

that the multi-faceted disruption of the shipping industry will be consolidated at a "confidential" January meeting of the OECD Maritime Transport Committee in Paris. The U.S. delegation he is heading, will push for government-level ratification of the IMCO wrecking scheme.

The "classified" OECD agenda is a battle plan for shipping warfare. According to Bank, the NATO front group will discuss the Suez Canal, the Panama Canal — obvious strategic flash-points — along with the IMCO proposal, the problem of Japanese government subsidies, and "State Trading Competition" — the usual code phrase for the East bloc shipping "threat."

NATO Coordination

Banking sources are saying that the tanker conference now in session in London is working closely with NATO to coordinate the war plan. Linking the conference with NATO propaganda over Soviet naval power, one official amplified the NATO anti-Soviet psy-war line: "If you've got the Soviets in Luanda (in Angola-Ed.) and Nigeria, with that tremendous volume of traffic going around the Cape, it's not hard to sink a LCC (very large crude carrier)!" They're "talking about contingency planning," he added emphatically.

Other banking sources involved in the tanker talks asserted that IMCO was actually running the conference. Maritime Affairs chief Bank himself insists that tanker owners enjoy an intimate relationship with NATO involving "the closest coordination" over "many years".

Banking Interface

That the economic warfare assault on world shipping is directly interfaced with the Rockefeller bankers' policy to scrap the world's shipping fleet before accepting a moratorium on billions of outstanding loans has been amply confirmed by those very circles. According to financial sources, Chase Manhattan alone has fully \$1.7 billion in bad loans to the tanker industry. A highly-placed source referring to the IMCO capacity reduction hoax, stressed that "this is what the bankers want." If the shippers don't go for this, they will be bankrupted, he added. With an at least 12 per cent collapse in world trade over the past two years, nearly one-half of total world shipping tonnage is presently mothballed — at a cost of \$3000 to \$4000 per ship per day to the shipowners.

FEA: Core to Hilex Energy Subroutine

Dr. Morris Levitt

NCLC Research and Development Staff

The intersection point for all major international and domestic components of the Hilex 75 energy control policy is the office of Frank Zarb, Director of the Federal Energy Administration (FEA). The FEA is the nodal point from which the planning for an International Energy Agency (IEA)-provoked international oil embargo has been coordinated with preparations for militarization of U.S. energy distribution and allocation.

The FEA's world energy control operation is handled through two key FEA units: the Office of International Affairs and the Office of Regulatory Programs. From sources close to both FEA offices, the NCLC has determined that the two units are consciously and surreptitiously implementing major sections of the Hilex scenario.

North-South Sabotage

According to banking sources, the key U.S. figure in the adoption of the Hilex scenario for energy shortages and sabotage of the North-South conference at the IEA Governors meeting in Brussels this week was Clement Malin, who had told interviewers before he departed for the meeting that an oil embargo would be the best way for the Arabs to sabotage the North-South talks.

One source, who was briefed by Malin's top assistant, Win Jones, following Malin's return, said that the IEA meeting adopted a two-pronged strategy to ensure that 1) there are no substantive deliberations or decisions at the Paris North-South talks, and 2) that the issue of oil prices being "too high" is raised at the talks, to split the oil-producing and oil-consuming nations within the developing sector, and to provoke an Arab oil boycott. Accordingly, James told the source, the industrial countries have agreed to a "balanced approach" — an indication that they will raise a general demand for lower oil prices while avoiding being pinned down to a concrete negotiating position which might lead to bona fide discussions within the context posed by the Third World demand for a "new world economic order."

Official spokesmen for the IEA, including IEA head Etienne Davignon, have publicly denied that these issues were even discussed in Brussels, but James's account of the talks is coherent with the European Economic Community's recently announced energy

policy (developed under the leadership of EEC Energy Commissioner Henri Simonet) of sharing European energy supplies and integrating energy transport under military auspices. Davignon's denials, therefore, must be taken as a further indication of the progress of the Hilex conspiracy.

Militarization Of U.S. Energy Stocks

In the U.S., the FEA and its office of Regulatory Programs (headed by Gorman Smith) are at the center of plans for the seizure, centralization, and control of energy supplies.

