restart the world economy. The vague statements in his New Years eve press conference about the need to solve the domestic economic problems from an international standpoint are fine sentiments, but are woefully inadequate as policy directives. The absence of a credible policy based upon the U.S. Labor Party's International Development Bank (IDB) and Emergency Employment Act (EEA) proposal continues to give Rockefeller maneuvering room to use the enveloping economic chaos to push for a police state.

#### ROCKEFELLER'S "STATE OF THE UNION"

IPS has learned that Chase Manhattan bank has had a "State of the Union" address already drafted for the President's Jan.19 delivery -- the Rockefeller program to which Gerald Ford will be told to capitulate. The salient feature of Rockefeller's "State of the Union" message is its proposal for "Constitutional changes" which facilitate a Rockefeller-Kissinger-National Security Council domestic dictatorship along lines recommended for control of rampant LaGuardia-type terrorism and unprecedented fascist looting of the domestic economy.

According to a source with well placed connections in Washington, David Rockefeller commissioned one of his speech writers to produce a draft, incorporating recommendations for far-reaching, fascist changes in American government including "Balkanization" of the nation into semi-autonomous regions.

"The contents of the message," the source stated, "call for reconvening the Constitutional Convention in the Bi-Centennial year to take up formation of a new structure of federal and state government along the lines suggested in the study done by the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions." That study calls for 20 regions to replace the 50 states.

Asked if the economic contents of the message included fascist regimentation proposals along lines of the Initiatives Committee for National Economic Planning (ICNEP), the source said, "Yes, exactly. It would call for implementing the Humphrey-ICNEP proposals for economic planning and calls for emergency legislation to implement a full-employment economy along those lines."

The draft of the message thus coheres with "policy recommendations" made to the President by Nelson Rockefeller and the Rockefeller-linked Ford "advisor," Melvin Laird. Two weeks ago in separate speeches, both "Republicans" warned the President that he faces an extremely limited political lifespan unless he adopted the recommendations forthwith.

The source explicitly stated to IPS that the message was designed to be used "in the context of a surprise war in the Middle East, possibly leading to a limited nuclear war, together with a massive worldwide wave of terrorism directed at transportation and shipping lines in particular, so as to create the climate for a top-down fascist rule along the lines of the 'Chaos and Confusion' operation of winter 1973-74."

According to investigations by the IPS intelligence staff, there exists absolutely no support for the ludicrous recommendations in Rockefeller draft in the White House or among Ford's backers in the Chicago faction.

#### THE BATTLE FOR CONGRESS

Following U.S. Labor Party Presidential candidate Lyndon LaRouche's emergency communique last week from West Germany on the urgency of meetings between himself and selected U.S. government and economic leaders to hammer out "post-Rockefeller" program for the nation, all layers of the anti-Rockefeller opposition -- from Boston and Chicago bankers to the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and factions of the intelligence community -- have expressed intense interest in such meetings.

But hesitation remains the potentially fatal inclination of such forces at this point: "We think highly of your program and your strategic analysis," proclaimed a spokesman for one of the largest heavy-industry corporations in the country. "We are aware that rapid changes may take place in the near future... but we want to see what happens..." On Capitol Hill, an aide to a Congressman once-allied with the Rockefellers similarly declared "A lot of people here agree with you, they just won't move until they see the Soviets do something."

"If the President reconvenes Congress, I'll be there," or "If the House leadership moves to impeach Kissinger, I'll support them" are the characteristic -- but virtually suicidal -- responses of many Congressmen to Labor Party briefings.

The Vice-President of a major East Coast bank, however, has agreed in principle to a meeting with LaRouche. Others of similar rank have agreed to explore the possibility of such a series of sessions in preliminary meetings with other USLP spokesmen.

A massive USLP mobilization of working class constituents has added to the impact of the LaRouche call, putting spine into

some -- but not all -- Congressional backs. Eighty-five Congressmen targetted by the USLP have received an average of 80 calls from workers and their families each day. They have begun to express an unprecedentedly intense opposition to Henry Kissinger and an understanding of the nuclear "trip wire" that Mr. Kissinger's Angolan and general "hot-spot" policies are now on the verge of crossing.

Because of Congressmen's tunnel-vision of the "Kissinger problem" as the "Angola issue", however, they remain susceptible to manipulated impotence for a period just long enough to allow Kissinger to blow up the world.

In a few of the exceptions: aides to Congressmen from California and Florida declared "We agree with you 100 per cent on removing Kissinger now." One East Coast Congressman remarked "The way it looks now, Kissinger and Rockefeller will be out. Ford's aides are telling him to do that."

