

LNS: A Kitson Model Counterang

August 26 (IPS) — Maoist and CIA-linked groups in Europe, especially in Scandinavia, have been flooding various continental press conduits with anti-Labor Committee slanders manufactured by a Kitson-model U.S. counterang called Liberation News Service. Tracing the connections through which this slander is circulated has enabled the Labor Committees to uncover ties between U.S. CIA-controlled groups and certain similar organizations in Europe.

The Liberation News Service (LNS) was established during the Summer of 1968, as an outgrowth of the Ford Foundation-funded "Crazies" faction of Students for a Democratic Society. The Crazies, the basis for the later formation of the Weatherman Collective, were established as a result of a fund created for this purpose with the approval of CIA operative McGeorge Bundy, with payments conduited through Tom Newman, a nephew of former CIA operative Dr. Herbert Marcuse. Witting CIA agent Ed Schwartz, then President of the National Student Association, was active in negotiating between the Ford Foundation and certain SDS factions during the period the financing of the Crazies was arranged.

Later, LNS broadened its base, becoming a "cooperative" in parody of the Associated Press for assorted countercultural left rags and raglets throughout the U.S. Despite this broader base among pro-psychedelics generally, the group has maintained close ties and overlapping membership with the Weatherman circles, with whom it shares a common underlying anti-working-class, pro-fascist ideology.

Left Pro-Makhnists

Immediately after receiving Ford Foundation funding, Mark Rudd, John Jacobs, and other spokesmen for the Crazies began to enunciate policies essentially identical

with the early fascist rhetoric of Benito Mussolini, a viewpoint endorsed by LNS spokesman. Their viewpoint was and has remained essentially that of "left" pro-Makhnists. They advocated a coalition of petit-bourgeois and lumpenproletarian radicals to "smash" industrial trade-unionists, whom they identified as the principal enemy of "the people."

In recent periods, LNS has been more directly connected to the pro-fascist, pro-Makhnist "Situationist International" and its spin-offs, otherwise maintaining links between the Weatherman-type anti-worker fascists, on the one hand, and the ultra-militant "pro-labor" anarchist groupings around Robert Avakian and Klonsky. Through Avakian's group, Revolutionary Union (RU), LNS also is linked directly to outright "brainwashing" projects which it overtly supports. Several local RU groups are directly implicated in programs designed to turn black and Spanish ghetto militants into brainwashed zombies. The New York City Lincoln Detox zombie-factory is one of these installations.

Labor Committee security and intelligence staffs have accumulated massive and conclusive evidence showing direct connections between various parts of the LNS cooperative and brainwashing and Left frame-up and provocateur operations directed by the CIA's domestic U.S. arm, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. These conclusively-established connections leave no doubt that LNS is pro-fascist in outlook and also a CIA-linked counterang of the type deployed by Anglo-American intelligence agent, Brigadier Frank M. Kitson (then Major) against the Kenyan Mau-Mau organization, as this is outlined in Kitson's textbook *Gang and Counterang*.

Groups maintaining close connections with LNS should be carefully investigated as probable parts of the same international CIA counterang network.

Climatology: Latest Rationalization for Food Shortages

September 2 (IPS) — The latest "big lie" designed by the Rockefeller cabal and wrapped in respectable academic verbiage, is that the world is entering a new ice age which will destroy agriculture. This fictitious natural disaster is contrived to screen out the cabal's responsibility — through credit squeezing, manipulation of supplies, and looting — for the annihilation of millions

of human beings in Bangladesh and the Sahel, and to prepare industrial workers for a similar fate.

Reid Bryson of the University of Wisconsin is the ice-age's champion in the world's scientific community. Promoted by the *New York Times* as "one of the chief proponents of the view that climate change is overtaking mankind," Bryson at present is dispensing the notion

that the world is "cooling off" toward ruin.

Last month a Rockefeller Foundation-organized conference and a similar meeting in Bonn, West Germany trumpeted Bryson's line. As the *New York Times* relayed the message, the experts in Bonn "produced the unanimous conclusion that change in global weather patterns poses a severe threat to agriculture that could lead to major crop failures and mass starvation." Yet, when an international conference of leading meteorologists met in Stockholm recently, only one of the so-called experts from the Bonn conference attended; and he failed to repeat the weather-disaster line at all for fear of ridicule by the assembly.

Bryson's supposed argument for his assertions rest on the observed decrease in average temperature of 0.3 degrees Centigrade since 1940, after a 0.6 degree Centigrade increase from 1890 to 1940. Using this fact to support his argument, Bryson claims that past and present short- and long-term cooling periods are set off by increases in dust or particulate matter in the atmosphere which reduce the intensity of sunlight reaching the earth's surface. According to Bryson, the dust has a greater cooling effect on the poles than on the tropics, and this changed pole-equator temperature differential, in turn, alters the world's climate system — in particular the global wind system known as the circumpolar vortex.

Human Activity, Bryson's Culprit

Thus, for Bryson, it is the increase in particles in the air due to industry and agriculture — human activity — which is the cause of the recent cooling! Climate and rainfall zones, claims Bryson, are moving south; the Sahara is moving into the Sahel, and other misfortunes. He provides scattered data on temperature and radiation intensity to back up these assertions. For example, there is a rough measured correlation between average surface air temperature and intensity of sunlight striking the earth in the Northern hemisphere during this century. Right on cue, the *New York Times* blared in an Aug. 8 headline "Climate Changes Endanger World Food Output." The article, one of many in a stepped up campaign for Zero Growth genocide, cites the Bonn meeting and lists various government inquiries into food production and climatic trends without stating their conclusions.