Their program has evolved on two levels. Within the FEA itself, the staff of the Office of Regulatory Programs conducted a "study" six months ago which developed plans for the militarization of U.S. energy supplies. The study was based on two assumptions: that there was an oil embargo in progress and that the FEA had at its disposal only such "normal" fiscal and regulatory devices for setting priorities in allocation as taxes, tariffs, and price controls.

At the same time, Zarb has personally approved legislation for direct military-type regulation of energy supplies. The proposals are embodied in two bills now before Congress: S. 622 and H.R. 7014. In the event of an emergency, the bills — which were drafted by the staff of the Energy and Power Subcommittee of the Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee — would create a special Task Force at the FEA to supersede the FEA's Regulatory Office, and provide as well for involvement in the Task Force by conspirators in such key federal departments as Transportation, Commerce, and Interior.

In addition, a more "permanent" Program Office would be created in the FEA, with the power to allocate to itself fully 150 million barrels of oil at the beginning of an "energy emergency," about 60 per cent of present stocks in storage at refineries and distribution points.

In an indication that the Hilex conspirators are well aware of the controversial nature of the bills, and Energy and Power Committee staffer told the NCLC that "we could submit the bills provisions title by title" (public attention has been focused on the bill's less significant price decontrol provisions) but prefer not to.

While Zarb's apparatus thus per-

cieves a continued need for a cover for their military control proposals, Mr. Yaffe, a top FEA planner, has admitted to a responsible source that he is well aware of the Hilex 75 scenario, and is interested in seeing how it "comes out." Without any prompting, Yaffe hastened to assure the source that his unit was not looking at military action or counter-measures, though these terms of reference had not been previously introduced.

To back up the threat of an oil hoax, the FEA retains its capacity to engineer a "natural gas shortage." According to a leading Canadian brokerage house, the Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation expects to be able to supply only 64 per cent of industrial requirements this winter.

To complete the picture of Zarb's official apparatus: Zarb special assistant Randall Hardy coordinates the White House Energy Resources Council. The ERC is described by a top source at ERDA as a "sub-Domestic Council," i.e., an adjunct to the National Security Council.

The FEA has also used moves to cripple Canadian oil production and exports by the IEA-linked Canadian Natural Energy Board and the Canad-

ian Department of Energy, Mines and Resources (EMR) to increase its stranglehold over U.S. energy supplies.

The Canadian moves, launched under the rubric of "conservationism," have reduced oil extraction in such provinces as oil-rich and Rockefeller-dominated Alberta by approximately one-half. At the same time, the EMR has activated export controls which have already cut Canadian crude oil exports by 25 per cent, with plans for an ultimate reduction to one-third of previous levels.

Since 99 per cent of Canadian crude exports go to the U.S., the FEA has moved in to "allocate" Canadian crude to geographically specific U.S. refining areas, particularly the Pacific Northwest and Great Lakes regions.

Thus NATO Military Committee chairman Sir Peter Hill Norton's propaganda about possible "Soviet interdiction" of U.S. and European shipping and oil transport lanes has already been materialized by U.S. and Canadian Hilex agents at the world's "friendliest" border.

Parallel to FEA activities, another "independent" agency set up in the period of the 1973 Oil Hoax, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, is also poised to activate its elaborate command and

control machinery — backed by a barrage of RAND-authored black propaganda — to deal with the "threat" of sabotage or attack on nuclear plants. The NRC manual of December, 1974, Nureg 75-111, provides guidelines for integrating practically every conceivable level of local government into nuclear plant disaster mobilizations.

The most recent move towards activation of this apparatus appeared in Dec. 12 Wall Street Journal article on an NRC report on the alleged increased vulnerability of nuclear plants to Soviet attack or sabotage. Not mentioned in the Journal article was the fact that this conclusion has been concocted from a lone report by the Mitre Corporation, a notorious CIA-connected think-tank, which appeared on one page of a multi-volume set of reports released by the NRC and reported on in the press two weeks earlier.

Despite this flimsy basis underlying the alleged "nuclear disaster" warnings, plans are already afoot in the state of California to integrate federal, state, and local law enforcement and other disaster-related agencies into one unified command structure in the event of a nuclear disaster such as the theft of a nuclear warhead by "terrorists."