Kissinger has singled out the Black Congressional Caucus, which is in a position to spearhead the drive for his removal, for a reign of FBI-COINTELPRO terror. It is producing the desired programmed response.

The case of Baltimore Rep. Parren Mitchell is typical of this operation. Mitchell and his close political associates have been subject to physical attacks and death threats, one of which was delivered by an FBI caller impersonating a "USLP organizer." Profiled for his paranoid tendencies, Mitchell wittingly adopted this ruse -- accusing the USLP of planning his murder -- in an hysteria-ridden effort to communicate to Kissinger: "I'll stay in my place, call off your thugs." The pitiful Mitchell and his colleagues in the Black Caucus have vowed to relate to Angola on "black" terms, to organize only "black office holders" against Kissinger, etc.

Other Congressmen on Capitol Hill have confirmed that the terror operation goes beyond the Black Caucus and is under tight control of the NSC's Special Action Group. Assistant Secretary of State Joseph Sisco, has been personally deployed into Congressional hallways to denounce the Labor Party as a primary part of "the International Communist Conspiracy." Sisco is "documenting" the USLP's alleged "Kremlin ties" and other ludicrous charges in the same vein.

The machine of the CIA-linked Buckley family is engaged in an identical slander campaign with the twist: "The USLP is an arm of the East Germans."

The enormous danger of these otherwise patently absurd charges lies in Congressmen's susceptibility to accepting them for purely hysterical, paranoid reasons -- rather than face the obvious responsibility entailed by recognizing such charges as confirmation of the USLP analysis. Through these moves, Rockefeller intends to set-up an unconstitutional Smith Act-type "crack down" on the USLP as "agents of a foreign government." Such a move would at once signify to the Soviet Union that the nuclear trop-wire activating their first-strike capability had just been charged wildly by the Rockefeller beast.

## ANGOLA: THE NOOSE TIGHTENS AROUND KISSINGER'S NECK

In a well-timed and coordinated move by high policy making people opposed to the Rockefeller policy of nuclear confrontation with the Soviet Union, information was released this week that "spills the beans" on Henry Kissinger's one man war in Angola.

The Christian Science Monitor, which has in the past conduited policy directives for the Rockefeller cabal, published a highly detailed story on the CIA training, funding, and deployment of U.S. Army Special Forces (Green Beret) units in Angola. The covert operation has already deployed more than 300 Green Berets, most of them on highly irregular "unlimited leave" from the U.S. Army, to fight as mercenaries for the CIA-backed National Front and UNITA mercenary armies battling against the forces of the legitimate government of the Peoples Republic of Angola. The Monitor article points out that it is illegal to recruit U.S. citizens to fight for a foreign army and then indicates that the American's are likely to be taking heavy casualties.

The Monitor information corroborated reports first released by IPS one week ago that Green Beret units are now fighting for the CIA in Angola with more men being mobilized. This information was obtained from active duty Special Forces troops.

Significantly the article immediately was shot into the international press with a press-release conduited to the AP and then to all major media domestically and internationally. This move pre-empted a possible NSC press black-out and indicates further collaboration among high level anti-Rockefeller Kissinger forces who have leverage in the press.

The direct implication of the Monitor story is that Henry is creating his own private Vietnam war. All CIA covert operations are approved by the NSC's 40 committee and as most people realize, Henry Kissinger is in defacto control of the NSC and the 40 committee. According to informed sources, the House Intelligence Committee headed by Rep. Otis Pike (D-NY), will soon release a report which shows that, despite his removal by President Ford from the post of National Security Advisor in the Halloween Massacre, Kissinger has been running the Angola Covert ops. Thus the whole CIA-Angola operation has been laid at the Secretary of State's doorstep.

Earlier in the week, the press had signalled an abrupt editorial shift on the Angola question: not one paper supported the Kissinger "Angola is of strategic importance line." -- even the New York Times. On the contrary, led by the Times and Washington Post, nearly every major paper and columnist featured articles that ripped apart all of Kissinger's arguments. Most concluded, like the Post, that "Angola is lost-but so what?"

Significantly, as his support faded, Kissinger shifted gears and sent his Under Secretary of State for African Affairs Schaefele on shuttle flight to attempt to persuade Africans not to recognize the PRG -- that there should be negotiated settlement-and that only the Soviets and the South Africans were militarily involved. Through Schaefele, the Secretary communicated that the U.S. would welcome an OAU resolution that called

for an end "to all foreign involvement."

The release of the new information -- which in its detail could have only come from a "CIA insider" in the operation -- should blow apart this strategy.

