

NEW SOLIDARITY International Press Service

P.O. Box 1972, G.P.O. New York, New York 10001 Editorial (212) 279-5950 TWX 581-5679 Customer Service (212) 564-8529

ROCKY NAMES ICLC AS THEIR MAIN ENEMY

Nov. 29 (IPS) -- Rockefeller mouthpiece and former NATO economist Yves Laulan penned a featured editorial comment in yesterday's International Herald Tribune, entitled "New Economic Order: A Myth" which is a vicious point for point attempt to refute the ICLC proposals for an International Development Bank (IDB).

Without mentioning the ICLC by name, the Herald Tribune piece will nonetheless be read by representatives of governments throughout the world as a direct attack on the ICLC policy proposals.

The publication of this article coincides with the presence in Paris, the headquarters of the New York Times-Washington Post-owned Tribune operation, of Lyndon LaRouche, Jr., U.S. Labor Party Presidential candidate and originator of the IDB proposal. LaRouche is in Europe for working discussions with international government representatives on the IDB and strategy for the upcoming North-South talks.

Attempting to demonstrate that "the very concept of (Third World) economic development (has) proved a failure," NATO agent Laulan writes:

"The Club of Rome recognized the virtual impossibility of obtaining for everyone on the planet the same material conditions. As Malthus wrote: 'At the banquet of nature there is not room for everyone.' Indeed, as a practical matter, the aspirations of the Third World cannot be satisfied in full. No development scheme, however daring, could assure for seven billion people the same living standard.... A world-wide equalization of the human condition could only be achieved through a process of strains and convulsions of unprecedented magnitude."

So what shall we expect as the outcome of this impasse? Laulan responds by expounding Chairman Mao's theory of nuclear war:

"Even with unsophisticated nuclear weapons, poor nations can inflict on rich and highly-vulnerable societies destruction much greater in relative terms than the damage they would suffer in retaliation. The stiuation would be, as it were, the transposition of hostage-taking blackmail to the state-to-state level.

Given this outlook, Laulan's cynical conclusion that the North-South conference will necessarily fail, is, of course, no longer a surprise:

"The North/South conference scheduled to begin in Paris next month will attempt to prepare the ground for an agreement. The agenda is similar to the agendas of numerous international conferences that have taken place in the past.... The novelty in this Conference is the apparent will to create a wondrous new

international economic order... (however) a new international economic order requires an acceptance of revised power relationships and a radical change in individual and group behavior in the advanced countries. Is it displaying an excessive lack of confidence in man to suggest that such a change is not likely to happen soon or easily?"

Rockefeller's intentions and outlook have never been stated more blatantly or clearly. In response, USLP presidential candidate LaRouche, noting an unprecedented level of unlawful national and international measures deployed through the U.S. Department of Justice and the National Security Council to block orderly discussion of the IDB (and EEA) proposal, last night issued an open challenge of debate on this most pressing international policy issue to Nelson A. Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger.

"If the world is to survice the next few weeks and months," LaRouche said in an IPS interview yesterday, "there must be an absolutely hard line against Kissinger and Rockefeller. The gloves have to be taken off. The thing has to be spelled out simultaneously and clearly by every nation and every force in the world which has the guts and intelligence to state it.... Our job is to bring to pass exactly the approach we have outlined and to make absolutely clear how the IDB will work."

The entire U.S. National Security Council (NSC) apparatus, aided by the State Department, U.S. Treasury, the CIA and the Rockefeller-controlled press, was mobilized this week with the express purpose of containing the international motion towards a break with the Dollar Empire. Along with threatened nuclear war provocations in Asia, Africa and the Mideast, and total press blackout of all pro=IDB developments, the "40 Committee" is resorting to the type of economic blackmail that is generally reserved exclusively for times of war.

In this case, the economic warfare and related strategic deployments have nothing per se to do with immediate economic policy; their sole purpose is to insure orderly refinancing of Rockefeller's debts through austerity while effectively blocking anything that so much as leans away from the dollar empire and toward IDB-type triangular trade arrangements.

Assistant U.S. Treasury Secretary Gerald L. Parsky was de ployed this eek to sabotage Common Market (EEC)-Arab League negotiations on economic development in Abu Dhabi.

Parsky barged into yesterday's meeting uninvited, reported today's Italian daily Il Sole and West Germany's financial daily Handelsblatt, and announced that he had already negotiated on h behalf of the United States the same set of specific projects that the Europeans thought they were negotiating as part of a total development package.

Earlier in the week, Parsky has pulled a similar operation in Saudi Arabia where British Foreign Secretary James Callaghan was negotiating development deals. With Rockefeller growing nervous about the British wooing of his Saudi patsies, Parsky announced that a U.S. team of experts would arrive shortly to handle the Saudi port decongestion problem, an issue which the British official thought he was negotiating with the Saudis.