When interviewed by IPS, Bryson defended his work by repeatedly blustering, "The only question is, what's the independent variable?" and by comparing his **assumed** cooling mechanism to the accelerator of a car. All other mechanisms he describes as mere "feedback," like the electronics in a car.

But Bryson is bluffing: the only independent variable in the earth's climate system is the total amount of energy impinging on the outermost layer of the earth's

atmosphere. Bryson's argument simply is circular — inferring from the observed cooling effect that there must be less total thermal energy retained by the earth-atmosphere system. He has no direct data on the "independent" variable. Since the distribution and total amount of thermal energy depends on a vast and complicated number of interactions, a small number of observed events cannot be used to establish either unobserved events or general trends of the overall system.

Meteorologists Refute Bryson

In another IPS interview, leading theoretical meteorologist Joseph Smagorinski of Princeton University and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration was explicit on this very point. According to him, there is a continuous spectrum of variations in climatic conditions from very short to very long periods on the time scale, whose makeup and relationships are not well understood at present. Therefore, it is not possible to determine whether the present cooling is part of a trend. Nor has the shift in the circumpolar vortex been established factually.

Smagorinski also termed the conclusions of the Bonn meeting "very irresponsible," and informed IPS that the Stockholm conference had discussed yet left open the very questions Bryson claims to have settled — including whether or not climate ultimately can be described in terms of cause and effect. In addition, Smagorinski pointed out that any theory which fails to take heat transfer in the oceans into account is mere guesswork.

S. Schneider of the National Center for Atmospheric Research elaborates this point in the Sept. 1973 issue of *New Engineer*: "The elements of the climatic system are tightly coupled together and the system is non-linear. . . There are large-scale numerical modeling efforts under way. . . but the role of the oceans present a major stumbling block." Schneider continues: "Our present computers are too small by a few orders of magnitude to create the required mathematical model properly. And even if we assume that we could perform a reasonable simulation, the lack of adequate climatological records on the state of the oceans (temperatures, velocities, salinities) would prevent us from verifying our model's results."

Other studies confirm Bryson's incompetency. For example, consider this statement from a study of drought by the University of Chicago geography department: "Studies of tree rings, lake levels, or the longest available climatic records have failed to reveal any cyclical recurrence to the drought condition." The work of the Japanese meteorologists Yamamoto and Tanaka, published in 1972, shows that Bryson is a faker even with respect to the effect he claims to know most about. Their results show that with present data it is quite uncertain

whether particulate matter in the atmosphere causes cooling or heating. Thus Bryson's views are untenable even on his home ground.

Even the *New York Times*, trying to give some semblance of objectivity to its scare-tactic article, had to admit that: "Specialists in the Department of Agriculture... believe that weather is a random variable, obeying no regular cyclic pattern over the years except, of course, the seasons... The more likely pattern for any year... is one in which some areas of the world have good weather for crops while other areas do not... Others in the department also argue that modern agricultural technology and irrigation are capable of mitigating the effects of drought in the U.S."

Bryson: Nazi Scientist

Bryson's Climate-Food project at Wisconsin does research into the relationship between climate and food production under the guidance of the Interdepartmental Committee for Atmospheric Sciences (ICAS) in Washington, D.C. An interagency body, ICAS has extensive responsibilities in weather modification which include programs for the National Science Foundation

and the Departments of Defense and Agriculture. Bryson has applied to the National Science Foundation for a \$4.8 million contract for the next five years, and he submitted a proposal earlier this year to the Department of Defense's Advanced Research Projects Agency for funds.

Bryson, the dust-gatherer whom *Fortune* magazine hails as a "bold forecaster," is in reality a Nazi scientist, serving the needs of future fascist planning. Identifying and mapping new regions for looting and possible short-term weather-modification, Bryson's work dovetails with Rockefeller's slave labor redevelopment plans. For example, the new rainfall patterns "predicted" by Bryson would cause such regions as the Northern Sahara and the Iranian plateau to "prosper" — both key areas in Rockefeller's investment plans.

According to the University of Wisconsin's *Daily Cardinal* July 26, "Bryson ensures that his results will be used by personally briefing government officials." As the economy plummets, the choice will become starkly clear for the working class: Accept the phony results of Rockefeller-promoted pseudo-scientists like Bryson or organize with the Labor Committees for expanded production and the brute-force development of nuclear fusion power.

Sadat: Make War, Not Bankruptcies

Aug. 27 (IPS) — Egyptian President Anwar el Sadat revealed yesterday the actual reason for last October's Middle East war. Nothing military or ideological — it was merely the simplest way for near-bankrupt Egypt to get hold of some much-needed cash.

A leading West German paper, the *Frankfurter Rundschau*, reported on Sadat's revelations today. The Egyptian president, speaking before a student audience in Cairo, said, "If we had not gone to war, it is possible that we would not have been able to provide our people with their daily bread this year." He noted that six days before the beginning of the war he went before his national security council to point out that, in view of the

country's catastrophic economic situation, there was only one way out: war against Israel.

The *Rundschau* remarks that Sadat was right, for the war certainly paid off. It brought Egypt something like \$50 million in aid from Arab and certain non-Arab countries. Further, the war's outcome has "improved" the domestic political situation in Egypt and, in the *Rundschau's* words, "now its economy is beginning to bloom."

It seems that the World Bank, U.S. foreign aid conduits, and other Rockefeller financial institutions eschewed handouts to a broke and desperate Egypt in order to encourage this interesting form of self-help.