Meanwhile, as mentioned elsewhere, the President has made it a point this week to repeat on no less than three separate occasions that he sees no conflict with the Soviet's over Angola, that Angola has nothing to do with the progress of detente, etc.

This unprecedented direct contradiction of Kissinger by the President is an obvious sign to an already head-hunting Congress that the White House really wouldn't mind at all if it went after the Secretary of State. The statements also effectively make the President - not Kissinger -- the spokesman for detente and thereby indicate to the White House that he stands committed to that policy -- regardless of what happens to Kissinger.

CIA and State Department officials have issued unconvincing denials of the story while the White House has made what most observers feel to be a pro-forma denial.

Despite the fact the story broke on New Year's day, when most official Washington was out of town, Congressional reaction has thus far been sharp. Sources close to the Senate Committee on Intelligence Activities indicate that they will recall CIA officials who lied about Angola. The Pike Committee meanwhile remains in continuous session.

By this Monday, all hell should break loose.

As the New York Times hinted last Sunday, the time may just be here when "Henry Kissinger will retire to begin work on his memoirs."

#### GANG WARFARE IN THE CIA

A state of virtual gang warfare has erupted within the intelligence communities so long dominated by Nelson Rockefeller's CIA establishment. The assassination of CIA Athens station chief Richard Welsh on Christmas Eve is but the tip of the iceberg in what has become a shooting war over Rockefeller's insane drive for thermonuclear war.

Welsh reportedly oversaw from his Athens base important CIA operations in Yugoslavia, Albania, Bulgaria, Cyprus and the Middle East. Most relevant to his assassination, he and his 3,000 man staff were directly in charge of the on-going Lebanese civil war and current RAND Corporation plans for the religious partition of that country. This activity directly involved him in the Rockefeller-Kissinger push for thermonuclear showdown with the Soviet Union: since it was Welsh's responsibility to turn the Middle East into a nuclear flash-point.

Contrary to press reports blaming the killing on the "CounterSpy" community of ex-agency "radicals" for publishing his name, Welsh was a publicly identified CIA chieftan by

no later than 1968; it was the cited pattern of nuclear war preparations in his activity that lie behind his murder.

Greek newspapers, particularly the daily Apogemattini have described the Welsh slaying as a CIA job, and linked the CIA's "in-house assassination capability," first exposed by E. Howard Hunt as under the direction of Col. Boris Pash. The New York Times published this Hunt revelation on the very day of Welsh's death.

The following day, the Chicago Sun-Times once again publicized the name of Pash, in connection with the 1950's witchhunt against Robert Oppenheimer -- the man who developed the U.S. hydrogen bomb but then opposed advocates of its early use like Nelson Rockefeller. Pash was assigned the "character assassination" of Oppenheimer.

There is obviously a bitter fight underway within the top-ranking patrician circles, mediated by gangland style activities in the netherlands of the invisible government's networks. Even lower level agents directly perceive the factional warfare, thereby demonstrating its ferocity at the top.

By IPS intelligence estimates, by no later than the October 1973 Mideast War, intense bureaucratic infighting had gotten underway between intelligence agency factions which have been speciously labelled pro-and anti-detente. Ironically, the "anti-detente" faction of hardline Cold War anti'Communists are pro-peace, by all indications of the current gang-war. This faction is opposed to the suicide of the human race now being instigated by Rockefeller, Kissinger and their National Security Council "Special Action Group -- with which Welsh was in direct liaison. As Richard Welsh's demise now proves, the opposition of this "anti-detente" faction to the Rockefeller's nuclear madness has moved beyond the bureaucratic form to a life-and-death struggle.



## What is International Press Service?

A coordinated team of specialists and correspondents in the U.S., Europe and Latin America who pull together an up-to-the-minute picture of world developments. Their analyses are months ahead of any other news service on all key issues.

### IPS Services

#### 1. IPS Weekly Economic and Political Newsletters

Significant developments on the international and domestic markets—what caused them and what to look for in the weeks, months, and years ahead as well as a look at critical developments in U.S. politics.

\$100 a year



#### 2. IPS Weekly

Includes above newsletters plus special reports and features prepared by IPS area and topic special analysis teams. In addition, the weekly includes selected items from the IPS daily wire service

\$350 a year

#### 3. IPS Daily News Service

Selected items from the daily IPS wire, including summaries of major world press, exclusive interviews, reports on breaking developments from IPS correspondents around the world.

\$1750 a year

For further information please write to:

P.O. Box 1972, GPO  
New York, N.Y. 10001